AGENDA

I. CALL TO ORDER AND ROLL CALL

II. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

III. TIME FOR MEDITATION

IV. APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES OF MAY 10, 2011

V. ADDITIONS TO THE AGENDA

VI. PETITIONS AND COMMUNICATIONS

1. LETTER WITH ATTACHMENTS FROM ENBRIDGE (U.S) INC. UPDATING PUBLIC OFFICIALS REGARDING ONGOING SYSTEM-WIDE PIPELINE INTEGRITY PROGRAM

2. LETTER FROM STATE OF MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY DIVISION (WITH ATTACHMENT) OF PENDING NEW SOURCE REVIEW APPLICATION REPORT

3. RESOLUTION FROM GRATIOT COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS IN SUPPORT OF HOUSE BILL 4148, 4149 & 4150

4. MID SOUTH SUBSTANCE ABUSE COMMISSION ANNUAL REPORT FY 2009/2010 (To be distributed at the meeting)

5. CAPITAL AREA DISTRICT LIBRARY ANNUAL REPORT (To be distributed at the meeting)

VII. LIMITED PUBLIC COMMENT

VIII. CLARIFICATION/INFORMATION PROVIDED BY COMMITTEE CHAIR

IX. CONSIDERATION OF CONSENT AGENDA
X. COMMITTEE REPORTS AND RESOLUTIONS

6. COUNTY SERVICES AND FINANCE COMMITTEES - RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE TRANSFER OF FUNDS FROM THE TREASURER’S OFFICE TO THE REGISTER OF DEEDS AND TO AUTHORIZE A TEMPORARY EMPLOYEE

7. COUNTY SERVICES AND FINANCE COMMITTEES - RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING CONTRACTS WITH YANKEE APPRAISAL ASSOCIATES AND WILLIAMS & ASSOCIATES TO CONDUCT APPRAISALS ON PROPERTIES APPROVED FOR PURCHASE THROUGH THE INGHAM COUNTY FARMLAND AND OPEN SPACE PRESERVATION PROGRAM

8. COUNTY SERVICES AND FINANCE COMMITTEES - RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING A RETURN TO THE GENERAL FUND OF PREVIOUSLY APPROVED TRAIL BUDGET FUNDING AND A BUDGET ADJUSTMENT TO THE 2010 LAKE LANSING PARK-NORTH LAND ACQUISITION PROJECT

9. COUNTY SERVICES AND FINANCE COMMITTEES - RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING A RETURN TO THE GENERAL FUND OF PREVIOUSLY APPROVED TRAIL BUDGET FUNDING AND REQUEST FOR FUNDS TO CONSTRUCT A SNOW BOARD HILL AT HAWK ISLAND PARK

10. COUNTY SERVICES AND FINANCE COMMITTEES - RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE ESTABLISHMENT OF USER FEES FOR THE DISC GOLF COURSE AT BURCHFIELD PARK FOR 2011

11. COUNTY SERVICES AND FINANCE COMMITTEES - RESOLUTION FOR AN AMENDMENT AND RESTATEMENT OF INGHAM COUNTY’S DEFERRED COMPENSATION PLAN

12. COUNTY SERVICES AND FINANCE COMMITTEES - RESOLUTION TO APPROVE THE PURCHASE OF A FIBER OPTIC CONNECTION TO HEALTHY SMILES CLINIC FROM ARIALINK

13. COUNTY SERVICES AND FINANCE COMMITTEES - RESOLUTION TO APPROVE THE RENEWAL OF THE ANNUAL MAINTENANCE FOR THE TRACK-IT CALL MANAGEMENT APPLICATION FROM NUMARA SOFTWARE INCORPORATED

14. COUNTY SERVICES AND FINANCE COMMITTEES - RESOLUTION TO APPROVE THE RENEWAL OF THE MUNIS SOFTWARE ANNUAL SUPPORT AGREEMENT FROM TYLER TECHNOLOGIES
15. FINANCE COMMITTEE - RESOLUTION UPDATING VARIOUS FEES FOR COUNTY SERVICES

16. HUMAN SERVICES AND FINANCE COMMITTEES - RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE TRANSFER OF FUNDS TO THE MID-SOUTH SUBSTANCE ABUSE COMMISSION AND A 2011 CONTRACT FOR ACCOUNTING WITH RESPECT THERETO

17. HUMAN SERVICES AND FINANCE COMMITTEES - RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE ACCEPTANCE OF THE MENTAL HEALTH MINI-GRANT – CHILD & ADOLESCENT HEALTH CENTERS FROM THE MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY HEALTH AND THE MUSKEGON AREA INTERMEDIATE SCHOOL DISTRICT

18. JUDICIARY AND FINANCE COMMITTEES - RESOLUTION TO APPROVE THE PURCHASE OF COURTROOM TECHNOLOGY FROM TEL SYSTEMS FOR THE CIRCUIT COURT

19. JUDICIARY COMMITTEE – RESOLUTION HONORING KEVIN THOMPSON

20. JUDICIARY AND FINANCE COMMITTEES – RESOLUTION TO AMEND THE STATE COURT ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE ACCESS AND VISITATION GRANT AGREEMENT

21. LAW ENFORCEMENT, JUDICIARY AND FINANCE COMMITTEES – RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE ENTERING INTO A MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING WITH THE MICHIGAN ASSOCIATION OF COMMUNITY CORRECTION ADVISORY BOARDS (MACCAB) FOR IMPLEMENTATION OF THE PRETRIAL RISK ASSESSMENT PROJECT TO BE PROVIDED BY LUMINOSITY, INC.

22. LAW ENFORCEMENT, JUDICIARY AND FINANCE COMMITTEES – RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING APPROVALS FOR REIMBURSEMENT REQUESTS AND CONTRACT SUBMISSIONS WITHIN THE ELECTRONIC GRANT ADMINISTRATION MANAGEMENT SYSTEM (EGrAMS) BY THE FRIEND OF THE COURT AND PROSECUTING ATTORNEY

23. LAW ENFORCEMENT COMMITTEE – RESOLUTION HONORING DEPUTY LARRY RAMIREZ OF THE INGHAM COUNTY SHERIFF’S OFFICE

24. LAW ENFORCEMENT COMMITTEE – RESOLUTION TO HONOR LIEUTENANT ROY HOLLIDAY OF THE INGHAM COUNTY SHERIFF’S OFFICE
25. LAW ENFORCEMENT COMMITTEE – RESOLUTION HONORING JERRY SWARTZ ON THE EVENT OF HIS RETIREMENT

XI. SPECIAL ORDERS OF THE DAY

XII. PUBLIC COMMENT

XIII. COMMISSIONER ANNOUNCEMENTS

XIV. CONSIDERATION AND ALLOWANCE OF CLAIMS

XV. ADJOURNMENT

THE COUNTY OF INGHAM WILL PROVIDE NECESSARY REASONABLE AUXILIARY AIDS AND SERVICES, SUCH AS INTERPRETERS FOR THE HEARING IMPAIRED AND AUDIO TAPES OF PRINTED MATERIALS BEING CONSIDERED AT THE MEETING FOR THE VISUALLY IMPAIRED, FOR INDIVIDUALS WITH DISABILITIES AT THE MEETING UPON FIVE (5) WORKING DAYS NOTICE TO THE COUNTY OF INGHAM. INDIVIDUALS WITH DISABILITIES REQUIRING AUXILIARY AIDS OR SERVICES SHOULD CONTACT THE COUNTY OF INGHAM IN WRITING OR BY CALLING THE FOLLOWING: INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS, P.O. BOX 319, MASON, MI 48854, 517-676-7200.

PLEASE TURN OFF CELL PHONES AND OTHER ELECTRONIC DEVICES OR SET TO MUTE OR VIBRATE TO AVOID DISRUPTION DURING THE MEETING

FULL BOARD PACKETS ARE AVAILABLE AT: www.ingham.org
CALL TO ORDER:
Chairperson Grebner called the May 10, 2011 regular meeting of the Ingham County Board of Commissioners to order at 6:36 p.m. Roll was called and all Commissioners were present.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE:
Chairperson Grebner led the Board in the Pledge of Allegiance and a few moments of silence were observed for meditation.

APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES:
Moved by Commissioner Schafer, supported by Commissioner Mc Grain, to approve the minutes of the April 26, 2011 Statutory Equalization continuation meeting as submitted. Motion carried unanimously.

ADDITIONS TO THE AGENDA:
Chairperson Grebner indicated that without objection the following substitutes will be added to the agenda.

Agenda Item No 11 - Resolution to Amend the 2010-2011 CPBC Agreement with the Michigan Department of Community Health to Provide Interim Chief Medical Executive Coverage.

Late Resolution Honoring Pastor Stan Parker. Motion carried Unanimously. The resolution was added to the agenda as item no. 17.

PETITIONS AND COMMUNICATIONS:
Letter (with attachment ) from Mid South Substance Abuse Commission regarding financial audit for fiscal year 2009/2010. Referred to Human Services Committee.

Letter from Eaton County Community Development and Housing Department regarding Eaton County Master Plan Public Review and comment period and notice of public hearing. Accepted and placed on file.

Notice from Valerie Lafferty of her resignation from the Senior Advisory Council Board. Accepted and placed on file.

Letter (with attachment) from Enbridge advising public officials along a portion of Enbridge’s Line 6b, a crude oil pipeline that crosses Northwestern Indiana through Southeastern Michigan that they plan to conduct surveys along some segments of the pipeline route in the near future. Accepted and placed on file.

Letter from State of Michigan Department of Human Services regarding new electronic contract and billing system for the child support program. Referred to Judiciary Committee.

LIMITED PUBLIC COMMENT:
Robert Kerr addressed the board regarding the new electronic contract and billing system for the Child Support Program.
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Chuck Gray, new Ingham County UAW Unit Chairperson, addressed the board to introduce himself to Board members.

Robert Ford addressed the board regarding adding Snowboarding to the Hawk Island Tubing Hill.

Pete Bosheff spoke of the high demand for action type activity projects, and how he would like to see more for the community. Spoke of the Ski Hill in Grand Haven Michigan and how he would like to see something like this brought to Lansing.

Eric Starke addressed the board and shared his past experiences and what it was like for him as a teen to be able to enjoy “Ski Bowl” (Mulligan’s Hollow) community hill in Grand Haven Michigan. He feels this would be a great opportunity to bring more to the community if snowboarding was added to the Hawk Island project.

Elizabeth Starke addressed the board in regard to all the fun and excitement that this type of Hill brings to others and how much fun it has been for her brother Eric and many others. She feels this would be a great addition to the Hawk Island Tubing Hill.

Jay Starke addressed the board regarding snowboarding and what the sport has meant to him and his family, also the energy and effort that his kids have put into the sport.

George Leichtweis from Modern Skate & Surf addressed the board regarding how he felt people would choose to move to Lansing if we had a skate park and how he feels that the Hawk Island Tubing Hill is a great idea but feels that for a little more money a snowboard hill could be added and feels it would be a great addition to the project.

Clarification/Information Provided by Committee Chair:
Commissioner Bahar-Cook informed Mr. Kerr that someone from the board would be in touch with him regarding his concerns.

Consideration of Consent Agenda:
Moved by Commissioner Vickers, supported by Commissioner Schafer, to adopt a consent agenda consisting of all items, except agenda items #4. Motion to adopt a consent agenda carried unanimously. Items on the consent agenda were adopted by a unanimous roll call vote. Items voted on separately are so noted in the minutes. Absent: None.

Committee Reports and Resolutions:
The following resolution was introduced by the County Services and Finance Committees of the:

Resolution Granting the Parks & Recreation Commission the Ability to Approve Future Visitor Incentive Programs at the Potter Park Zoo

Resolution #11-142

Whereas, Board of Commissioner Resolutions #07-129 and #08-141 approved the Potter Park entrance fee structure; and
WHEREAS, in 2010 the Board of Commissioners authorized Mother’s Day, Father’s Day, and Grandparent’s Day for Ingham County residents where Mothers, Fathers, and Grandparents received free entrance on the recognized holiday; and

WHEREAS, in 2010 the incentives successfully increased visitation on these days by an average of 79 percent, bringing nearly 2,000 additional visitors to the Potter Park Zoo; and

WHEREAS, in an effort to continue to increase visitation, Zoo staff is asking for flexibility to alter Zoo admission fees to promote similar future visitor incentive events; and

WHEREAS, the Zoo Board and Parks & Recreation Commission supported this concept at their April meetings.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, the Board of Commissioners grants the Parks & Recreation Commission the ability to approve visitor incentive programs at the Potter Park Zoo as it relates to Zoo admission fees after the consideration and recommendation of the Zoo Board, with the approval of the Controller, Board Chair and Chair of County Services Committee.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, staff will provide statistical feedback regarding all incentive programs to the Potter Park Zoo Board, Parks & Recreation Commission and Board of Commissioners on a yearly basis.

COUNTY SERVICES:  Yeas: De Leon, Copedge, Celentino, Schor, Vickers, Dragonetti  
Nays: None  Absent: None  Approved 5/3/11

FINANCE:  Yeas: Tsernoglou, Nolan, Bahar-Cook, McGrain  
Nays: Schor, Dougan  Absent: None  Approved 5/4/11

The following resolution was introduced by the County Services and Finance Committees of the:

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING A RECIPROCAL ARRANGEMENT BETWEEN THE PARKS AND FAIR

RESOLUTION #11-143

WHEREAS, the Fair and Parks Directors have determined it would be mutually beneficial to offer a coupon for reduced refreshments at the Parks and reduced entry to the Ingham County Fair.
THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, the Board of Commissioners authorizes a reciprocal arrangement between the Parks and Fair where from July 1, 2011 through the end of the Fair a $1.00 off an adult admission to the 2011 Ingham County Fair will be printed on every vehicle entrance receipt at Lake Lansing Park-South, Hawk Island, and Burchfield Parks.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, the Ingham County Fair will provide a one page advertisement in their Fair Booklet, advertising the Ingham County Parks and the Potter Park Zoological Society’s participation in the Fair, providing animal exhibits and educational opportunities.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, as a part of this advertisement a coupon will be included for use at any Ingham County Park (with the exception of the Potter Park Zoo) giving $1.00 off refreshments from any park food concession.

COUNTY SERVICES: Yeas: De Leon, Copedge, Celentino, Schor, Vickers, Dragonetti
Nays: None Absent: None Approved 5/3/11

FINANCE: Yeas: Schor, Tsernoglou, Nolan, Bahar-Cook, McGrain, Dougan
Nays: None Absent: None Approved 5/4/11

Adopted as part of the consent agenda.

The following resolution was introduced by the County Services and Finance Committees of the:

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING ACCEPTANCE OF A CHARITABLE DONATION FROM THE POTTER PARK ZOOLOGICAL SOCIETY FOR THE POTTER PARK ZOO

RESOLUTION #11-144

WHEREAS, the Potter Park Zoo is constructing a new rhino exhibit scheduled to be open to the public in 2011; and

WHEREAS, the Potter Park Zoological Society committed to making a $200,000 donation to support completion of the rhino project; and

WHEREAS, the Potter Park Zoological Society actively and successfully pursued raising these funds within the greater Lansing community to meet this commitment; and

WHEREAS, the Potter Park Zoological Society is a private, 501c(3) nonprofit, fundraising organization that raises funds to support the Zoo; and

WHEREAS, in that capacity the Zoological Society supports: Marketing, Educational Programming, the Docent Association, the Teen Zookeeper Program, Special Events and the Zookambi Summer Camp; and

WHEREAS, the intent of this charitable gift is that it be used solely and exclusively for the Rhino Exhibit; and

WHEREAS, the Zoo Board and Parks & Recreation Commission supported this resolution with the passage of a resolution.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, the Ingham County Board of Commissioners authorizes the acceptance of the $200,000 donation from the Potter Park Zoological Society for the Potter Park Rhino Exhibit.
BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the members of the Ingham County Board of Commissioners, the Parks & Recreation Commission, and the Zoo Board would like to thank the Potter Park Zoological Society for their dedication and generous donation.

COUNTY SERVICES:  Yeas:  De Leon, Copedge, Celentino, Schor, Vickers, Dragonetti  
Nays:  None  Absent:  None  Approved 5/3/11

FINANCE:  Yeas:  Schor, Tsernoglou, Nolan, Bahar-Cook, McGrain, Dougan  
Nays:  None  Absent:  None  Approved 5/4/11

Adopted as part of the consent agenda.

The following resolution was introduced by the County Services and Finance Committees of the:

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING A CONTRACT WITH SCHINDLER ELEVATOR COMPANY TO PROVIDE ELEVATOR REPAIR AND MAINTENANCE

RESOLUTION #11-145

WHEREAS, the Facilities Department is responsible for ensuring proper repair and maintenance of fourteen elevators and two dumbwaiters in County buildings; and

WHEREAS, due to the liability exposure and technical nature required for maintaining the elevators, a service contract performed by a trained and certified technician is required; and

WHEREAS, the funds for this project are available through maintenance related and contractual line item 931100; and

WHEREAS, the Purchasing Department solicited proposals for elevator maintenance and repair and after review by the evaluation committee has determined that Schindler Elevator Company a registered, local vendor, submitted the most responsive and responsible proposal; and

WHEREAS, Schindler Elevator has a history of providing good, dependable service to the County.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, the Ingham County Board of Commissioners hereby authorizes awarding a three-year contract to Schindler Elevator Company of 3135 Pine Tree Road, Suite B, Lansing, Michigan for repair and maintenance of County elevators and dumbwaiters for an amount not to exceed $43,365.00.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, the County may extend the contract for an additional two-year period under the original terms and conditions provided that there is no more than a 1% increase in cost.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, the Ingham County Board of Commissioners authorizes the Board Chairperson and the County Clerk to sign any necessary documents that are consistent with this resolution and approved as to form by the County Attorney.

COUNTY SERVICES:  Yeas:  De Leon, Copedge, Celentino, Schor, Vickers, Dragonetti  
Nays:  None  Absent:  None  Approved 5/3/11

FINANCE:  Yeas:  Schor, Tsernoglou, Nolan, Bahar-Cook, McGrain, Dougan
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Nays: None    Absent: None    Approved 5/4/11

Adopted as part of the consent agenda.

The following resolution was introduced by the County Services and Finance Committees of the:


RESOLUTION #11-146

WHEREAS, the Equalization/Tax Mapping Department and the Treasurer’s Office purchased Equalizer software from Bellefeuil, Szur & Associates, Inc. (BS&A) of Bath, Michigan, in 1999 – Resolution #99-166; and

WHEREAS, at that time the Ingham County Board of Commissioners authorized up to $200,000 from the Delinquent Tax Administration Fund to purchase the software and related hardware; and

WHEREAS, last year BS&A initiated a major upgrade of the Equalizer software to a .NET platform; and

WHEREAS, the .NET version of the Equalizer runs faster, is more stable, and will soon become the standard in Michigan; and

WHEREAS, five of the local units in Ingham County have already upgraded to the .NET version of the Equalizer; and

WHEREAS, several more local units are on line to upgrade but are waiting for the County to upgrade before doing so; and

WHEREAS, BS&A’s Equalizer/Assessing/Tax software is the standard in Michigan and is currently used by all local unit assessors and treasurers; and

WHEREAS, the cost of said software upgrades and any hardware required will be paid out of the County Treasurer’s Delinquent Tax Administration Fund.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners authorizes a contract with BS&A Software for the purchase and installation of the .NET version of the Equalization/Assessing Software System for the Equalization/Tax Mapping Department; and the .Net version of the Equalizer County Tax Software System, the Equalizer Delinquent County Tax Software System, the PRE Audit Software System, and the Animal License Software System for the County Treasurer’s Office.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the total cost of upgrading to .NET version of the Equalizer for the Equalization/Tax Mapping Department and the County Treasurer’s Office, including the development of the appropriate software, data conversion, appropriate licensing fees, testing, installation, acquisition, and related hardware shall not exceed $150,000.
BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the County Controller/Administrator is authorized to make any required budget adjustments.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Board Chairperson and County Clerk are authorized to sign the necessary contract after approval as to form by the County Attorney.

COUNTY SERVICES:  Yeas:  De Leon, Copedge, Celentino, Schor, Vickers, Dragonetti  
Nays:  None  Absent:  None  Approved 5/3/11

FINANCE:  Yeas:  Schor, Tsernoglou, Nolan, Bahar-Cook, McGrain, Dougan  
Nays:  None  Absent:  None  Approved 5/4/11

Adopted as part of the consent agenda.

The following resolution was introduced by the County Services and Finance Committees of the:

RESOLUTION APPROVING ENTERING INTO A GRANT WITH THE MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF LABOR AND ECONOMIC GROWTH AND APPOINT DOUGLAS A. STOVER AS COUNTY GRANT ADMINISTRATOR FOR THE 2011 REMONUMENTATION PROJECT

RESOLUTION #11-147

WHEREAS, a grant application was submitted to the Office of Land Survey and Remonumentation of the Michigan Department of Labor and Economic Growth, for the sole purpose of receiving funds to implement Ingham County’s Monumentation and Remonumentation Plan; and

WHEREAS, as requested, the Ingham County Remonumentation Committee did consult with and take into account the preferences and needs of local units of government, the Ingham County Road Commission, local surveyors, and area real estate developers in choosing areas in which to work; and

WHEREAS, the Office of Land Survey and Remonumentation of the Michigan Department of Labor and Economic Growth has reviewed Ingham County’s 2011 Survey and Remonumentation Grant Application in the amount of $88,319, and has forwarded the 2011 Grant Agreement/Contract for execution; and

WHEREAS, as required by Act 345, P.A. 1990, a condition of receiving annual grant funds to implement the County Monumentation and Remonumentation Plan is that the County appoint a County Grant Administrator.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners approves entering into a grant with the Michigan Department of Labor and Economic Growth for the purpose of receiving $88,319 in grant funds for the Ingham County Monumentation and Remonumentation Project in the year 2011.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, upon the respectful recommendation of the Ingham County Remonumentation Committee, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners appoint Douglas A. Stover, Equalization Director, for the related services of County Grant Administrator as required by Act 345, P.A. 1990.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the County Chairperson, County Clerk, and County Grant Administrator have the authority to sign said grant contract documents once County Attorney has approved said contract.

COUNTY SERVICES:  Yeas:  De Leon, Copedge, Celentino, Schor, Vickers, Dragonetti
FINANCE: Yeas: Schor, Tsernoglou, Nolan, Bahar-Cook, McGrain, Dougan
Nays: None Absent: None Approved 5/4/11

Adopted as part of the consent agenda.

The following resolution was introduced by the County Services and Finance Committees of the:

RESOLUTION TO CONTRACT WITH RONNIE M. LESTER AS COUNTY REPRESENTATIVE AND ENGER SURVEYING & ENGINEERING, INC. FOR SERVICES AS MONUMENTATION SURVEYOR FOR THE INGHAM COUNTY MONUMENTATION AND REMONUMENTATION PROJECT IN 2011

RESOLUTION #11-148

WHEREAS, Acts 345 and 346, P.A. of 1990, states that each County in the State of Michigan shall prepare a County Monumentation and Remonumentation Plan; and

WHEREAS, the Ingham County Monumentation and Remonumentation Plan was submitted by the Ingham County Board of Commissioners and approved by the State Survey and Remonumentation Commission on June 24, 1992; and

WHEREAS, as required by Act 345, P.A. 1990 a condition of receiving annual grant funds to implement the County Monumentation and Remonumentation Plan is that the County obtain and/or contract with a professional surveyor to oversee the activities of the grant project; and

WHEREAS, Ronnie M. Lester, P.S., was selected in 1992 to be the Ingham County Representative and has since been an integral part of the implementation of the Ingham County Monumentation and Remonumentation Plan; and

WHEREAS, the Ingham County Remonumentation Committee has gone through a Request for Proposal process to select a qualified surveying company(ies) to conduct the actual research and monumentation field work necessary to accomplish the objectives of the grant agreement; and

WHEREAS, Enger Surveying & Engineering submitted a proposal to perform all of the monumentation services for 2011; and

WHEREAS, it is the recommendation of the Purchasing Department, with the concurrence of the Remonumentation Committee, that it is in the County’s best interest to authorize a contract with Enger Surveying & Engineering for services as monumentation surveyor for 2011.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, upon the respectful recommendation of the Ingham County Remonumentation Committee, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners contract with Ronnie M. Lester, P.S., upon approval of the 2011 Grant Application by the State Monumentation and Remonumentation Commission, for the related services of County Representative as required by Act 345, P.A. 1990. Said contract to be funded by Survey and Remonumentation grant funds authorized under Act 345, P.A. 1990, for the period of one year, January 1, 2011 through December 31, 2011, at a cost not to exceed $12,600.
BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners authorizes a contract for the services of County Remonumentation Project Surveyor as required by Act 345, P.A., 1990, with Enger Surveying & Engineering, Inc., said contract to be funded by Survey and Remonumentation grant funds for a period of one year, January 1, 2011 through December 31, 2011, in an amount not to exceed $67,869.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the County Chairperson and County Clerk have authority to sign said contracts and County Attorney be directed to prepare the necessary contracts.

COUNTY SERVICES: Yeas: De Leon, Copedge, Celentino, Schor, Vickers, Dragonetti
Nays: None
Absent: None
Approved 5/3/11

FINANCE: Yeas: Schor, Tsernoglou, Nolan, Bahar-Cook, McGrain, Dougan
Nays: None
Absent: None
Approved 5/4/11

Adopted as part of the consent agenda.

The following resolution was introduced by the Human Services and Finance Committees of the:

RESOLUTION TO AMEND THE 2010-2011 CPBC AGREEMENT WITH THE MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY HEALTH TO PROVIDE INTERIM CHIEF MEDICAL EXECUTIVE COVERAGE

RESOLUTION #11-149

WHEREAS, the Chief Medical Executive of the Michigan Department of Community Health (MDCH) has left State employment; and

WHEREAS, the Michigan Department of Community Health (MDCH) has begun a process to recruit and employ a new Chief Medical Executive; and

WHEREAS, the MDCH has proposed that the 2010-2011 Comprehensive Planning, Budgeting, and Contracting (CPBC) Agreement with Ingham County be amended to include the provision of Chief Medical Executive services until the permanent Chief Medical Executive is employed; and

WHEREAS, the Health Officer has recommended that the Board of Commissioners authorize the proposed amendment.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners authorizes an amendment to the 2010-2011 Comprehensive Planning, Budgeting and Contracting (CPBC) Agreement with the Michigan Department of Community Health (MDCH).

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the amendment shall state that Ingham County shall provide for Chief Medical Executive services to the MDCH from May 7, 2011 through September 30, 2011.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the total amount of this agreement is up to $30,000 to be paid monthly in amounts of $5,800, plus reimbursement for any attributable travel and lodging expenses.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the services to be provided shall be:
MAY 10, 2011 REGULAR MEETING

- Provide one (1) day per week on-site Chief Medical Executive functions to the Michigan Department of Community Health.
- Assume Second Call responsibilities for weekends and after hours.
- Be available for telephone consultation on an as needed basis.
- Attend other meetings as necessary.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Board Chairperson is authorized to sign the amendment after review by the County Attorney.

HUMAN SERVICES: Yeas: Tennis, McGrain, Koenig, Nolan, Vickers, Dougan
Nays: None  Absent: None  Approved 5/2/11

FINANCE: Yeas: Schor, Tsernoglou, Nolan, Bahar-Cook, McGrain, Dougan
Nays: None  Absent: None  Approved 5/4/11

Adopted as part of the consent agenda

The following resolution was introduced by the Human Services and Finance Committees of the:

RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE INGHAM COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT TO ENTER INTO AN AGREEMENT WITH CAPITAL LINK

RESOLUTION #11-150

WHEREAS, the Ingham County Health Department (ICHD) is operating at capacity in each of its seven Community Health Centers; and

WHEREAS, the ICHD does not currently have the physical space to meet the growing demands of Ingham County’s residents; and

WHEREAS, the ICHD would like to strategically research avenues to create economies of scale and efficiencies in order to prepare for the expected effects of Health Care Reform; and

WHEREAS, the ICHD proposes to contract with Capital Link, a national nonprofit organization that provides high-quality, affordable, innovative advisory and lending services related to planning and financing capital projects; and

WHEREAS, Capital Link works exclusively with Community Health Centers (CHCs) and has helped CHCs in Jackson, Grand Rapids, Flint and Battle Creek create strategic plans for capital projects; and

WHEREAS, Capital Link will explore ways to combine resources and to expand services in order to draw down more revenue; and

WHEREAS, this project will allow the ICHD to position itself to apply for federal capital improvement funds if they become available; and

WHEREAS, effective January 1, 2014, Americans who earn less than 133 percent of the poverty level (approximately $14,000 for an individual and $29,000 for a family of four) will be eligible to enroll in Medicaid; and
WHEREAS, the ICHD remains committed to serving Ingham County’s vulnerable populations and must be ready to serve this new population of Medicaid recipients; and

WHEREAS, these needed services will cost the ICHD a total of $24,800, which will be paid using budgeted funds; and

WHEREAS, the Health Officer recommends that the Board of Commissioners authorize the ICHD to enter into an agreement with Capital Link to provide the needed services.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners authorizes an agreement of up to $24,800 between the Ingham County Health Department and Capital Link for the following services:

1. Market Assessment Process – to evaluate the ICHD’s current and future markets to determine the potential for expansion;
2. Strategic Facility Planning Process – to establish the functional model of care, which will allow the ICHD to most efficiently and effectively respond to the preventive and primary health care needs of the community;
3. Organizational Facility Plan – to support the development of the chosen model of care.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, the agreement will be for the time period of June 1, 2011 through November 30, 2011.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the County Clerk and the Chairperson of the Board of Commissioners are hereby authorized to sign the necessary contract documents on behalf of the County after approval as to form by the County Attorney.

HUMAN SERVICES: Yeas: Tennis, McGrain, Koenig, Nolan, Vickers, Dougan
Nays: None Absent: None Approved 5/2/11

FINANCE: Yeas: Schor, Tsernoglou, Nolan, Bahar-Cook, McGrain, Dougan
Nays: None Absent: None Approved 5/4/11

Adopted as part of the consent agenda.

The following resolution was introduced by the Human Services, County Services and Finance Committees of the:

RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE TRANSITION OF A NURSE ASSESSOR POSITION TO A HEALTH CENTER NURSE

RESOLUTION #11-151

WHEREAS, the current Nurse Assessor resigned her position effective April 15, 2011; and

WHEREAS, after careful review of the duties of a Nurse Assessor and the operational needs within the Ingham County Health Department’s Community Health Center Network, it is recommended that the vacant Nurse Assessor position (MNA Grade 2, Position No. 601231) be converted to a Health Center Nurse position (MNA Grade 1, Position No. 601231); and
WHEREAS, the reassignment of these positions will result in a cost savings of more than $2,000; and

WHEREAS, both of these positions fall within the Michigan Nurses Association (MNA) collective bargaining unit, and the MNA supports this conversion to better meet the operational needs within the Network; and

WHEREAS, the Health Officer recommends that these positions be converted.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners authorizes the conversion of a vacant Nurse Assessor position (MNA Grade 2, Position No. 601231) to a Health Center Nurse position (MNA Grade 1, Position No. 601231) effective upon approval of this resolution.

HUMAN SERVICES: Yeas: Tennis, McGrain, Koenig, Nolan, Vickers, Dougan
   Nays: None Absent: None Approved 5/2/11

COUNTY SERVICES: Yeas: De Leon, Copedge, Celentino, Schor, Vickers, Dragonetti
   Nays: None Absent: None Approved 5/3/11

FINANCE: Yeas: Schor, Tsernoglou, Nolan, Bahar-Cook, McGrain, Dougan
   Nays: None Absent: None Approved 5/4/11

Adopted as part of the consent agenda.

The following resolution was introduced by the Human Services, County Services and Finance Committees of the:

RESOLUTION TO ESTABLISH A 1.0 FTE ASSISTANT SOCIAL WORKER POSITION IN PUBLIC HEALTH NURSING

RESOLUTION #11-152

WHEREAS, Public Health Nursing provides the Maternal Infant Health Program (MIHP) to high risk prenatal women and infants is able to bill Medicaid for this service; and

WHEREAS, MDCH has recently revised the staffing requirements for MIHP, resulting in an increase in the scope of responsibilities for social workers in this program; and

WHEREAS, in addition to nursing staff, the Ingham County Health Department MIHP staff is currently composed of a 0.5 FTE Medical Social Worker; and

WHEREAS, ICHD currently has limited social worker capacity to serve in this revenue generating program, at a time when the social work related needs of our clients are increasing; and

WHEREAS, the establishment of a 1.0 FTE Assistant Social Worker will provide increased staffing capacity; and

WHEREAS, the increased revenue generated from MIHP services will fully fund a 1.0 FTE Assistant Social Worker.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners establishes a 1.0 FTE
Assistant Social Worker position in Public Health Nursing.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the position shall be posted internally and immediately as an ICEA Professional Grade 5, Step 1.

HUMAN SERVICES:  Yeas: Tennis, McGrain, Koenig, Nolan, Vickers, Dougan
   Nays: None   Absent: None   Approved 5/2/11

COUNTY SERVICES:  Yeas: De Leon, Copedge, Celentino, Schor, Vickers, Dragonetti
   Nays: None   Absent: None   Approved 5/3/11

FINANCE:  Yeas: Schor, Tsernoglou, Nolan, Bahar-Cook, McGrain, Dougan
   Nays: None   Absent: None   Approved 5/4/11

Approved as part of the consent agenda.

The following resolution was introduced by the Judiciary and Finance Committees of the:

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING AN AGREEMENT WITH COURTVIEW JUSTICE SOLUTIONS
FOR MODIFICATIONS NEEDED TO THE CIRCUIT COURT SENTENCING SCREEN

RESOLUTION #11-153

WHEREAS, the Ingham County Circuit Court has been using the same CourtView Sentencing Screen since 1999; and

WHEREAS, information contained in the Sentencing Screen is required to be transmitted to the Secretary of State and the Michigan State Police; and

WHEREAS, the submission process for the Secretary of State is currently a manual procedure requiring the filling out of forms and a submission by mail; and

WHEREAS, the submission process for the Michigan State Police currently utilizes an inappropriate field for transmission and provides inadequate information; and

WHEREAS, the Kent County Circuit Court implemented CourtView as their Case Management system several years after Ingham County; and

WHEREAS, CourtView Justice Solutions made changes to the Kent County Sentencing Screen that allows for electronic submission of information to both the Secretary of State and the Michigan State Police; and

WHEREAS, the Ingham County Circuit Court would like to implement the same Sentencing Screen in order to electronically submit complete and accurate information to the Secretary of State and the Michigan State Police; and

WHEREAS, the implementation of the new sentencing screen will facilitate integration of new releases by CourtView and will no longer require special treatment for Ingham County.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Board of Commissioners authorizes the Circuit Court to accept a CourtView Justice Solutions quote in the amount of $9,900 to change the screen and convert existing data.
BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the funding will come from the Law and Order Fund for Technology (LOFT) account #245 25820 932050.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Controller/Administrator is authorized to make any necessary budget adjustments consistent with this resolution.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Chairperson of the Ingham County Board of Commissioners and the County Clerk are authorized to sign any contract/purchase documents consistent with this resolution and approved as to form by the County Attorney.

JUDICIARY:  Yeas: Bahar-Cook, Koenig, Holman, Tsernoglou, Schafer
Nays: None  Absent: Tennis  Approved 4/28/11

FINANCE:  Yeas: Schor, Tsernoglou, Nolan, Bahar-Cook, McGrain, Dougan
Nays: None  Absent: None  Approved 5/4/11

Adopted as part of the consent agenda.

The following resolution was introduced by the Law Enforcement and Finance Committees of the:

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE ACCEPTANCE OF FY 2008 AND 2009 HOMELAND SECURITY GRANT PROGRAM PASS THROUGH FUNDS FOR NINE IN-CAR VIDEO CAMERA SYSTEMS FOR OTHER COUNTY POLICE DEPARTMENTS

RESOLUTION #11-154

WHEREAS, the Ingham County Office of Homeland Security & Emergency Management has been approved to receive pass through grant funds from the Homeland Security Grant Program (HSGP) grant; and

WHEREAS, the purpose of these grant funds is to purchase in-car camera video equipment for other County police departments; and

WHEREAS, the Ingham County Board of Commissioners previously authorized entering into a contract with the City of Lansing, to be the fiduciary agent for the Homeland Security Grant Program (HSGP).

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, the Ingham County Board of Commissioners authorizes the acceptance of pass through grant funds for the purchase of nine (9) in-car video camera systems and two video storage servers from L3 Mobile Vision at a cost not to exceed $56,685.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the equipment will be disbursed to the following Police Departments:
• Lansing Township, six in-car video camera systems and one video storage server; and
• Williamston, three in-car video camera systems and one video storage server.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners directs the Controller/Administrator to make any necessary budget adjustments to the Ingham County Office of Homeland Security & Emergency Management Budget pending final grant project approval by the State of Michigan.
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BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners authorizes the Board Chairperson and the County Clerk to sign any necessary subcontract or purchase documents that are consistent with this resolution and approved as to form by the County Attorney.

LAW ENFORCEMENT:  Yeas: Celentino, Holman, De Leon, Schafer, Dragonetti
   Nays: None    Absent: Copedge    Approved 4/28/11

FINANCE:  Yeas: Schor, Tsernoglou, Nolan, Bahar-Cook, McGrain, Dougan
   Nays: None    Absent: None    Approved 5/4/11

The following resolution was introduced by the Ingham County Board of Commissioners:

RESOLUTION HONORING PASTOR STAN PARKER

RESOLUTION #11-155

WHEREAS, Pastor Stan Parker’s love for people and ministry is universal, as shown by his leading of mission teams throughout the world, his travels have taken him to Jamaica, Philippines, Africa, Mexico, Canada, Costa Rica, and many states in America; and

WHEREAS, he continues to work with the campus ministry “Just for Christ” at Michigan State University, and serves as a Chaplain at the Ingham County Juvenile Home; and

WHEREAS, he visits the sick in hospitals, ministers to those who are in jail and prison, and provides counseling to those who are in need; and

WHEREAS, under Pastor Stan’s leadership, the Faith Fellowship Baptist Church serves the community with an After School Action Program, food pantry, clothing closet and Sunday Community Family Forum; and

WHEREAS, the Eastside Community Action Center is expanding to include English as a Second Language, Personal Adjustment Counseling and a Mom’s Support Group, the youth mentorship program has also been expanded; and

WHEREAS, Faith Fellowship Baptist Church is now sponsoring two churches: The Happy Church in Kenya Africa, and the Nepali Mission Fellowship, which now worships at their current location.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners hereby honors Pastor Stan Parker and First Lady Charlotte Parker on their eleventh anniversary, for their proven dedication and commitment to the citizens of Ingham County.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Board wishes him continued success in all of his future endeavors.

SS/ Bahar-Cook, Celentino, Copedge, De Leon, Dougan, Dragonetti, Holman, Koenig, McGrain, Nolan, Schafer, Schor, Tennis, Tsernoglou, Vickers and Grebner

Adopted as part of the consent agenda.
SPECIAL ORDERS OF THE DAY:
None.

PUBLIC COMMENT:
None.

COMMISSIONER ANNOUNCEMENTS:
Commissioner Copedge congratulated the Pack 9 Union Missionary Scouts. He also announced the 2011 17th annual Black College Tour, October 31 – November, donations and support are needed.

Commissioner Bahar-Cook shared with the board what a success the Mothers Day at the Zoo event was.

Commissioner Nolan reminded the Board of the May 19th Wine & Stein event at the Zoo, 6:00 to 10 p.m., must be 21 to attend.

Commissioner De Leon announced the Refugee Development Center fundraiser June 2, 2011 from 5:30 – 7:30 at 319 W. Grand River, Old Town.

Commissioner Copedge congratulated Mr. Baldemar Velasquez who was at an event at MSU on May 6, 2011.

Commissioner McGrain announced May 17, 2011 Taste of Art & Life event. Tickets are still available for this great event.

Commissioner Tennis addressed the board with condolences for the passing of James Morgan security guard at the Human Services Building, he was loved by many and will be missed.

Commissioner De Leon thanked Commissioner Copedge for presenting a proclamation to Baldemar Velasquez on her behalf.

Commissioner Koenig announced she has tickets for Commissioners for the 2011 Cristo Rey Fiesta.

CONSIDERATION AND ALLOWANCE OF CLAIMS:
Moved by Commissioner Tsernoglou, supported by Commissioner Celentino to approve payment of the claims submitted by the County Clerk and Financial Services Department in the amount of $2,653,010.43. Motion carried unanimously.

ADJOURNMENT:
The meeting was adjourned at 7:18 p.m.

MARK GREBNER, CHAIRPERSON

MIKE BRYANTON, INGHAM COUNTY CLERK

Cathy Smith Clerical Services Supervisor
May 13, 2011

Mr. Mark Grebner
Chair, Board of Commissioners - Dist. 10
Ingham County
Ingham County Courthouse
P.O. Box 319
Mason, MI 48854

Dear Mr. Grebner:

As a follow up to my letter of May 2, 2011, I am writing to update public officials along a portion of Enbridge’s crude oil pipeline that crosses northwestern Indiana through southeastern Michigan regarding our near-term and ongoing maintenance plans for this pipeline. This 293-mile-long pipeline is referred to as “Line 6B” by Enbridge and is part of its Lakehead System (Lakehead Pipe Line Company was renamed Enbridge in 2001).

You may recall that, as part of our ongoing system-wide pipeline integrity program, we have conducted a number of internal inspections of Line 6B and have investigated and remediated sites along the pipeline in cases where features requiring repair were identified by the internal inspections. In addition to excavations and repairs and in parallel with that effort, we have reviewed the feasibility of various other maintenance approaches going forward. For this review process, Enbridge is conducting civil and environmental surveys along various segments of the pipeline route. We have organized this overall effort and devoted a team into what we call the “Line 6B Maintenance and Rehabilitation Project.”

We are now proposing, subject to regulatory approval, to replace approximately 75 miles of the existing Line 6B, consisting of 65 miles in Michigan and 10 miles in Indiana. The sections proposed to be replaced were chosen, in part, because they are immediately downstream of active pumping stations or would likely require continuing repairs in coming years. Over the long term, Enbridge anticipates that pipeline replacement in these areas will obviate the need for recurring excavations and repairs along these segments. By taking this approach, we believe it will result in fewer disturbances to landowners and communities in the long run.

A high-level conceptual map of the planned segments is attached.

As currently planned, the new pipeline segments will require Enbridge to widen or acquire new right-of-way in some areas to ensure sufficient room between the currently active Line 6B pipeline and an adjacent natural gas pipeline. Following installation of the new pipeline segments, the existing pipeline segments being replaced will be purged of oil, filled with nitrogen, and permanently and safely deactivated in compliance with federal pipeline safety regulations.
The purpose of Enbridge’s Line 6B Maintenance and Rehabilitation Project is to expand on our routine activities through a series of comprehensive assessments, repairs and other actions. As part of this effort, during 2010 and the first half of 2011, Enbridge completed some 400 repairs and replaced approximately three miles of pipe along Line 6B, including 14 separate segments in Michigan’s Livingston and Oakland counties as well as the pipeline crossing beneath the St. Clair River.

The Line 6B Maintenance and Rehabilitation Project is part of Enbridge’s ongoing pipeline integrity management program that is adapted and expanded based on the operating and physical conditions of each pipeline segment. Until the Line 6B project is complete, and subject to federal regulatory approval, Enbridge will continue to operate Line 6B at lower than normal operating pressures. Enbridge is proposing to complete the line segment replacement portion of this overall program by year-end 2012 so that reliable and safe crude oil delivery can continue in order to meet the everyday energy needs of consumers and businesses in the region.

Enbridge will soon initiate discussions with landowners to widen or acquire new right-of-way and begin regulatory permitting, including with the Michigan Public Service Commission. We also will keep affected public and public officials updated as our Line 6B Maintenance and Rehabilitation Project continues to progress.

If you or your constituents have any questions on this project, please contact me toll-free at 800-210-4362 or via e-mail at joe.martucci@enbridge.com.

Cordially,

Joe Martucci
Enbridge Community Relations Consultant

Enc.
Enbridge Energy Partners plans to replace approximately 75 miles of the Line 6B pipeline in Indiana and Michigan. The replacements include five-mile sections starting from the Griffith and La Porte IN. and Niles, Mendon, and Marshall MI. pump stations as well as 50 miles of pipeline starting at the Stockbridge pump station. Subject to regulatory approvals, the new segments of pipeline will be installed beginning in 2012 and operational by early 2013.

Enbridge Energy Partners, L.P. owns and operates a diversified portfolio of crude oil and natural gas transportation systems in the United States. Its principal crude oil system is the largest transporter of growing oil production from western Canada. The system's deliveries to refining centers and connected carriers in the United States account for approximately 13 percent of total U.S. oil imports; while deliveries to Ontario, Canada satisfy approximately 70 percent of refinery demand in that region.
May 10, 2011

Dear Interested Party:

Pursuant to Act 451, Section 5511, the Michigan Department of Environmental Quality, Air Quality Division’s Pending New Source Review Application Report is enclosed. This report lists all of the pending applications submitted for sources within your county.

The Pending New Source Review Applications Report includes the following information: county, city, date received, applicant’s name, site address, application permit number, and a brief description of the nature of the source or process.

This report will be sent to you on a monthly basis unless you request that your name be deleted from our mailing list. Please note that this information is updated weekly and is also available on the Internet. A query is accessible on the Permits page at the following address: http://www.deq.state.mi.us/aps. Click on "NSR Pending Application Query," select the county name from the drop down list, then click the "Submit Query" button. You may obtain information on sources located in neighboring counties by accessing the above query or by contacting me.

Thank you for your interest in this matter.

Sincerely,

Sue Thelen
Permit Section
Air Quality Division
517-373-7068

Enclosure
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County</th>
<th>City</th>
<th>Received</th>
<th>Applicant</th>
<th>Site Address</th>
<th>Permit No.</th>
<th>Equipment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CLINTON</td>
<td>LANSING</td>
<td>3/9/2011</td>
<td>MULTI PACKAGING SOLUTIONS</td>
<td>5800 W GRAND RIVER AVE</td>
<td>424-97E</td>
<td>FLEXOGRAPHIC LABEL PRESSES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EATON</td>
<td>LANSING</td>
<td>8/28/2009</td>
<td>LANSING BOARD OF WATER</td>
<td>3725 S CANAL ROAD</td>
<td>220-09</td>
<td>COAL FIRED BOILER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GENESEE</td>
<td>FLINT</td>
<td>5/13/2009</td>
<td>DELPHI AUTOMOTIVE SYSTEMS</td>
<td>1300 N DORT HIGHWAY</td>
<td>132-09</td>
<td>EMISSION UNITS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GENESEE</td>
<td>FLINT</td>
<td>11/19/2009</td>
<td>GENESEE POWER STATION</td>
<td>G-5310 NORTH DORT HIGHWAY</td>
<td>265-06B</td>
<td>EXTENSION OF TRIAL BURN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GENESEE</td>
<td>FLINT</td>
<td>9/20/2010</td>
<td>GENESEE POWER STATION</td>
<td>G-5310 NORTH DORT HIGHWAY</td>
<td>265-06C</td>
<td>USE OF TIRE DERIVED FUEL AS SUPPLEMENTAL FUEL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GENESEE</td>
<td>FLINT</td>
<td>1/14/2011</td>
<td>HURLEY MEDICAL CENTER</td>
<td>ONE HURLEY PLAZA</td>
<td>47-07A</td>
<td>GENERATOR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GENESEE</td>
<td>FLINT</td>
<td>4/21/2011</td>
<td>GENERAL MOTORS CORP</td>
<td>G-3100 VAN SLYKE RD</td>
<td>64-11</td>
<td>PRETREATMENT, ELECTRODEPOSITION TANK AND OVEN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INGHAM</td>
<td>EAST LANSING</td>
<td>2/11/2011</td>
<td>MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY</td>
<td>65 SERVICE ROAD</td>
<td>25-11</td>
<td>USE OF BIOFUEL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAPEER</td>
<td>METAMORA</td>
<td>1/1/1980</td>
<td>METAMORA PRODUCTS</td>
<td>4057 S OAK ST</td>
<td>48-80F</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAPEER</td>
<td>METAMORA</td>
<td>1/1/1980</td>
<td>METAMORA PRODUCTS CORP</td>
<td>4057 S OAK ST</td>
<td>48-80F</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIVINGSTON</td>
<td>BRIGHTON</td>
<td>10/20/2009</td>
<td>BATTERY SOLUTIONS INC</td>
<td>7266 KENSINGTON ROAD</td>
<td>248-09</td>
<td>GRIND DRY CELL BATTERIES</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
RESOLUTION IN SUPPORT OF HOUSE BILLS 4148, 4149 & 4150

WHEREAS, Gratiot County’s property values continue to decline; home foreclosures are at a record high; and a majority of our local units are experiencing increased delinquent property taxes; most notable in Special Assessment Districts where their revenue stream is intended to make annual debt payments; And

WHEREAS, House Bills #4148, #4149 & #4150 seek to provide some relief to property owners and local municipalities to pay the delinquent taxes and make annual debt payments; And

WHEREAS, House Bill #4148 is a bill to create the delinquent special assessment revolving loan fund; to provide for the administration of the fund; to prescribe requirements for loans from the fund; to prescribe duties of certain state and local officials; and, to make appropriations; And

WHEREAS, House Bill #4149 is a bill to amend “The General Property Tax Act” (1893 PA 206), by amending Section 78a (MCL 211.78a), as amended by 2008 PA 352, whereby allowing the County Board of Commissioners, by Resolution, to determine the amount of interest to be added to property returned as delinquent under this section; at a non-compounded rate of not more than 1% per month; And

WHEREAS, House Bill #4150 is also a bill to amend “The General Property Tax Act” (1893 PA 206), by amending Section 87b (MCL 211.787b), as amended by 2002 PA 198, whereby allowing the County Board of Commissioners, by Resolution, to determine the amount of interest to be added to the delinquent taxes (for charge-back to local units) under this subsection; at a non-compounded rate of not more than 1% per month; And

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Gratiot County Board of Commissioners hereby urges Michigan Legislators to immediately support House Bills 4148, 4149 & 4150 with minor modifications: “Allowing the State Tax Commission to annually set the amount of interest to be added to the delinquent taxes at a non-compounded rate of not more than 1% per month.”; And

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Gratiot County Board of Commissioners urges all local units within the County to contact their Michigan State Senators and State Representatives and urge them to act immediately and that the Gratiot County Clerk be directed to forward copies of this resolution to Governor Rick Snyder, Michigan State Senators and State Representatives, Michigan Association of Counties, and the other 82 Counties in the State of Michigan.

Moved by Commissioner Suits, supported by Commissioner Bailey:

The following aye votes were recorded:

The following nay votes were recorded:

I, Carol A. Vernon, Clerk of the Gratiot County Board of Commissioners, do hereby certify that the above and foregoing is a true and correct copy of a resolution adopted by the Gratiot County Board of Commissioners at a meeting held on May 17, 2011 and is on file in the Gratiot County Clerk’s Office.

Dated: May 17, 2011

Carol A. Vernon, Gratiot County Clerk
INTRODUCED BY THE COUNTY SERVICES AND FINANCE COMMITTEES OF THE:

INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE TRANSFER OF FUNDS FROM THE TREASURER’S OFFICE TO THE REGISTER OF DEEDS AND TO AUTHORIZE A TEMPORARY EMPLOYEE

RESOLUTION #11-

WHEREAS, some title search work is contracted to external entities at considerable cost ($47,500 annually); and

WHEREAS, the title search tasks can be accomplished more effectively at a reduced cost by use of 2 temporary employees at a salary rate of $13.00 per hour; and

WHEREAS, funds are available in the Treasurer’s Office; and

WHEREAS, the Treasurer’s Office is receptive to the transfer of funds and continuing a cooperative work relationship to save money and accomplish the tasks; and

WHEREAS, the temporary employee will be used no longer than six (6) months and not exceed 1,560 hours which is consistent with the provisions of UAW Article 5, Section 1 E.; and

WHEREAS, the affected collective bargaining unit, being the UAW, and the Treasurer’s Office has been consulted and supports the request contained in this resolution; and

WHEREAS, no additional funds are required to implement the proposed changes.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, the Board of Commissioners authorizes the transfer of $27,676 from 52825500 804000 (title search contractor) to 52825500 705000, 715000, 722000, 915050 (temporary salaries and fringes).

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, the Board of Commissioners authorizes the Controller/Administrator make the appropriate budget adjustments.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the transfer be effective upon resolution approval by the Full Board of Commissioners.

COUNTY SERVICES:  Yeas:  De Leon, Copedge, Schor, Vickers, Dragonetti
Nays:  None         Absent:  Celentino         Approved 5/17/11

FINANCE:  Yeas:  Schor, Tsernoglou, Nolan, Bahar-Cook, McGrain, Dougan
Nays:  None         Absent:  None         Approved 5/18/11
Introduced by County Services and Finance Committees of the:

INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING CONTRACTS WITH YANKEE APPRAISAL ASSOCIATES AND WILLIAMS & ASSOCIATES TO CONDUCT APPRAISALS ON PROPERTIES APPROVED FOR PURCHASE THROUGH THE INGHAM COUNTY FARMLAND AND OPEN SPACE PRESERVATION PROGRAM

RESOLUTION #11-

WHEREAS, the Ingham County Board of Commissioners adopted the Ingham County Farmland Purchase of Development Rights Ordinance in July 2004; and

WHEREAS, the Ingham County Farmland Purchase of Development Rights Ordinance authorized the establishment of the Ingham County Farmland and Open Space Preservation Board to oversee the Farmland Preservation Program; and

WHEREAS, the voters of Ingham County approved a special millage for Farmland and Open Space Preservation in August 2008; and

WHEREAS, the Federal Farm and Ranch Land Protection Program requires appraisals to be conducted on approved farms; and

WHEREAS, the Purchasing Department sought proposals from experienced State Certified Real Property Appraisers, and after review and evaluation, the Evaluation Team is recommending that two (2) three-year contracts be awarded to Yankee Appraisal Associates and Williams & Associates, who were determined to be the most qualified candidates; and

WHEREAS, the appraisals completed under these contracts will comply with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice, Uniform Appraisal Standards for Federal Land Acquisitions and appraisal instructions as issued from Natural Resources Conservative Services.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, the Ingham County Board of Commissioners authorizes two (2) three-year contracts, one with Yankee Appraisal Associates and one with Williams & Associates, for the purpose of conducting appraisals on properties approved for purchase through the Ingham County Farmland and Open Space Preservation Program.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the cost per appraisal conducted by Yankee Appraisal will be $2,500 for all three years; and the cost per appraisal conducted by Williams & Associates will be $2,500 for year 1, $2,600 for year 2, and $2,700 for year 3.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners authorizes the Board Chairperson and the County Clerk to sign any necessary documents that are consistent with this resolution and approved as to form by the County Attorney.
RESOLUTION #11-

COUNTY SERVICES:  Yeas: De Leon, Copedge, Schor, Vickers, Dragonetti
       Nays:  None    Absent: Celentino    Approved 5/17/11

FINANCE:  Yeas: Schor, Tsernoglou, Nolan, Bahar-Cook, McGrain, Dougan
       Nays:  None    Absent: None    Approved 5/18/11
MAY 24, 2011
Agenda Item No. 8

Introduced by the County Services and Finance Committees of the:

INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING A RETURN TO THE GENERAL FUND OF PREVIOUSLY APPROVED TRAIL BUDGET FUNDING AND A BUDGET ADJUSTMENT TO THE 2010 LAKE LANSING PARK–NORTH LAND ACQUISITION PROJECT

RESOLUTION #11-

WHEREAS, in the 2006 and 2007 Capital Improvement Budgets a total of $300,000 was approved for a segment of the Heart of Michigan Trail between Park Lake Road and Michigan State Michigan University; and

WHEREAS, the balance of these funds is $292,230; and

WHEREAS, easements along this trail segment have proven difficult to procure and it is anticipated project expenses will exceed the 2006 proposed budget; and

WHEREAS, additional County funding for the Park Lake Road trail segment is unlikely in the foreseeable future; and

WHEREAS, Department staff is recommending these funds be returned to the General Fund; and

WHEREAS, in October of 2010 the Lake Lansing Park–North land purchase was completed with a $11,830.77 budget shortfall due to errors and omissions, and additional appropriation needs; and

WHEREAS, following the property closing the County received unanticipated tax bills in the amount of an additional $9,732.35; and

WHEREAS, these tax bills were paid by the Friends of Ingham County Parks in an effort to avoid late payment penalties; and

WHEREAS, the Parks & Recreation Commission showed their support for this resolution with the passage of a resolution at their April meeting.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, the Ingham County Board of Commissioners authorizes $21,563.12 of the lapsed trail funds be transferred to line item 450-75250-973900-57000 to cover the Lake Lansing Park-North Acquisition project shortage and reimburse the Friends of Ingham County Parks for payment of the tax bills.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, the Ingham County Board of Commissioners authorizes the remaining balance of these budget requests for trail funding approved in the 2006 and 2007 Capital Improvement Budgets for the Heart of Michigan Trail in the amount of $270,667 be returned to the General Fund.

COUNTY SERVICES: Yeas: De Leon, Copedge, Schor, Vickers, Dragonetti
Nays: None Absent: Celentino Approved 5/17/11

FINANCE: Yeas: Schor, Tsernoglou, Nolan, Bahar-Cook, McGrain, Dougan
Nays: None Absent: None Approved 5/18/11
INTRODUCED BY THE COUNTY SERVICES AND FINANCE COMMITTEES OF THE:

INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING A RETURN TO THE GENERAL FUND OF PREVIOUSLY APPROVED TRAIL BUDGET FUNDING AND REQUEST FOR FUNDS TO CONSTRUCT A SNOW BOARD HILL AT HAWK ISLAND PARK

RESOLUTION #11-

WHEREAS, in the 2008 Capital Improvement Budget $62,500 was approved for a segment of the Heart of Michigan Trail between Jolly Road and Hope Soccer Complex; and

WHEREAS, Delhi Township opted to become the project leader on Jolly Road to the Hope Soccer Complex trail segment and an alternative route was plotted for this segment of the Heart of Michigan Trail which did not include the use of Ingham County funds as part of the alternative route project budget; and

WHEREAS, Department staff is recommending these funds be returned to the General Fund; and

WHEREAS, the Ingham County Parks & Recreation Commission was approached by Landscape Architects and Planners with the concept of a snowboard hill that could be incorporated into the side of the Snow Tube Hill currently being constructed for an approximate cost of $35,000; and

WHEREAS, a snow board hill in this urban area would provide a unique revenue generating recreational activity that could be accessed by the public via the CATA bus system; and

WHEREAS, the Parks & Recreation Commission supported this concept with the passage of a resolution at their April meeting.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, the Ingham County Board of Commissioners authorizes the transfer of $35,000 from the Heart of Michigan Trail for the construction of a Snow Board Hill at Hawk Island.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the remaining $27,500 from the Heart of Michigan Trail Project be returned to the General Fund.

COUNTY SERVICES:  Yeas:  De Leon, Copedge, Schor, Dragonetti
                  Nays:  Vickers  Absent:  Celentino  Approved 5/17/11

FINANCE:  Yeas:  Schor, Tsernoglou, Nolan, Bahar-Cook, McGrain, Dougan
           Nays:  None  Absent:  None  Approved 5/18/11
INTRODUCED BY THE COUNTY SERVICES AND FINANCE COMMITTEES OF THE:

INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE ESTABLISHMENT OF USER FEES FOR THE DISC GOLF COURSE AT BURCHFIELD PARK FOR 2011

RESOLUTION #11-

WHEREAS, a Disc Golf Course has been developed and built at Burchfield County Park utilizing funds from the approved Capital Improvement Budget of the Parks Department enterprise fund; and

WHEREAS, this facility is scheduled to open in June of 2011 and disc golf user fees will offset operational costs.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, the Board of Commissioners authorizes the establishment of a 2011 Disc Golf user fee of $4.00 per day for adults over 12 and an annual pass in the amount of $40.00 per year.

COUNTY SERVICES: Yeas: De Leon, Copedge, Schor, Vickers, Dragonetti
    Nays: None       Absent: Celentino       Approved 5/17/11

FINANCE: Yeas: Schor, Tsernoglou, Nolan, Bahar-Cook, McGrain, Dougan
    Nays: None       Absent: None       Approved 5/18/11
Intended by the County Services and Finance Committees of the:

INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

RESOLUTION FOR AN AMENDMENT AND RESTATEMENT OF
INGHAM COUNTY’S DEFERRED COMPENSATION PLAN

RESOLUTION #11-

WHEREAS, the County Administration has determined that the County’s Deferred Compensation Plan needs to be revised and updated.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the attached Amended and Restated Ingham County Deferred Compensation Plan, an eligible plan under Code Section 457(b), is adopted in the form presented, effective as of the dates set forth therein.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the action of the Controller/Administrator necessary for the adoption of the Plan on behalf of Ingham County is hereby affirmed and ratified.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Controller/Administrator is authorized to take further action on behalf of Ingham County that are necessary to execute any future amendments to or restatement of the Plan and that such amendment or restatement will be adopted by Ingham County without need for further Resolution or Board of Commissioners approval. This delegation of authority is limited to technical amendments to the Plan to keep it in compliance with applicable laws and other minor amendments that do not affect the substantive rights of current Plan participants.

COUNTY SERVICES:  Yeas:  De Leon, Copedge, Schor, Vickers, Dragonetti  
             Nays:  None  Absent:  Celentino  Approved 5/17/11

FINANCE:  Yeas:  Schor, Tseroglou, Nolan, Bahar-Cook, McGrain, Dougan  
            Nays:  None  Absent:  None  Approved 5/18/11
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Article 1

Establishment of the Plan

1.1 Establishment of the Plan.  The eligible deferred compensation Plan established by the Employer for the Participants pursuant to Code section 457(b) is hereby restated.

1.2 Purpose of the Plan.  The purpose of this Plan is to attract and retain Eligible Employees by allowing them to designate a portion of their respective Compensation to be deferred each month and invested by the Employer in a manner which each Employee shall determine for himself or herself in accordance with the investment options made available by the Administrator.  A Participant's Account shall not be paid (or otherwise made available) to the Participant except as a Retirement Distribution (including a transfer described in Section 7.14) or a Death Benefit Distribution, or as a Hardship Distribution (all as defined and provided below).

1.3 No Right Other Than Provided by Plan.  The establishment of the Plan and the purchase or retention of any Investment Contract(s) or other investments under the Plan shall not be construed as giving to any Participant or Beneficiary or any other person any legal or equitable right against the Employer or its representatives, except as expressly provided by the Plan.

1.4 Plan is Binding.  This Plan, and all acts and decisions taken under it, shall be binding and conclusive, for all purposes, upon all interested persons, and upon the heirs, executors, administrators, and successors of any and all such persons.

1.5 Effective Date.  This amendment and restatement of the Plan shall be effective as of January 1, 2011, except as otherwise specifically provided.
Article 2

Definitions

Whenever used in the Plan, the following terms shall have the respective meanings as set forth below:

2.1 "Account" means the bookkeeping account maintained under the Plan for each Participant. A Participant's Account shall at all times reflect the amount of the Participant's Deferred Compensation, any income or loss attributable to the investment of the Participant's Account, any amounts transferred or accepted as a transfer under Section 7.14, any distributions to the Participant, and any fees or expenses charged against the Participant's Account. The value of the Participant's Account is the value of the applicable sub-account(s) under the Investment Contract(s). The Participant shall receive periodic Account reports in the form prescribed by the Administrator. A Participant is at all times 100 percent vested in his or her Account.

2.2 "Administrator" means the Ingham County Deferred Compensation Plan Committee (the “Committee”) or another person, people or corporation designated by the Board to administer the Plan in accordance with Article 3. The Committee shall consist of the Ingham County Human Resources Director, the Ingham County Controller and the Ingham County Financial Services Director. The Committee shall elect a Chairperson and a Vice-Chairperson at the first meeting of each calendar year.

2.3 "Beneficiary" means the natural person(s) or legal entity(ies) designated by the Participant to receive any undistributed portion of the Participant's Account payable upon or after the Participant's death (the "primary" Beneficiary(ies)), or upon or after the Beneficiary's death (the "contingent" Beneficiary(ies)). If the Participant designates more than one Beneficiary for either a "primary" or "contingent" status, all Beneficiaries of that status shall have equal shares, unless the Participant specifies otherwise.

If no valid Beneficiary designation has been made or the designee has predeceased the Participant, the Participant is deemed to have designated the following as the Participant's Beneficiary(ies) and contingent Beneficiary(ies), with priority in the order named:

(a) The Participant's spouse.
(b) The Participant's descendants by right of representation.
(c) The Participant's estate.

2.4 "Board" means Ingham County’s governing body according to law and its governing documents.

2.5 "Code" means the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended. Any reference to Regulations is a reference to Treasury department regulations under the Code, unless otherwise specified. Any reference to a Section of the Code or Regulations shall be construed to include a reference to the corresponding provision of any successor law.

2.6 “Compensation” means the Employee's total wages from the Employer for services performed that would otherwise be payable to the Employee in the absence of any agreement to defer compensation under the Plan, or under any other deferred compensation plan or arrangement of any kind.

2.7 "Contributions" means voluntary Participant contributions to the Plan made pursuant to a Participant's Participation Agreement. Contributions under the Plan shall not be reduced on account of a Participant's attainment of any age.
2.8 "Death Benefit Distribution" means any distribution that does not begin before the death of the Participant.

2.9 "Deferred Compensation" means the amount of Contributions made to the Plan with respect to a Participant.

2.10 "Eligible Employee" means an Employee of Employer.

2.11 "Eligible Retirement Plan" means an eligible retirement plan, as defined in Code section 402(c)(8)(B).

2.12 "Eligible Rollover Distribution" means an eligible rollover distribution, as defined in Code section 402(c)(4), including an eligible rollover distribution to a surviving spouse under Code section 402(c)(9).

2.13 "Employee" means any common law employee of the Employer.

2.14 "Employer" means Ingham County, which is an "eligible employer" within the meaning of Code section 457(e)(1)(A). Employer also includes Ingham County Medical Care Facility.

2.15 "Excess Deferral" means any Deferred Compensation with respect to a Participant for a taxable year that exceeds the limitations on contributions set forth in Article 5.

2.16 "Hardship Distribution" means a distribution under Section 7.7 below.

2.17 "Includible Compensation" means compensation for service performed for the Employer, and it shall have the meaning given to the term “participant’s compensation” by Code section 415(c)(3). Includible Compensation shall include elective contributions made by the Employer on behalf of a Participant that are not includible in gross income under Code sections 125, 132(f), 402(g)(3), and 457.

2.18 "Investment Contract" means any annuity contract, trust, or custodial account holding regulated investment company stock, established in accordance with Code section 457(g), in which the assets of the Plan are held for investment purposes. The provisions of the Investment Contracts are set forth in contracts and described in prospectuses provided by the issuers of the Investment Contracts, and those provisions (to the extent not inconsistent with the provisions of the Plan) are incorporated by reference (only to the extent necessary to apply the provisions of the Plan).

2.19 "Normal Retirement Age" means the age at which the Participant has the right to retire without the consent of the Employer and to immediately receive unreduced normal retirement benefits under the Employer's basic retirement plan and that is not later than age 70½.

2.20 "Participant" means an Eligible Employee or former Eligible Employee who has Deferred Compensation under the Plan and who has not yet received all of the payments to which he or she is entitled under the Plan. An Eligible Employee becomes a Participant by entering into a Participation Agreement.

2.21 "Participation Agreement" means the agreement (in the form prescribed by the Administrator), as amended from time to time, entered into between the Employer and the Participant under which the Participant elects to participate in the Plan. If the Participant elects to make voluntary Participant Contributions, the Participation Agreement shall reflect the agreement of the Participant and the Employer to defer amounts of the Participant's Compensation according to the provisions of the Plan. A Participation Agreement shall indicate the amount or percentage of the Participant's Compensation that is to be deferred.
2.22 "Payout Option" means, except as limited below, any of the annuity options or income options or settlement options or other options for payment that are available under the applicable Investment Contract(s) held under the Plan, or that may otherwise be made available by the Administrator on a non-discriminatory basis. The Administrator shall not permit the use of any payout option that is based on gender-distinct actuarial tables or that otherwise unlawfully discriminates against any person. The Administrator shall not permit the Participant or Beneficiary to elect any Payout Option that (at the time the distribution begins) does not satisfy the provisions of the Plan, including the applicable requirements of Code section 401(a)(9).

2.23 "Plan" means this Plan, the Ingham County Deferred Compensation Plan.

2.24 "Regulation" means the Income Tax Regulations as promulgated by the Secretary of the Treasury or a delegate of the Secretary of the Treasury, as amended from time to time.

2.25 "Retirement Distribution" means any distribution other than a Hardship Distribution that begins before the death of the Participant.

2.26 "Spouse" or "surviving Spouse" means the Spouse or surviving Spouse of the Participant.

2.27 "Year" means the calendar year. For the purposes of administering the Plan, the Administrator shall be entitled to rely on the assumption that a Participant's taxable year is the calendar year, unless the Participant gives written notice specifying his or her taxable year.
Article 3

Administration

3.1 Discretionary Powers and Authority of Administrator. The Administrator shall interpret and construe the provisions of the Plan, decide any disputes which may arise relative to the rights of Employees, past and present, and their Beneficiaries, under the terms of the Plan, give instructions and directions with respect to the Investment Contract(s), as necessary, prescribe procedures to be followed by Participants or Beneficiaries filing applications for benefits and, in general, direct the administration of the Plan. Any person affected by the Plan may consult with the Administrator on any matters relating to the Plan. The Administrator shall have any and all power and authority (including discretion with respect to the exercise of that power and authority) which are necessary or convenient to enable it to carry out its duties under the Plan. By way of illustration and not limitation, the Administrator has the power and authority to

(a) make rules and regulations with respect to the administration of the Plan that are not inconsistent with the Plan, the Code and, if applicable, ERISA;

(b) determine all questions that may arise as to the eligibility, benefits, status and rights of any person claiming benefits under the Plan; and

(c) subject to and consistent with the Code and, if applicable, ERISA, construe and interpret the Plan and correct any defect, supply any omissions or reconcile any inconsistencies in the Plan.

3.2 Records. The Administrator shall keep records containing all relevant data pertaining to the administration of the Plan.

3.3 Assurance of Receipt of Benefits. The Administrator shall take all necessary action to ensure that Participants receive the benefits to which they are entitled under the Plan.

3.4 Conflict of Interest. The Administrator may not decide any matter relating solely to the Administrator's rights or benefits under the Plan; these decisions shall be made by an individual appointed by the Board.

3.5 Exercise of Discretion on Uniform Basis. In those instances where the Administrator is granted discretion in making its determinations, and the decision of the Administrator affects the benefits, rights or privileges of Participants, such discretion shall be exercised uniformly so that all Participants similarly situated are similarly treated.

3.6 Employment of Agents. The Administrator has the right to employ agents and advisors to assist the Administrator in the performance of its duties, and it has the right to delegate administrative duties to such agents.

3.7 Reliance Upon Information and Advice. The Administrator may rely upon the written information, opinions or certificates supplied by any agent, counsel, actuary, investment manager, physician or fiduciary.

3.8 Compensation of Administrator. The Administrator, if it is not an Employee of Employer, shall be paid a reasonable compensation for its services on behalf of the Plan, as may be agreed upon from time to time by the Employer and the Administrator.
3.9 **Reimbursement for Expenses.** Any expense properly incurred by the Administrator shall be reimbursed or otherwise paid in full from the Plan to the extent such expense is not reimbursed or otherwise paid by the Employer.

3.10 **Liability Limitations.** The Administrator is not liable or responsible for the acts of commission or omission of another fiduciary, unless:

(a) the Administrator knowingly participated or knowingly attempted to conceal the act or omission of another fiduciary and the Administrator knew the act or omission was a breach of fiduciary responsibility by the other fiduciary,

(b) the Administrator had knowledge of a breach by the other fiduciary and did not make reasonable efforts to remedy the breach, or

(c) the Administrator's breach of the Administrator's fiduciary responsibility permitted the other fiduciary to commit a breach.

3.11 **Resignation of Administrator.** The Administrator may resign by giving written notice to the Employer not less than 30 days before the effective date of the resignation.

3.12 **Removal of Administrator; Filling Vacancy.** The Administrator may be removed at any time, without cause, by the Board. In such case, the Board shall fill the vacancy as soon as reasonably possible after the vacancy occurs. Until a new Administrator is appointed, the Board has full authority to act as the Administrator.

3.13 **Allocation and Delegation of Responsibilities.** If more than one person is appointed as Administrator, the responsibilities of each Administrator may be specified by the Board and accepted in writing by each Administrator. In the event that no such delegation is made by the Board, the Administrators may allocate the responsibilities among themselves, in which event the Administrators shall notify the Board and the issuer of an Investment Contract in writing of such action and specify the responsibilities of each Administrator. The issuer of an Investment Contract thereafter may accept and rely upon any documents executed by the appropriate Administrator until such time as the Board or the Administrators file with the issuer of an Investment Contract a written revocation of such designation.

3.14 **Majority Actions.** Except where there has been an allocation and delegation of administrative authority pursuant to Section 3.13, if there is more than one Administrator, they shall act by a majority of their number, but they may authorize one or more of them to sign all papers on their behalf.
Article 4

Voluntary Participant Contributions

4.1 **Enrollment for Voluntary Participant Contributions.** An Employee may elect to make voluntary Participant Contributions to the Plan by entering into a Participation Agreement. The amount of voluntary Participant Contributions provided for under a Participation Agreement may not be less than the minimum amount, if any, required under the Investment Contract(s) in which the Participant's Account is invested, and they may not exceed the limits on Contributions set forth in Article 5 below.

4.2 **Deferral Date.** Voluntary Participant Contributions may be made to the Plan for any calendar month only if a Participation Agreement providing for the Contributions has been entered into before the first day of the month. In the case of a new Employee, voluntary Participant Contributions may be made for the calendar month during which the Employee was hired if a Participation Agreement providing for the Contributions is entered into before the date on which the Employee becomes an Employee.

4.3 **Amendment of Participation Agreement.** A Participant Agreement shall remain in effect until modified in writing by the Participant. Subject to the requirements of Section 4.2 and this Section, a Participant may at any time amend his or her Participation Agreement to change the amount of his or her voluntary Participant Contributions, his or her investment designation, and his or her designated Beneficiary. An amendment to a Participation Agreement shall not become effective prior to the date on which it is received by the Administrator. A change in the amount of voluntary Participant Contributions shall take effect as of the next month. A change in investment designation shall take effect at the times uniformly applied by the Administrator and according to the provisions of the Investment Contract(s). A change in a Beneficiary designation shall become effective upon receipt by the Administrator.

4.4 **Leave of Absence.** Unless a Participant's Participation Agreement is otherwise amended, if the Participant is absent from work due to a leave of absence, voluntary Participant Contributions shall continue under the Plan with respect to the Participant to the extent that his or her Compensation continues. If the Participant does not have Compensation during the leave of absence, his or her voluntary Participant Contributions shall resume when the Participant returns to work.
5.1 **Maximum Amount of Contributions.** Except as provided in Sections 5.2 and 5.3 below, the maximum amount of Contributions with respect to a Participant for any taxable year shall not exceed the lesser of:

(a) the Applicable Dollar Amount, as defined in Code section 457(e)(15)(A) and as adjusted for cost-of-living in accordance with Code section 457(e)(15)(B) (the “Applicable Dollar Amount”); or

(b) 100% of the Participant's Includible Compensation.

5.2 **Special Catch-Up.** Notwithstanding Section 5.1, for one or more of the Participant's last three taxable years of employment with the Employer ending before the Participant attains Normal Retirement Age, the ceiling set forth in Section 5.1 shall be the lesser of:

(a) twice the Applicable Dollar Amount in effect under Section 5.1(a) above; or

(b) the sum of the Plan ceiling established for purposes of Section 5.1 for the taxable year (determined without regard to this Section), plus so much of the Plan ceiling established for purposes of Section 5.1 for taxable years before the taxable year in question as has not previously been used under Section 5.1 (the "Underutilized Amount"). A prior taxable year shall be taken into account under this subsection (b) only if:

1. it begins after December 31, 1978,
2. the Participant was eligible to participate in the Plan during all or any portion of the taxable year, and
3. compensation deferred (if any) under the Plan during the taxable year was subject to a plan ceiling established under Regulation section 1.457-2(e)(1).

The provisions of this Section 5.2 may not be utilized by the same Participant more than once, whether or not they are used in less than all of the three taxable years ending before the Participant attains Normal Retirement Age and whether or not the Participant rejoins the Plan or participates in another eligible plan after retirement.

In determining a Participant’s Underutilized Amount, the Plan shall take into consideration:

(i) Prior to 2002, if a Participant made deferrals to the Plan and deferrals to any other Code section 457(b) plan, salary reduction contributions made to Code section 401(k) plans, Code section 403(b) plans, Code section 402(h)(1) simplified employee pension (SARSEP) plans, Code section 408(p) simple retirement accounts, and amounts deferred under any plan for which a deduction is allowed because of a contribution to an organization described in Code section 501(c)(18), such deferrals to the other plans will be taken into account in determining a Participant’s Underutilized Amount under Code Section 457(b)(2). In addition, Includible Compensation shall be limited to the limitation in effect in the calendar year in which the deferrals were made. If such deferrals cumulatively exceed the then-applicable dollar amount in Code section 457(b)(2) in the year that such amounts were deferred, then there will be no Underutilized Amount for that year.

(ii) To the extent that the Employer did not maintain a Code section 457(b) plan, no underutilized limitation is available to a Participant for that prior year.
(iii) After 2001, only deferrals to Code section 457(b) plans will be taken into account for the purposes of determining the Underutilized Amount.

5.3 **Age 50 Catch-Up Contributions.** The Applicable Dollar Amount described in Section 5.1 (a) is increased for a Participant who has attained age 50 or more by the end of the taxable year. The additional amount permitted by this Section is the applicable dollar amount set forth in Code section 414(v)(2)(B). This Section shall not be applicable for any taxable year in which Section 5.2 applies.

5.4 **Relationship to Other Plans.** An individual may participate in more than one Code section 457(b) plan. If an individual participates in two or more plans, any amount deferred under one plan reduces the amount that may be deferred under another, so that the total amount deferred under all such plans does not exceed the amount which could be deferred under a single plan. If an individual participates in two or more Code section 457(b) plans maintained by different employers, the maximum amount excludable from the gross income of the participant for a taxable year on account of amounts deferred under each plan cannot exceed the Applicable Dollar Amount, except to the extent that the catch-up limitation permitted under Section 5.2 or Section 5.3 applies. Determinations under this Article 5 involving multiple eligible plans will be made in accordance with the Regulations under Code section 457.

5.5 **Distribution of Excess Deferrals.** Any Excess Deferral with respect to a Participant for a taxable year resulting from this Plan’s failure to apply the limitations of this Article 5 will be distributed to the Participant, with allocable net income, as soon as practicable after the Plan determines that the amount is an Excess Deferral.
Article 6

Investments

6.1 Investment of Plan Assets. Deferred Compensation and Eligible Rollover Distribution amounts shall be deposited in the Investment Contract(s) and shall be invested thereunder in such manner as directed by the Participant or Beneficiary to whose account such amount is credited. The Administrator or its designee shall furnish to Participants and Beneficiaries a written description of the investment choices made available under the Investment Contract(s) and instructions concerning how they may direct the investment of their Accounts among the investment choices provided. Participants and Beneficiaries may change their investment directions in such manner and at such times as announced by the Administrator or its designee. If a Participant or Beneficiary fails to provide proper investment directions for his or her Account, the Administrator may, but shall not be required to, direct the investment of such Account.

6.2 Adjustments to Accounts. All interest, dividends, charges for premiums and administrative expenses, and changes in value due to market fluctuations applicable to each Account shall be credited or debited to the Account as they occur or such other reasonable times determined by the Administrator.

6.3 Plan Assets Held for Exclusive Benefit of Participants. All assets of the Plan, including all Deferred Compensation and Eligible Rollover Distribution Amounts, property and rights purchased with such amounts, and all income attributable to such amounts, property or rights, shall (until made available to the Participant or Beneficiary) be held in a trust, custodial account or annuity contract described in Code section 457(g) for the exclusive benefit of Participants and their Beneficiaries.

Article 7

Distribution of Accounts

7.1 Payment of Deferred Compensation. Upon a Participant's severance from employment with Employer or death, the Participant's Account shall be payable as a Retirement Distribution (Sections 7.2 through 7.4, below) or as a Death Benefit Distribution (Sections 7.5 through 7.6, below), subject to the provisions of the Plan. In addition to any other provisions of the Plan, any Retirement Distribution or Death Benefit Distribution shall conform to the applicable requirements of Code sections 457(d)(2) and 401(a)(9) and the Regulations issued thereunder.

7.2 Retirement Distribution. Upon a Participant's severance from employment with Employer, the Participant shall be entitled to receive his or her Account under any Payout Option, including a single sum distribution, that satisfies the provisions of the Plan. Subject to Section 7.3 below, a Retirement Distribution shall commence as of the date elected by the Participant. Any irrevocable election of a benefit commencement date made by a Participant or Beneficiary prior to January 1, 2002 and defaulted distributions (other than a defaulted distribution to an annuity option) may be voided at the election of the Participant or Beneficiary.

7.3 Required Beginning Date. Consistent with the requirements of Code section 401(a)(9)(C), a Retirement Distribution shall begin not later than April 1 of the Year following the Year during which the Participant attains age 70½, or following the Year during which the Participant has a severance from employment with Employer, whichever occurs later (or the other date required or any other date permitted by Regulations under Code section 401(a)(9)).

7.4 Required Distribution Amounts. Any Retirement Distribution shall be made according to a Payout Option that provides, according to Regulations under Code section 401(a)(9) that:
(a) the entire Account will be distributed over the lives or over a period not extending beyond the life expectancy of the Participant and his or her designated Beneficiary, and

(b) the amounts payable with respect to the Participant will be paid at times which are not later than the times required by Code section 401(a)(9)(G) (relating to incidental death benefits).

7.5 Death Benefit Distribution. Upon the Participant's death before a distribution has begun under Section 7.2 above (or upon the "primary" Beneficiary's death before a distribution to him or her has begun), each Beneficiary shall be entitled to receive his or her separate account under the Participant's Account under any Payout Option that satisfies the provisions of the Plan. Subject to Section 7.6 below, a Death Benefit Distribution shall commence as of the date elected by the Beneficiary.

7.6 Required Beginning Date and Distribution Amounts. Any Death Benefit Distribution shall satisfy the applicable requirements provided below:

(a) If the Beneficiary is the surviving Spouse, the distribution shall begin not later than the last day of the Year after the Year in which the Participant would have attained age 70½ (or any later date that may be permitted by Regulations under Code section 401(a)(9)), and the entire Account shall be distributed, in accordance with Regulations under Code section 401(a)(9), over the life or over a period not extending beyond the life expectancy of the Spouse.

(b) If the Beneficiary is not the surviving Spouse, the distribution shall begin not later than the last day of the Year after the Year in which the Participant's death occurs (or any later date that is permitted by Regulations under Code section 401(a)(9)), and the entire Account shall be distributed, in accordance with Regulations under Code section 401(a)(9), over a period not extending beyond the life expectancy of the Beneficiary.

7.7 Hardship Distribution. If the Participant is faced before his or her severance from employment with Employer with an unforeseeable emergency that is approved by the Administrator as meeting the requirements of Section 7.8 below, the Participant shall be entitled to receive a distribution (as a cash lump sum) of the amount determined by the Administrator to be the amount that is reasonably needed to satisfy the emergency need.

7.8 Definition of Unforeseeable Emergency. An unforeseeable emergency means a severe financial hardship to the Participant resulting from a sudden and unexpected illness or accident of the Participant or of a dependent of the Participant (as defined by Code section 152(a)), loss of the Participant's property due to casualty, or other similar extraordinary and unforeseeable circumstances arising as a result of events beyond the control of the Participant. The need to send the Participant's child to college or the desire to purchase a home shall not be considered an unforeseeable emergency. The determination as to whether an unforeseeable emergency exists shall be based on the facts of each individual case.

A Hardship Distribution shall not be paid to the extent that the financial hardship is or may be relieved through reimbursement or compensation by insurance or otherwise, by borrowing from commercial sources on reasonable commercial terms to the extent that this borrowing would not itself cause a severe financial hardship, by cessation of deferrals under the Plan, or by liquidation of the Participant's other assets (including the assets of the Participant's Spouse and minor children that are reasonably available to the Participant) to the extent that this liquidation would not itself cause severe financial hardship.

7.9 Life Expectancy. Any determination of life expectancy for the purposes of the Plan shall be made by using the unisex tables specified in Regulations under Code sections 401(a)(9).
7.10 **Distribution to Minor or Incompetent Beneficiary.** If a distribution is to be made to a minor Beneficiary or to a Beneficiary that the Administrator finds to be unable to care for his or her affairs, the Administrator, in its sole discretion, may direct (if no claim has been made by a duly appointed representative) that any payment(s) be made to the legal guardian of the Beneficiary, or if none, to a parent of the Beneficiary or a responsible adult with whom the Beneficiary maintains his or her residence, or to the custodian for the Beneficiary under the State Gift to Minors Act, or to any person determined by the Administrator to be a proper recipient for the Beneficiary. This payment(s) shall be in full satisfaction of all claims.

7.11 **Inability to Locate Payee.** If a distribution under the Plan cannot be paid because the payee cannot be located, the Administrator shall delay payment for the time provided by the State Unclaimed Property Law and upon the expiration of that time shall pay over any amount as directed by the law.

7.12 **Dispute as to Proper Payee.** If a dispute arises as to the proper payee of any payment(s), the Administrator, in its sole discretion, may withhold or cause to be withheld any payment(s) until the dispute is finally determined by a court of competent jurisdiction or is settled by all the parties concerned.

7.13 **Small Balance Distributions.** Upon proper written request to the Administrator, a Participant may elect to receive a small balance distribution, payable in a lump sum, if the value of the Participant’s Account is $5,000.00 or less, and the Participant has not deferred any amount to the Plan for a period of two years prior to the distribution. A Participant may take a small balance distribution under this Section only once while a Participant in the Plan.

7.14 **Plans Transfers and Eligible Rollover Distributions.**

(a) If a Participant terminates employment with Employer and accepts employment with another employer which maintains an eligible deferred compensation plan (as defined in Code Section 457(b)) and the new employer’s plan accepts transfers, the Participant may transfer his or her Account from the Plan to the plan maintained by the new employer.

(b) If Employer offers an eligible deferred compensation plan (as defined in Code Section 457(b)) other than this Plan and such other plan accepts transfers, the Participant may transfer his or her Account from this Plan to the other Plan. The Participant’s election to make such a transfer shall be filed with the Administrator.

(c) Transfers from other eligible deferred compensation plans (as defined in Code Section 457(b)) to this Plan will be accepted at the Participant’s request, if such transfers are in cash or non-annuity products currently offered under the Plan. Any such transferred amount shall not be subject to the limitations of Article 5, provided however, that the actual amount deferred during the calendar year under both plans shall be taken into account in calculating the deferral limitation for that taxable year. For purposes of determining the limitations set forth in Section 5.2, years of eligibility to participate in the prior plan and deferrals under that plan shall be taken into account.

(d) The Plan may receive an Eligible Rollover Distribution on behalf of a Participant from an Eligible Retirement Plan provided the Participant demonstrates to the Administrator’s satisfaction that the amount is a qualifying Eligible Rollover Distribution under Code Sections 402(c)(4), 403(a)(4), or 408(d)(3).

(e) Subject to Section 7.3 above, a Participant may elect at the time and in the manner prescribed the Administrator, to have any portion of an Eligible Rollover Distribution paid directly to an Eligible Retirement Plan specified by the Participant, provided the Participant presents to the satisfaction of the Administrator a letter of acceptance or other written acknowledgment from the accepting plan that it is an Eligible Retirement Plan qualified to accept the Eligible Rollover Distribution. For distributions made on or
after January 1, 2008, a Participant may elect to roll over directly an Eligible Rollover Distribution to a Roth IRA described in Code Section 408A(b).

(f) A Participant may use all or any portion of his or her Account as a direct trustee-to-trustee transfer to a Retirement System to purchase permissive service credit or for the repayment of service credits, provided that (1) the Retirement System permits such a transfer, and (2) the Participant demonstrates to the Administrator’s satisfaction that the transfer is to a defined benefit governmental plan (as defined in Code Section 414(d)) and the transfer is permissible for the purchase of service credit (as defined in Code Section 415(n)(3)(a)) or for the repayment of service credits permissible by Code Section 415(k)(3).

(g) For distributions on or after January 1, 2010, a non-spouse beneficiary who is a “designated beneficiary” under Code Section 401(a)(9)(E) and the Regulations thereunder, by a direct trustee-to-trustee transfer (“direct rollover”), may roll over all or any portion of his or her distribution to an individual retirement account the beneficiary establishes for purposes of receiving the distribution. In order to be able to roll over the distribution, the distribution must otherwise satisfy the definition of an Eligible Rollover Distribution. If a non-spouse beneficiary receives a distribution from the Plan, the distribution is not eligible for a “60-day” rollover. If the Participant’s named beneficiary is a trust, the Plan may make a direct rollover to an individual retirement account on behalf of the trust, provided the trust satisfies the requirements to be a designated beneficiary within the meaning of Code Section 401(a)(9)(E). A non-spouse beneficiary may not roll over an amount which is a required minimum distribution, as determined under applicable Regulations and other IRS guidance. If the Participant dies before his or her required beginning date and the non-spouse beneficiary rolls over to an IRA the maximum amount eligible for rollover, the beneficiary may elect to use either the 5-year rule or the life expectancy rule, pursuant to Regulation Section 1.401(a) (9)-3, A-4(c), in determining the required minimum distributions from the IRA that receives the non-spouse beneficiary’s distribution.

7.15 Loans to Participants.

(a) The trustee, custodian or issuer of an annuity contract holding legal title to the assets of the Plan may make loans to Participants and Beneficiaries under the following circumstances: (1) loans shall be made available to all Participants and Beneficiaries on a reasonably equivalent basis; (2) loans shall bear a reasonable rate of interest; (3) loans shall be adequately secured; (4) loans shall provide for periodic repayment over a reasonable period of time; (5) the minimum loan amount, if any, shall be specified in the participant loan program referenced in Subsection (d) below; and (6) no loan shall be made to a Participant or Beneficiary who has an outstanding loan from the Plan at the time.

(b) Loans made pursuant to this Section (when added to the outstanding balance of all other loans made by the Plan to the Participant) may, in accordance with a uniform and nondiscriminatory policy established by the Administrator, be limited to the lesser of:

1. $50,000 reduced by the excess (if any) of the highest outstanding balance of loans from the Plan to the Participant during the one year period ending on the day before the date on which such loan is made, over the outstanding balance of loans from the Plan to the Participant on the date on which such loan was made, or

2. one-half (1/2) of the present value of the non-forfeitable accrued benefit of the Participant under the Plan. For purposes of this limit, all plans of the Employer shall be considered one plan.

(c) Loans shall provide for level amortization with payments to be made not less frequently than quarterly over a period not to exceed five (5) years. However, loans used to acquire any dwelling unit
which, within a reasonable time, is to be used (determined at the time the loan is made) as a "principal residence" of the Participant shall provide for periodic repayment over a reasonable period of time that may exceed five (5) years. For this purpose, a "principal residence" has the same meaning as a "principal residence" under Code Section 1034. Loan repayments may be suspended under this Plan as permitted under Code Section 414(u)(4).

(d) Any loans granted or renewed shall be made pursuant to a Participant loan program. Such loan program shall be established in writing and must include, but need not be limited to, the following:

1. the identity of the person or positions authorized to administer the Participant loan program;

2. a procedure for applying for loans;

3. the basis on which loans will be approved or denied;

4. limitations, if any, on the types and amounts of loans offered;

5. the procedure under the program for determining a reasonable rate of interest;

6. the types of collateral which may secure a Participant loan; and

7. the events constituting default and the steps that will be taken to preserve Plan assets.

Such Participant loan program shall be contained in a separate written document which, when properly executed, is hereby incorporated by reference and made a part of the Plan. Furthermore, such Participant loan program may be modified or amended in writing from time to time without the necessity of amending this Section.

(e) Notwithstanding anything in this Plan to the contrary, if a Participant or Beneficiary defaults on a loan made pursuant to this Section, then the loan default will be a distributable event to the extent permitted by the Code and Regulations.
Article 8

Miscellaneous Provisions

8.1 Amendment of Plan. Ingham County may at any time amend the Plan. Any amendment shall not reduce the amount credited to any Account as of the date of the amendment, and shall not impair the rights of any person to any Account, except to the extent required by law. The Administrator shall give Participants notice of any Plan amendment.

8.2 Termination of Plan. Ingham County reserves the right to terminate the Plan at any time by action of its Board, subject to any collective bargaining obligations. Upon termination of the Plan, all Accounts shall be paid out to Participants and Beneficiaries as soon as administratively practicable.

8.3 Anti-alienation. Except as provided in Sections 7.15 and 8.4, Accounts shall not be subject to assignment or alienation, garnishment, attachment, transfer or anticipation, execution or levy, or other encumbrance of any kind, or transfer by operation of law in the event of a divorce or marital separation or of a bankruptcy or insolvency, except to the extent otherwise required by law. Except as provided in Sections 7.15 and 8.4, a Participant or Beneficiary shall not have any right to commute, sell, assign, pledge, transfer, or otherwise convey, use, or encumber any right to receive any payments under the Plan, which payments and rights are expressly declared to be non-assignable and non-transferable.

8.4 Recognition of Approved Domestic Relations Orders. In accordance with Code section 414(p)(1), as modified by Code section 414(p)(11), a Participant’s benefit may be the subject of a domestic relations order between the Participant and an alternate payee (as defined in Code section 414(p)(8)) if the order is determined to be a Qualified Domestic Relations Order. The Administrator shall adopt reasonable procedures to determine the qualified status of domestic relations orders and to administer the distributions thereunder. Distributions may be made immediately to an alternate payee pursuant to a qualified domestic relations order before the date on which the Participant attains the earliest retirement age, as defined in Code section 414(p)(4)(B). Effective April 6, 2007, a domestic relations order that otherwise satisfies the requirements for a Qualified Domestic Relations Order (“QDRO”) will not fail to be a QDRO: (i) solely because the order is issued after, or revises, another domestic relations order or QDRO; or (ii) solely because of the time at which the order is issued, including issuance after the annuity starting date or after the Participant’s death.

8.5 Governing Law. This Plan is established with the intent that the Plan shall satisfy the requirements of an "eligible deferred compensation plan" under Code section 457(b) maintained by an eligible employer, as defined in Code section 457(e)(1)(A). The provisions of the Plan shall be interpreted whenever possible in conformity with the requirements of applicable provisions of the Code. Where the applicable law (including but not limited to, the Code) governing the Plan is amended, modified, or interpreted through subsequent legislation or rulings or decisions, the Plan's provisions shall be construed as incorporating any such amendment or modification or interpretation of the applicable law.

This Plan shall satisfy the requirements of any applicable laws of the State of Michigan. This Plan shall be construed and enforced under the laws of the State of Michigan.

8.6 Headings. The headings and subheadings and captions and numbering of provisions of the Plan have been inserted merely for convenience of reference, and in no way define or limit the scope or intent of any provisions of the Plan, and are to be ignored in any construction of the provisions of the Plan.

8.7 Information to be Provided by Participants and Beneficiaries. Participants and Beneficiaries shall provide the Administrator with any information reasonably required by the Administrator. A
Participant or Beneficiary or other person shall not have any rights to or otherwise be entitled to the payment of any Account under the Plan unless such information has been provided to the Administrator.

8.8 **Receipt and Release.** Any payment(s) or any agreement to make payment(s) shall, to the extent of the payment(s) or agreement, be in full satisfaction of all claims. The Administrator may (in its sole discretion), as a condition precedent to making or causing to be made any payment(s), or agreement to make payment(s), or transfer (under Section 7.14 above), require any person or entity to execute a receipt and release.

8.9 **Separability.** If any provision of the Plan is held invalid for any reason, the remaining provisions of the Plan shall be construed and enforced as if the invalid provision had not been included in the Plan, unless such a construction of the Plan would be clearly contrary to the intent of the Plan.

8.10 **Military Service.** Notwithstanding any provision of the Plan to the contrary, contributions, benefits, and service credit with respect to qualified military service shall be provided in accordance with Code section 414(u), effective as of December 12, 1994. For Years beginning on or after January 1, 2009, (i) an individual receiving a differential wage payment, as defined in Code Section 3401(h)(2), shall be treated as an Employee of the Employer making the payment, and (ii) the differential wage payment shall be treated as Includible Compensation. Notwithstanding the preceding sentence, for purposes of the distribution provisions of Article 7 of the Plan, a Participant is treated as having a severance from employment with the Employer during any period the Participant is performing service in the uniform services described in Code Section 3401(h)(2)(A). Effective for Years beginning on or after January 1, 2009, if a Participant elects to receive a distribution by reason of severance from employment, death or disability, the Participant may not make a Contribution to the Plan during the six-month period beginning on the date of the distribution. In the case of a death occurring on or after January 1, 2007, if a Participant dies while performing qualified military service (as defined in Code Section 414(u)), the survivors of the Participant are entitled to any additional benefits (other than benefit accruals related to the period of qualified military service) provided under the Plan as if the Participant had resumed and then terminated employment on account of death.

Executed this _______ day of __________________________, 2011.

**INGHAM COUNTY**

By: __________

Its: ________________________________

**INGHAM COUNTY MEDICAL CARE FACILITY**

By: ________________________________

Its: ________________________________
Resolutions

Resolutions are documents that are adopted by the governing body of a community, typically a city council, county board of commissioners, or state legislature. They are used to express the position of the body on various issues, to make policy decisions, or to acknowledge certain events or achievements. Resolutions are not laws and do not require implementation or enforcement. They are often ceremonial in nature and are used to publicly express support for important issues or events.

For example, a resolution might be adopted to express support for a particular bill or measure, to commemorate an important milestone, or to commend an individual or organization for outstanding service or achievement. Resolutions can also be used to establish policies or guidelines, especially when the governing body wants to make a statement without creating a legal obligation.

Resolutions typically follow a specific format, with a title that provides a concise summary of the resolution’s purpose. The body of the resolution might include statements of support or acknowledgment, along with any necessary details or justifications. Resolutions are often adopted by a simple majority vote, although the specific requirements for adoption may vary depending on the governing body.

In summary, resolutions are a tool used by governing bodies to express positions, make policy decisions, and commemorate important events or achievements. They are not laws and are typically adopted through a simple majority vote.

Title:

Resolution to Approve the Purchase of a Fiber Optic Connection to Healthy Smiles Clinic from Arialink

Resolutions:

WHEREAS, the Healthy Smiles Clinic currently has a T-1 connection to the Ingham County network that has expired and needs to be renewed; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Commissioners approved to replace this T-1 connection with a 2MB AT&T OPT-E-MAN solution under Resolution #10-254 at a cost of $540.00 per month for a 3-year period; and

WHEREAS, due to unanticipated implementation issues an additional implementation cost of $6,000.00 would need to occur to install the AT&T solution; and

WHEREAS, MIS originally sought 3 proposals (one being Arialink) and recommended purchasing a replacement 10MB connection from Arialink for the Healthy Smiles Clinic in lieu of the AT&T OPT-E-MAN solution at a cost of $600.00 per month for a 3-year period plus a $2,500 one-time installation fee for a total cost of $24,100; and

WHEREAS, the total cost savings for the Arialink solution will be $1,340.00 less over the 3-year period.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Board of Commissioners authorizes the purchase of a 10MB fiber connection to the Healthy Smiles Clinic from Arialink in the amount of $24,100.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the total cost will be paid out of the county’s Telephone Communications Fund.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Controller/Administrator is authorized to make any necessary budget adjustments.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Chairperson of the Ingham County Board of Commissioners and the County Clerk are authorized to sign any contract documents consistent with this resolution and approved as to form by the County Attorney.

COUNTY SERVICES: Yeas: De Leon, Copedge, Schor, Vickers, Dragonetti
Nays: None
Absent: Celentino
Approved 5/17/11

FINANCE: Yeas: Schor, Tsernoglou, Nolan, Bahar-Cook, McGrain, Dougan
Nays: None
Absent: None
Approved 5/18/11
Introducing the County Services and Finance Committees of the:

INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

RESOLUTION TO APPROVE THE RENEWAL OF THE ANNUAL MAINTENANCE FOR THE TRACK-IT CALL MANAGEMENT APPLICATION FROM NUMARA SOFTWARE INCORPORATED

RESOLUTION #11-

WHEREAS, Ingham County MIS utilizes the Numara Track-IT application for Help Desk services, IT problem call tracking management, and inventory management of all IT assets in Ingham County; and

WHEREAS, in order to maintain the Track-IT software and receive technical support an annual maintenance agreement is required; and

WHEREAS, the 2010 annual maintenance cost was $5,286.25; and

WHEREAS, MIS is recommending we purchase a 3-year maintenance agreement at an annual cost of $4,513.50 for a total cost of $13,540.50; and

WHEREAS, the annual cost savings is $772.75 and $2,318.25 over a 3-year period.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Board of Commissioners authorizes entering into a 3-year maintenance agreement with Numara Software Incorporated at total annual cost of $13,540.50 to be paid from the Network Fund (Acct #245-25810-932030).

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Controller/Administrator is authorized to make any necessary budget adjustments.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Chairperson of the Ingham County Board of Commissioners and the County Clerk are authorized to sign any contract documents consistent with this resolution and approved as to form by the County Attorney.

COUNTY SERVICES: Yeas: De Leon, Copedge, Schor, Vickers, Dragonetti
Nays: None
Absent: Celentino
Approved 5/17/11

FINANCE: Yeas: Schor, Tsernoglou, Nolan, Bahar-Cook, McGrain, Dougan
Nays: None
Absent: None
Approved 5/18/11
Resolutions of the Ingham County Board of Commissioners

MAY 24, 2011
Agenda Item No. 14

Resolutions

Resolutions are as follows:

Resolutions to Approve the Renewal of the MUNIS Software Annual Support Agreement from Tyler Technologies

RESOLUTION #11-

WHEREAS, Ingham County currently utilizes Tyler Technologies MUNIS Software as our county-wide Financial, Budget, Human Resource, and Purchasing application; and

WHEREAS, annual maintenance is required to maintain the system and had been purchased every year since Ingham County purchased the software; and

WHEREAS, the payment totaling $133,776.63 for annual support is due for the 2011/2012 time period; and

WHEREAS, Resolution #10-357 adopted by the Board of Commissioners regarding our policy on cost increases for service contracts was presented to Tyler Technologies; and

WHEREAS, the annual contract amount proposed by Tyler for 2011/2012 was reduced from a 12% increase to 5%; and

WHEREAS, this annual payment has been planned for and budgeted and will provide the needed application support and upgrades needed to maintain our current applications.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Board of Commissioners authorizes the renewal of the MUNIS software annual support agreement from Tyler Technologies for the time period of June 23, 2011 through June 22, 2012.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, the total cost of $133,776.63 will be paid from the Equipment/Maintenance Fund (636-95800-932020).

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Controller/Administrator is authorized to make any necessary budget adjustments.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Chairperson of the Ingham County Board of Commissioners and the County Clerk are authorized to sign any contract documents consistent with this resolution and approved as to form by the County Attorney.

COUNTY SERVICES: Yea: De Leon, Copedge, Schor, Vickers, Dragonetti  
Nays: None  
Absent: Celentino  
Approved 5/17/11

FINANCE: Yea: Schor, Tsernoglou, Nolan, Bahar-Cook, McGrain, Dougan  
Nays: None  
Absent: None  
Approved 5/18/11
WHEREAS, the Board of Commissioners set various fees for county services in Resolution #02-155 based on information and recommendations of the Maximus Cost of Services Analysis completed in 2002; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Commissioners also established the percent of the cost of providing the services which should be recovered by such fees, referred to in this process as a “target percent”; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Commissioners has directed the Controller/Administrator’s Office to establish a process for the annual review of these fees and target percents; and

WHEREAS, this process begins with the calculation of a cost increase factor, which is equal to the previous three year average increase in general fund adopted budget for the appropriate departments; and

WHEREAS, this cost increase factor is applied to the previous year’s calculated cost and multiplied by the target percent and in most cases rounded to the lower full dollar amount in order to arrive at a preliminary recommended fee for the upcoming year; and

WHEREAS, in cases where the calculated cost multiplied by target percent is much higher than the current fee, the fee will be recommended to increase gradually each year until the full cost multiplied by target percent is reached, in order to avoid any drastic increases in fees; and

WHEREAS, in cases where the calculated cost multiplied by target percent is lower than the current fee, no fee increase will be recommended for that year; and

WHEREAS, after initial recommendations are made by the Controller/Administrator, these recommendations are distributed to the affected offices and departments, in order to receive their input; and

WHEREAS, after reviewing the input from the affected offices and departments, the Controller/Administrator makes final recommendations to the Board of Commissioners; and

WHEREAS, the Controller/Administrator’s Office has finished its annual review of these fees and recommended increases where appropriate based on increased costs of providing services supported by these fees and the percent of the cost of providing the services which should be covered by such fees as established by the Board of Commissioners; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Commissioners has reviewed the Controller/Administrator’s recommendations including the target percentages, along with recommendations of the various county offices, departments, and staff.
RESOLUTION #11-

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Board of Commissioners authorizes or encourages the following fee increases in Attachments A and B at the rates established effective January 1, 2012 with the exception of the Health Department and Friend of the Court, where new rates will be effective October 1, 2011.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the fees within major Health Department services are not included on the attachments and were not set by the policy above, but rather through policy established in Resolutions #05-166 and #05-242.

FINANCE: Yeas: Schor, Tsernoglou, Nolan, Bahar-Cook, McGrain, Dougan
Nays: None Absent: None Approved 5/18/11

Comm. Dougan voted no on the Point of Sale Fees
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Loc of Svc</th>
<th>Fee Description</th>
<th>2011 Fee</th>
<th>2012 Fee</th>
<th>Target Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Drain Comm.</td>
<td>Plat Drain Administration Fee</td>
<td>$2,000.00</td>
<td>$2,100.00</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drain Comm.</td>
<td>Soil Erosion Permit-Residential-9 mo.</td>
<td>$220.00</td>
<td>$230.00</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>Administrative-Returned Check Fee</td>
<td>$15.00</td>
<td>$30.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>Memorials Service - Bench</td>
<td>$400.00</td>
<td>$500.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>Shelters - 40 Person Capacity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>Baldwin Riverview</td>
<td>$45.00</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>Shelters - 60 Person Capacity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>Lake Lansing South Lakeview</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td>$75.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>Lake Lansing North Oak Knoll</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td>$75.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>Lake Lansing North Sandhill</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td>$75.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>Hawk Island Kestrel</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td>$75.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>Hawk Island 1/2 of Peregrine</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td>$75.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>Burchfield Deer Run</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td>$60.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>Burchfield Pine Knoll</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td>$60.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>Burchfield Southridge</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td>$75.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>Potter Park Penguin Cove</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td>$75.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>Shelters - 80 Person Capacity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>Potter Park Eagle Landing</td>
<td>$75.00</td>
<td>$100.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>Shelters - 120 Person Capacity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>Lake Lansing - North - 1/2 of Main</td>
<td>$80.00</td>
<td>$100.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>Hawk Island Peregrine</td>
<td>$100.00</td>
<td>$125.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>Burchfield 1/2 of North Bluff</td>
<td>$80.00</td>
<td>$100.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>Burchfield 1/2 of Woodsong</td>
<td>$80.00</td>
<td>$100.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>Shelters - 150 Person Capacity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>Lake Lansing - South - 1/2 of Main</td>
<td>$80.00</td>
<td>$100.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>Potter Park 1/2 of Tiger Den</td>
<td>$80.00</td>
<td>$100.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>Shelters - 240 Person Capacity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>Lake Lansing - North - Main</td>
<td>$150.00</td>
<td>$175.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>Burchfield - North Bluff</td>
<td>$150.00</td>
<td>$175.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>Burchfield - Woodsong</td>
<td>$150.00</td>
<td>$175.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>Shelters - 300 Person Capacity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>Lake Lansing - South - Main</td>
<td>$150.00</td>
<td>$175.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>Burchfield - Overlook</td>
<td>$150.00</td>
<td>$175.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>Potter Park - Tiger Den</td>
<td>$150.00</td>
<td>$175.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>Shelters - 375 Person Capacity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>Hawk Island - Red Tail</td>
<td>$200.00</td>
<td>$250.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loc of SVC</td>
<td>Fee Description</td>
<td>2011 Fee</td>
<td>2012 Fee</td>
<td>Target Percent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>Boating Fees</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>In-Park Canoe/Kayak - 1st hr</td>
<td>$4.00</td>
<td>$5.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>In-Park Canoe/Kayak - 2nd hr</td>
<td>$4.00</td>
<td>$5.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>Canoe/Kayak Trips - McNamara</td>
<td>$10.00</td>
<td>$12.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>Canoe/Kayak Trips - Bunker Rd</td>
<td>$12.00</td>
<td>$18.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>Canoe/Kayak Trips - Eaton Rapids</td>
<td>$15.00</td>
<td>$25.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>Pedal Boat - Weekdays - per 1/2 hr</td>
<td>$4.50</td>
<td>$5.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>Pedal Boat - Wkds/Holidays - per 1/2 hr</td>
<td>$5.50</td>
<td>$6.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>Row Boat - 1st hour- fee per hour</td>
<td>$4.00</td>
<td>$5.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>Row Boat - 2nd hour - fee per hour</td>
<td>$4.00</td>
<td>$5.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>Ski Rental (Burchfield only)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>Moonlight Ski - Adult</td>
<td>$6.00</td>
<td>$10.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>NEW FEES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>Boating Fees (1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Abandonment Recovery Fee</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>$40.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Late Fee (arriving 1/2 hour or later after boathouse closing)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>$20.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>Disc Golf (2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>12 &amp; Under</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>Day Pass</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>$4.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>Season Pass</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>$40.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>Tournament *</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>Dog Park (3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>Regular Pass</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>$30.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>Student (college ID)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>$15.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>Senior (+60)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>$15.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>Veteran</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>$15.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>Replacement FOB</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>$5.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>Special Event Fees (4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>Non-Profit Youth Groups</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>1-100 Participants</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>$200.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>101-200 Participants</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>$300.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>201-300 Participants</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>$400.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>301-400 Participants</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>$500.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>401-500 Participants</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>$600.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>500+ Participants</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>$700.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>Parking (5)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>2nd Vehicle Annual Pass</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>$15.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>Snow Tube Rental - Burchfield (6)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>Burchfield - Tube Rental (2 hours)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>$1.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loc of Svc</td>
<td>Fee Description</td>
<td>2011 Fee</td>
<td>2012 Fee</td>
<td>Target Percent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks</td>
<td><strong>Hawk Island Tubing Hill (7)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>Operational Rates (Mon-Fri 4-9 pm. Sat-Sun 10am-9pm)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>Adult Pass (all day)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>$7.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>Child Pass (12&amp;under)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>$5.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>Family Pass (2adults, 2 children)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>$20.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>Group Rate (20-100 people)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>$5/person</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks</td>
<td><strong>Non-Operational Rates (Reservation Only)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>Group Rate (1-50 people) 2 hours</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>$250.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>Group Rate (50+ people) 2 hours</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>$350.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>Per additional hours</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>$100.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treasurer</td>
<td>Tax service fee</td>
<td>$3.00</td>
<td>$4.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Animal Control</td>
<td>Boarding Fee for Dangerous Animals</td>
<td>$25.00</td>
<td>$30.00</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Animal Control</td>
<td>Boarding Fee per day-others</td>
<td>$15.00</td>
<td>$20.00</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Animal Control</td>
<td>Euthanasia Fee</td>
<td>$75.00</td>
<td>$100.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Animal Control</td>
<td>Bordatella Vaccination on redeemed dogs</td>
<td>$5.00</td>
<td>$6.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pros Atty</td>
<td>Diversion - Service Fee- Felony Offender</td>
<td>$750.00</td>
<td>$760.00</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pros Atty</td>
<td>Costs for eligible convictions - Trial</td>
<td>$150.00</td>
<td>$200.00</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comm. Health</td>
<td>Conting Ed. Fee Diseased Control/Imm.</td>
<td>$13.00</td>
<td>$14.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comm. Health</td>
<td>INS Vaccination Verif Form I-693</td>
<td>$33.00</td>
<td>$35.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imm. Clinic</td>
<td>Internat'l Travel Consult</td>
<td>$55.00</td>
<td>$57.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imm. Clinic</td>
<td>Influenza - Mass Vacc. Clinic</td>
<td>market price</td>
<td>market price</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Med Examiner</td>
<td>Cremation Permits</td>
<td>$23.00</td>
<td>$26.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Med Examiner</td>
<td>Autopsy Report Copies (family)</td>
<td>$15.00</td>
<td>$17.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Med Examiner</td>
<td>Autopsy Report Copies (others)</td>
<td>$39.00</td>
<td>$44.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OYC</td>
<td>Consultation Request (per hr.)</td>
<td>$61.00</td>
<td>$67.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OYC</td>
<td>Agency Train. Request- Base, 1.5 hr.</td>
<td>$180.00</td>
<td>$200.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OYC</td>
<td>Agency Train. Request- Base, 2.5 hr.</td>
<td>$300.00</td>
<td>$330.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OYC</td>
<td>Agency Train. Request- Base, 3.0 hr.</td>
<td>$370.00</td>
<td>$400.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OYC</td>
<td>Agency Train. Request- Base, 5.0 hr.</td>
<td>$620.00</td>
<td>$650.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OYC</td>
<td>Agency Train. Request- Base, 1.5 hr, each add.</td>
<td>$13.00</td>
<td>$14.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OYC</td>
<td>Agency Train. Request- Base, 2.5 hr, each add.</td>
<td>$18.00</td>
<td>$20.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OYC</td>
<td>OYC-Advertised Train.- 1.0-2.0 hr./per person (min. 15 attending)</td>
<td>$24.00</td>
<td>$22.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OYC</td>
<td>OYC-Advertised Train.- 2.5 - 4.5 hrs./per person (min. 15 attending)</td>
<td>$30.00</td>
<td>$28.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OYC</td>
<td>OYC-Advertised Train.- 5.0 - 7.0 hrs./per person (min. 15 attending)</td>
<td>$61.00</td>
<td>$60.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OYC</td>
<td>person</td>
<td>$93.00</td>
<td>$100.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OYC</td>
<td>OYC - Admin Train. - 16 hrs./per person</td>
<td>$170.00</td>
<td>$130.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loc of Svc</td>
<td>Fee Description</td>
<td>2011 Fee</td>
<td>2012 Fee</td>
<td>Target Percent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Env. Health</td>
<td>FIXED FOOD SERVICE ESTAB</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Env. Health</td>
<td>FSE Initial License incl. 2 hrs Plan Rev</td>
<td>$1,200.00</td>
<td>$1,300.00</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Env. Health</td>
<td>FSE Initial Restricted License</td>
<td>$620.00</td>
<td>$650.00</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Env. Health</td>
<td>FSE Initial License (Mobile)</td>
<td>$430.00</td>
<td>$460.00</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Env. Health</td>
<td>FSE Renewal Lic - At least $750,000</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
<td>$1,050.00</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Env. Health</td>
<td>FSE Renewal Lic -$500,000 to less than $750,000</td>
<td>$840.00</td>
<td>$880.00</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Env. Health</td>
<td>FSE Renewal Lic - $250,000 to less than $500,000</td>
<td>$650.00</td>
<td>$685.00</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Env. Health</td>
<td>FSE Renewal Lic - Less than $250,000</td>
<td>$450.00</td>
<td>$480.00</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Env. Health</td>
<td>FSE Non-profit License Renewal</td>
<td>$230.00</td>
<td>$240.00</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Env. Health</td>
<td>Fixed Food Svc Estab Nonprofit - INITIAL License incl. 2 hr plan rev</td>
<td>$440.00</td>
<td>$650.00</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Env. Health</td>
<td>Reinstatement of Susp FSE</td>
<td>$560.00</td>
<td>$580.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Env. Health</td>
<td>Surcharge - Fail submit plans/chg own</td>
<td>$540.00</td>
<td>$575.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Env. Health</td>
<td>Critical Follow-up Inspection fee</td>
<td>$130.00</td>
<td>$135.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Env. Health</td>
<td>* Special food svc estab surchg 2nd step of formal hearing</td>
<td>$231.00</td>
<td>$500.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Env. Health</td>
<td>* Special food svc estab surchg 3rd step of formal hearing</td>
<td>$473.00</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Env. Health</td>
<td>FSE Seasonal Renewal - Gross sales exc. $750,000</td>
<td>$600.00</td>
<td>$650.00</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Env. Health</td>
<td>FSE Seasonal renewal - at least $500,000, less $750,000</td>
<td>$500.00</td>
<td>$530.00</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Env. Health</td>
<td>FSE Seasonal Renewal - at least $250,000, less $500,000</td>
<td>$390.00</td>
<td>$410.00</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Env. Health</td>
<td>FSE Seasonal renewal - less than $250,000</td>
<td>$275.00</td>
<td>$290.00</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Env. Health</td>
<td>FSE - DOE Schools Program - Production Kitchen</td>
<td>$490.00</td>
<td>$520.00</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Env. Health</td>
<td>FSE - DOE Schools Program - Satellite Kitchen</td>
<td>$310.00</td>
<td>$330.00</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Env. Health</td>
<td>Change of Ownership of FSE</td>
<td>$360.00</td>
<td>$385.00</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Env. Health</td>
<td>Initl Lic Fee Exmpt(plan rew only) Govt hrly rate for 2 hrs.</td>
<td>$105.00</td>
<td>$220.00</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Env. Health</td>
<td>FSE - late renewal - additional</td>
<td>$125.00</td>
<td>$130.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loc of Svc</td>
<td>Fee Description</td>
<td>2011 Fee</td>
<td>2012 Fee</td>
<td>Target Percent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Env. Health</td>
<td>STFU</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Env. Health</td>
<td>Initial STFU license Incl. Plan Rev</td>
<td>$330.00</td>
<td>$321.00</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Env. Health</td>
<td>STFU late inspection request (150% of cost is the penalty)</td>
<td>$210.00</td>
<td>$150.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Env. Health</td>
<td>* STFU in season inspection</td>
<td>$90.00</td>
<td>$101.00</td>
<td>150%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Env. Health</td>
<td>* STFU Renewal</td>
<td>$110.00</td>
<td>$101.00</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Env. Health</td>
<td>TEMPORARY LICENSE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Env. Health</td>
<td>Temp FSE - Non-Profit</td>
<td>$105.00</td>
<td>$110.00</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Env. Health</td>
<td>Temp Nonprf FSE-Ops Beg Bef Licg (double)</td>
<td>$200.00</td>
<td>$220.00</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Env. Health</td>
<td>Temp FSE- Preparation Type -For Profit</td>
<td>$215.00</td>
<td>$225.00</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Env. Health</td>
<td>Temp FSE-Ops Began Before Licg (double)</td>
<td>$420.00</td>
<td>$450.00</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Env. Health</td>
<td>Temp FSE-each add'l lic.after 2 at 1 loc</td>
<td>$69.00</td>
<td>$73.00</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Env. Health</td>
<td>Temp Event Inspection Request-Late Fee-profit</td>
<td>$400.00</td>
<td>$430.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Env. Health</td>
<td>VENDING</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Env. Health</td>
<td>Vending:1-3 Licensable Mach. in Same Loc.</td>
<td>$78.00</td>
<td>$80.00</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Env. Health</td>
<td>Vending: 4-6 Licensable Mach. in Same Loc.</td>
<td>$100.00</td>
<td>$105.00</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Env. Health</td>
<td>Vending: 7-10 Licensable Mach. in Same Loc.</td>
<td>$135.00</td>
<td>$140.00</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Env. Health</td>
<td>Larger Loc (Add'l Machine &gt; 10)</td>
<td>$6.00</td>
<td>$7.00</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Env. Health</td>
<td>Surcharge-Failure to apply-vending lic</td>
<td>$180.00</td>
<td>$190.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Env. Health</td>
<td>POOL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Env. Health</td>
<td>Public Pool Inspection</td>
<td>$210.00</td>
<td>$220.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Env. Health</td>
<td>Each add'l pool at same location</td>
<td>$105.00</td>
<td>$110.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Env. Health</td>
<td>Pool Reinspection (after violation)</td>
<td>$105.00</td>
<td>$110.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Env. Health</td>
<td>DHS LICENSING</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Env. Health</td>
<td>DHS Licensing Inspection - municipal</td>
<td>$200.00</td>
<td>$205.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Env. Health</td>
<td>DHS Licensing Inspection - well &amp; septic</td>
<td>$330.00</td>
<td>$345.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Env. Health</td>
<td>DHS Licensing - well &amp; septic only</td>
<td>$130.00</td>
<td>$135.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Env. Health</td>
<td>DHS Licensing re-inspection hrly</td>
<td>$105.00</td>
<td>$110.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Env. Health</td>
<td>DHS Initial Licensing Plan Review</td>
<td>$380.00</td>
<td>$395.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Env. Health</td>
<td>BODY ART (TATTOO)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Env. Health</td>
<td>Body Art Business Initial License</td>
<td>$780.00</td>
<td>$550.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Env. Health</td>
<td>Body Art License Renewal</td>
<td>$400.00</td>
<td>$175.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Env. Health</td>
<td>Body Art w/o initial license/reinstatement of revoked</td>
<td>$525.00</td>
<td>$550.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Env. Health</td>
<td>Body Art non-compl w/ inspection-hrly</td>
<td>$105.00</td>
<td>$110.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Env. Health</td>
<td>Reinstmt of Susp Body Art License (fine)</td>
<td>$195.00</td>
<td>$210.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Env. Health</td>
<td>Body Art Initial License after July 1</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>$275.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Env. Health</td>
<td>Body Art Temp License (1-14 days)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>$100.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loc of Svc</td>
<td>Fee Description</td>
<td>2011 Fee</td>
<td>2012 Fee</td>
<td>Target Percent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Env. Health</td>
<td>DEMAND PROGRAM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Env. Health</td>
<td>Sewage Only</td>
<td>$540.00</td>
<td>$770.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Env. Health</td>
<td>Well Only</td>
<td>$540.00</td>
<td>$575.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Env. Health</td>
<td>Vacant Land Evaluation</td>
<td>$520.00</td>
<td>$555.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Env. Health</td>
<td>On-Site Sewage repair/replace</td>
<td>$520.00</td>
<td>$770.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Env. Health</td>
<td>Repair - Well</td>
<td>$190.00</td>
<td>$200.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Env. Health</td>
<td>Altern On-site Sewage Syst Plan Revw</td>
<td>$400.00</td>
<td>$420.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Env. Health</td>
<td>Subdivision Evaluation of Preliminary Plat</td>
<td>$210.00</td>
<td>$340.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Env. Health</td>
<td>Munic Requ Eval. of Well/Septic</td>
<td>$105.00</td>
<td>$110.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Env. Health</td>
<td>Septic or Well ownership trsfr,not installed at time of transfer</td>
<td>$175.00</td>
<td>$185.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Env. Health</td>
<td>Septic tank repair/replacemt inspection</td>
<td>$265.00</td>
<td>$285.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Env. Health</td>
<td>BATHING BEACHES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Env. Health</td>
<td>Bathing Area Operational Permit</td>
<td>$210.00</td>
<td>$225.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Env. Health</td>
<td>Reinstmt of bathing area permit</td>
<td>$105.00</td>
<td>$110.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Env. Health</td>
<td>Sanitary Surv for Prop. Bathg Beach</td>
<td>$420.00</td>
<td>$450.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Env. Health</td>
<td>CAMPGROUNDS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Env. Health</td>
<td>Campground Inspection 0-99 Sites</td>
<td>$140.00</td>
<td>$150.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Env. Health</td>
<td>Campground Inspection 100-199 Sites</td>
<td>$215.00</td>
<td>$225.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Env. Health</td>
<td>Campground Inspection 200+ Sites</td>
<td>$280.00</td>
<td>$300.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Env. Health</td>
<td>Campground 0-99 sites -after July 1 fine for late inspection -150%</td>
<td>$210.00</td>
<td>$225.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Env. Health</td>
<td>Campground 100-199 Sites after July 1 fine for late inspection 150%</td>
<td>$322.00</td>
<td>$340.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Env. Health</td>
<td>Campground 200+ Sites after July 1 fine for late inspection 150%</td>
<td>$420.00</td>
<td>$450.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Env. Health</td>
<td>Campground 0-99 Sites after Sept 1 fine for late inspection 200%</td>
<td>$280.00</td>
<td>$300.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Env. Health</td>
<td>Campground 100-199 Sites after Sept 1 fine for late inspection 200%</td>
<td>$430.00</td>
<td>$450.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Env. Health</td>
<td>Campground 200+ Sites fine for late inspection after Sept 1 -200%</td>
<td>$560.00</td>
<td>$600.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Env. Health</td>
<td>MISC EH PROGRAMS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Env. Health</td>
<td>Collection of water samples for Type II</td>
<td>$105.00</td>
<td>$110.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Env. Health</td>
<td>Non-Community Water Sampling per hr</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Env. Health</td>
<td>Type II Non Community - Sanitary Survey</td>
<td>$420.00</td>
<td>$440.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Env. Health</td>
<td>Board of Health appeal fee</td>
<td>$119.00</td>
<td>$125.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loc of Svc</td>
<td>Fee Description</td>
<td>2011 Fee</td>
<td>2012 Fee</td>
<td>Target Percent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Env. Health</td>
<td>POINT OF SALE PROGRAM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Env. Health</td>
<td>Point of Sale- appl processing fee</td>
<td>$180.00</td>
<td>$195.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Env. Health</td>
<td>Point of Sale- on site evaluation well &amp; waste treatment system by ICHD</td>
<td>$360.00</td>
<td>$395.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Env. Health</td>
<td>Point of Sale - Waste Treatment Inspection by ICHD (excludes pumping fees) (Municipal water system)</td>
<td>$210.00</td>
<td>$230.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Env. Health</td>
<td>Point of Sale - Well Inspection by ICHD (incl water samples for bacteria and partial chemicals)</td>
<td>$150.00</td>
<td>$165.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Env. Health</td>
<td>Point of Sale- Extension Evaluations</td>
<td>$105.00</td>
<td>$110.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Env. Health</td>
<td>Point of Sale- Annl Inspector renwl fee</td>
<td>$120.00</td>
<td>$130.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Env. Health</td>
<td>TOBACCO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Env. Health</td>
<td>License- Tobacco Sales- 1yr.- Retailer-East Lansing</td>
<td>$251.00</td>
<td>$260.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Env. Health</td>
<td>License- Tobacco Sales- 1yr.- Retailer-Non-East Lansing</td>
<td>$290.00</td>
<td>$300.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Env. Health</td>
<td>License- Tobacco Sales-1yr-Vend. Mach</td>
<td>$290.00</td>
<td>$310.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Env. Health</td>
<td>Tobacco -Temporary Sampling Permit Fee - Late Notice Fee (Less than 30 days before event)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>$185.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Env. Health</td>
<td>License- Tobacco Sales- 1yr.- Retailer-East Lansing -Late Fee(9)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>$390.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Env. Health</td>
<td>License- Tobacco Sales- 1yr.- Retailer-Non-East Lansing -Late Fee</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>$470.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Env. Health</td>
<td>Tobacco Change of Ownership Fee - Non East Lansing</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>$145.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Env. Health</td>
<td>Tobacco Change of Ownership Fee- E.Lansing</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>$125.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Env. Health</td>
<td>Tobacco Failure to change ownership</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>$180.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vet. Affairs</td>
<td>County User Fee</td>
<td>$22.06</td>
<td>$24.34</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* respective to "Special Event" fees per number of people
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Loc of Svc</th>
<th>Fee Description</th>
<th>2011 Fee</th>
<th>2012 Fee</th>
<th>Target Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All Courts</td>
<td>Work Release</td>
<td>$24.00</td>
<td>$25.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circuit Court</td>
<td>Felony Case Costs</td>
<td>$575.00</td>
<td>$600.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circuit Court</td>
<td>Show Cause - Probation</td>
<td>$75.00</td>
<td>$100.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family Division</td>
<td>Delinquency Costs</td>
<td>$175.00</td>
<td>$200.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Introduced by the Human Services and Finance Committees of the:

INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE TRANSFER OF FUNDS TO THE MID-SOUTH SUBSTANCE ABUSE COMMISSION AND A 2011 CONTRACT FOR ACCOUNTING WITH RESPECT THERETO

RESOLUTION #11-

WHEREAS, MCL 211.24(e), commonly known as the State Liquor Tax Law, allowed Ingham County the option of retaining the entire amount of liquor tax revenue provided that these monies be used to offset property tax revenues by an equal amount, or the option of retaining one-half of the revenues and distributing the other half to the County’s designated coordinating agency for substance abuse prevention and treatment; and

WHEREAS, the Ingham County Board of Commissioners, during the 2011 budget process, chose to retain one-half of these liquor tax revenues for the General Fund and is now required to distribute the other one-half of these revenues to the designated coordinating agency, the Mid-South Substance Abuse Commission, to use said funds for substance abuse prevention and treatment programs in Ingham County; and

WHEREAS, the Statute requires the Mid-South Substance Abuse Commission to use said funds for substance abuse prevention and treatment programs in Ingham County; and

WHEREAS, the Department of Treasury has recommended certain accounting and/or auditing procedures to be used with respect thereto.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that a contract be entered into with the Mid-South Substance Abuse Commission in an amount not to exceed $780,538, for the time period of January 1, 2011 through December 31, 2011, which provides that the Mid-South Substance Abuse Commission will provide Ingham County with substance abuse services and accounting and audit reports consistent with the requirements of the Michigan Department of Treasury, demonstrating its use of funds received from Ingham County from liquor tax revenues, which use shall be in accordance with the requirements of MCL 211.24(e).

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Controller/Administrator is authorized to make any necessary budget adjustments.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Chairperson of the Ingham County Board of Commissioners and the County Clerk are authorized to sign any necessary contract documents consistent with this resolution and approved as to form by the County Attorney.

HUMAN SERVICES:  Yeas: Tennis, McGrain, Nolan, Vickers, Dougan
   Nays: None    Absent: Koenig    Approved 5/16/11

FINANCE:  Yeas: Schor, Tsernoglou, Nolan, Bahar-Cook, McGrain, Dougan
   Nays: None    Absent: None    Approved 5/18/11
MAY 24, 2011
Agenda Item No. 17

Introduced by the Human Services and Finance Committees of the:

INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE ACCEPTANCE OF THE MENTAL HEALTH MINI-GRANT – CHILD & ADOLESCENT HEALTH CENTERS FROM THE MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY HEALTH AND THE MUSKEGON AREA INTERMEDIATE SCHOOL DISTRICT

RESOLUTION #11-

WHEREAS, the Ingham County Health Department applied for and received a $60,000 grant through the Michigan Department of Community Health; and

WHEREAS, this grant is funded to provide anti-bullying and violence prevention education at Otto Community Health Center and at Gardner Middle School and to provide suicide prevention classes at Willow Health Center; and

WHEREAS, in order to carry out the grant required functions the ICHD must purchase two computers, not to exceed $5,000, provide overnight travel accommodations for staff who will attend a four day training in Ann Arbor, not to exceed $3,000, and bring in outside consultants to provide professional development, not to exceed $2,000; and

WHEREAS, the Muskegon Area Intermediate School District, on behalf of the Michigan Department of Community Health, has provided a contract in the amount of $60,000 for the project; and

WHEREAS, the Ingham County Community Health Center Board, as the Board of Commissioners FQHC Co-applicant Board, has reviewed and recommends the acceptance of this Mental Health Mini-Grant award; and

WHEREAS the Health Officer recommends that the Board of Commissioners authorizes and accepts this award in the amount of $60,000 for the grant period of April 1, 2011 through September 30, 2011.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, the Ingham County Board of Commissioners accepts the $60,000 award and authorizes an agreement with the Muskegon Area Intermediate School District for the period of April 1, 2011 through September 30, 2011.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that two computers, are authorized for purchase in an amount not to exceed $5,000, utilizing grant funds.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, grant funded contracts with consultants to provide professional development are authorized, not to exceed $2,000.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Controller/Administrator is authorized to make the necessary budget adjustments, and the Purchasing Department is authorized to issue any necessary purchase orders or purchase items needed.
RESOLUTION #11-

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the County Clerk and the Chairperson of the Board of Commissioners are hereby authorized to sign the necessary contract documents on behalf of the County after approval as to form by the County Attorney.

HUMAN SERVICES: Yeas: Tennis, McGrain, Koenig, Nolan, Vickers, Dougan
   Nays: None  Absent: None  Approved 5/16/11

FINANCE: Yeas: Schor, Tsernoglou, Nolan, Bahar-Cook, McGrain, Dougan
   Nays: None  Absent: None  Approved 5/18/11
Introduce by the Judiciary and Finance Committees of the:

INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

RESOLUTION TO APPROVE THE PURCHASE OF COURTROOM TECHNOLOGY FROM
TEL SYSTEMS FOR THE CIRCUIT COURT

RESOLUTION #11-

WHEREAS, the Circuit Court has a need to add new video conferencing equipment in Judge Draganchuk’s and Judge Canady’s Courtrooms; and

WHEREAS, these upgrades will allow the Court to provide better customer service by utilizing state-of-the-art technology; and

WHEREAS, the Circuit Court along with other Ingham County Courts, in conjunction with Purchasing and Management Information Services (MIS) sought proposals for similar technology for other Courtrooms and Hearing Rooms; and

WHEREAS, an Evaluation Committee comprised of Circuit Court, District Court, Probate Court, Friend of the Court, Family Court, Purchasing and MIS reviewed proposals for the technology for the other Courtrooms and Hearing Rooms; and

WHEREAS, the same technology would be used for these two Courtrooms; and

WHEREAS, the total costs will be paid out of the Circuit Court budgeted CIP funds ($40,000) and the equipment revolving fund ($641.50).

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Board of Commissioners authorizes entering into a contract with TeL Systems, at a cost of $40,641.50 for the purpose of supplying and installing video conferencing equipment courtroom technology.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Chairperson of the Ingham County Board of Commissioners and the County Clerk are authorized to sign any contract / purchase documents consistent with this resolution and approved as to form by the County Attorney.

JUDICIARY: Yeas: Bahar-Cook, Koenig, Holman, Tennis, Tsernoglou
    Nays: None    Absent: Schafer    Approved 5/12/11

FINANCE: Yeas: Schor, Tsernoglou, Nolan, Bahar-Cook, McGrain, Dougan
    Nays: None    Absent: None    Approved 5/18/11
WHEREAS, Kevin Thompson is a senior at Lansing’s Everett High School where he has taken Advanced Placement World Literature, European History and Government, he is a member of the School Quiz Bowl team and has appeared on Quizbusters; and

WHEREAS, Kevin is a 3rd year student of Tae Qwon Do and has a Senior Red Belt, he is also a member of Everett High School’s JV Baseball team; and

WHEREAS, Kevin has been accepted in the Lyman Briggs Residential College, and plans to study to be a veterinarian; and

WHEREAS, Kevin was an active member of Pack 430 from Attwood Elementary School where he earned his Arrow of Light in 2004, he then moved up to Troop 411 in the spring of 2004, where he is still active, holding the positions of Assistant Patrol Leader, Patrol Leader, Assistant Senior Patrol Leader, Senior Patrol Leader and most recently Instructor; and

WHEREAS, as a member of Boy Scout Troop 411, chartered to Christ United Methodist Church, with the help of Ingham County Parks/Potter Park Zoo, family and friends Kevin completed an eagle project consisting of designing and building an Interactive Scale Model Eagles Nest at Potter Park Zoo; and

WHEREAS, Kevin has earned the highest rank attainable in Scouting, the Eagle Scout; and

WHEREAS, to achieve the Eagle rank, a scout must demonstrate leadership and citizenship while earning 21 merit badges before reaching the age of 18.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners congratulates Kevin Thompson for earning the rank of Eagle Scout and extends its sincere appreciation to Kevin for serving as a positive role model for the youth in our community.

JUDICIARY:  Yeas:  Bahar-Cook, Koenig, Holman, Tennis, Tsernoglou
   Nays:  None   Absent:  Schafer   Approved 5/12/11
Introduced by the Judiciary and Finance Committees of the:

INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

RESOLUTION TO AMEND THE STATE COURT ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE
ACCESS AND VISITATION GRANT AGREEMENT

RESOLUTION #11-

WHEREAS, Resolution #10-371 authorized the Ingham County Board of Commission to accept a one year agreement effective October 1, 2010 ending September 30, 2011, in the amount of $7675.00, from the State Court Administrative Office for purposes of facilitating noncustodial parents’ access to visitation with their children through supervised parenting time and neutral drop-off and pick up services.

WHEREAS, the State Court Administrative Office has increased the Access and Visitation Contract by $5,000 resulting in a total contract amount of $12,675.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, the Ingham County Board of Commissioners authorizes amending the original contract with The State Court Administrative Office by increasing it from $7675.00 to $12,675, and to promote facilitating noncustodial parents’ access to visitation with their children through supervised parenting time and neutral drop-off and pick up services for the time period of October 1, 2010 through September 30, 2011.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Controller/Administrator is authorized to make the necessary budget adjustments to the 2010/2011 Circuit Court Family Division, Friend of the Court Budgets in accordance with this Resolution.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, the Ingham County Board of Commissioners authorizes the Board Chairperson and the County Clerk to sign any necessary documents that are consistent with this resolution and approved as to form by the County Attorney.

JUDICIARY: Yeas: Bahar-Cook, Koenig, Holman, Tennis, Tsernoglou
    Nays: None    Absent: Schafer    Approved 5/12/11

FINANCE: Yeas: Schor, Tsernoglou, Nolan, Bahar-Cook, McGrain, Dougan
    Nays: None    Absent: None    Approved 5/18/11
Introduced by the Law Enforcement, Judiciary and Finance Committees of the:

INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE ENTERING INTO A MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING WITH THE MICHIGAN ASSOCIATION OF COMMUNITY CORRECTION ADVISORY BOARDS (MACCAB) FOR IMPLEMENTATION OF THE PRETRIAL RISK ASSESSMENT PROJECT TO BE PROVIDED BY LUMINOSITY, INC.

RESOLUTION #11-

WHEREAS, the Jail Utilization Coordinating Committee (JUCC) and the Community Corrections Advisory Board (CCAB) have consistently recommended Pretrial Services enhancements as an important strategy to address the complex problems associated with managing the jail population, including implementation of an objective risk assessment process to be conducted by Pretrial Services to assist in assessing risk to help target appropriate defendants for pretrial supervision and treatment resources; and

WHEREAS, MACCAB entered into discussions with Luminosity, Inc. regarding assisting Michigan counties with the improvement of local risk assessment policies and procedures; and

WHEREAS, Luminosity, Inc., submitted a proposal to MACCAB for the Pretrial Risk Assessment Project as set forth in the proposed contract between MACCAB and Luminosity, Inc. for a cost of $6,599 per county based on participation of at least eight counties; and

WHEREAS, MACCAB has agreed to act as fiduciary for the project for all participating counties in order to expedite the process and assist with reducing the cost per county by eliminating the need for Luminosity to contract with each individual county; and

WHEREAS, the CCAB and the State of Michigan – Office of Community Alternatives have approved using $6,599 of the Ingham County Community Corrections FY2010 – 2011 budget for this project; and

WHEREAS, the Circuit Court has advised that it will fully participate in the Project as set forth in the Luminosity contract; and

WHEREAS, upon receiving a signed Memorandum of Understanding (MOU), County Resolution or other authorizing documentation to enter the MOU from each participating county, including Ingham County, MACCAB will enter the main contract with Luminosity, Inc. and the MOU with each participating county and the Project will proceed pursuant to the terms and conditions of the contract.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners authorizes Ingham County to enter into the MOU with MACCAB in agreement with all terms and conditions of the MOU and the contract with Luminosity with the cost of services not to exceed $6,599 to be covered by Community Corrections State grant funding.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Controller/Administrator’s Office is authorized to amend the Community Corrections 2010/2011 budget to increase revenues and expenses by an additional $6,599.
RESOLUTION #11-

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that execution of the MOU is contingent upon the County receiving a fully executed copy of the contract between Luminosity, Inc. and MACCAB as authorized by this Resolution.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Board Chairperson and County Clerk are authorized to sign any necessary contracts/subcontracts consistent with this resolution subject to approval as to form by the County Attorney.

LAW ENFORCEMENT: Yeas: Celentino, Holman, De Leon, Copedge, Dragonetti
   Nays: None   Absent: Schafer   Approved 5/12/11

JUDICIARY: Yeas: Bahar-Cook, Holman, Tennis, Tsernoglou
   Nays: None   Absent: Koenig, Schafer   Approved 5/12/11

FINANCE: Yeas: Schor, Tsernoglou, Nolan, Bahar-Cook, McGrain, Dougan
   Nays: None   Absent: None   Approved 5/18/11
Introduced by the Law Enforcement, Judiciary and Finance Committees of the:

INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING APPROVALS FOR REIMBURSEMENT REQUESTS AND CONTRACT SUBMISSIONS WITHIN THE ELECTRONIC GRANT ADMINISTRATION MANAGEMENT SYSTEM (EGrAMS) BY THE FRIEND OF THE COURT AND PROSECUTING ATTORNEY

RESOLUTION #11-

WHEREAS, the State of Michigan has recently begun requiring IV-D (child support) agencies to submit their monthly reimbursement requests, and their annual Cooperative Reimbursement Program (CRP) contract requests, within the Electronic Grant Administration Management System (EGrAMS); and

WHEREAS, submission of these items will be necessary for Ingham County to continue to receive 66% reimbursement for all IV-D activities within the Friend of the Court and Prosecuting Attorney’s offices; and

WHEREAS, monthly reimbursement requests are currently signed in hard copy (paper) format by the Friend of the Court Director, the Prosecuting Attorney, and the Financial Services Director; and

WHEREAS, annual CRP contract requests are currently signed in hard copy (paper) format, upon approval as to form by the County Attorney, by the Friend of the Court Director, the Prosecuting Attorney, the Financial Services Director, and the Chair of the Board of Commissioners; and

WHEREAS, EGRaMS will require monthly approvals for reimbursement requests by users of the system in the roles of “Project Manager” and “Financial Officer”; and

WHEREAS, EGRaMS will require annual approvals of CRP contract requests by users of the system in the roles of “Project Manager”, “Financial Officer” and “Authorized Official”.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Friend of the Court Director and the Prosecuting Attorney are hereby authorized to act in the capacity of separate “Project Managers” within EGRaMS for purposes of approving their respective monthly IV-D reimbursement requests that are consistent with approved Ingham County budgets.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Financial Services Director is hereby authorized to act in the capacity of “Financial Officer” within EGRaMS for purposes of approving monthly IV-D reimbursement requests that are consistent with approved Ingham County budgets.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Friend of the Court Director and the Prosecuting Attorney are hereby authorized to act in the capacity of separate “Project Managers” within EGRaMS for purposes of approving their respective annual CRP contract requests, that are consistent with approved Ingham County budgets, upon approval as to form by the County Attorney of the final contract documents.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Financial Services Director is hereby authorized to act in the capacity of “Financial Officer” within EGRaMS for purposes of approving annual CRP contract requests that are
RESOLUTION #11-

consistent with approved Ingham County budgets, upon approval as to form by the County Attorney of the final contract documents.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Chairperson of the Board of Commissioners will continue to sign a hard copy (paper) form of the annual CRP contract requests that are consistent with approved Ingham County budgets, as submitted in EGRaMS, upon approval as to form by the County Attorney of the final contract documents.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Financial Services Director, upon receipt of a hard copy form of the CRP contract requests signed by the Chairperson of the Board of Commissioners, is hereby authorized to act in the capacity of “Authorized Official” within EGRaMS for purposes of approving the annual CRP contract requests that are consistent with approved Ingham County budgets.

LAW ENFORCEMENT: Yeas: Celentino, Holman, De Leon, Copedge, Dragonetti
Nays: None Absent: Schafer Approved 5/12/11

JUDICIARY: Yeas: Bahar-Cook, Koenig, Holman, Tennis, Tsernoglou
Nays: None Absent: Schafer Approved 5/12/11

FINANCE: Yeas: Schor, Tsernoglou, Nolan, Bahar-Cook, McGrain, Dougan
Nays: None Absent: None Approved 5/18/11
WHEREAS, Deputy Larry Ramirez started his career with the Ingham County Sheriff’s Office on March 6, 1989; and

WHEREAS, Deputy Ramirez’s first assignment at the Sheriff’s Office was in Corrections where he worked in the Housing Units; and

WHEREAS, throughout Deputy Ramirez’s career assigned to the Corrections Division, he worked with distinction in the Medical Unit, Lobby Control, and Receiving Unit; and

WHEREAS, Deputy Ramirez was a proud member of the Ingham County Sheriff’s Office Honor Guard Team, working many details with the unit; and

WHEREAS, Deputy Ramirez was well liked and looked at, as a “go to person” within the Corrections Division due to his experience and knowledge; and

WHEREAS, throughout Deputy Ramirez’s career he distinguished himself as a true professional corrections officer; and

WHEREAS, Deputy Ramirez received numerous letters of appreciation, honors, recognition and awards for his professionalism and dedication to his job; and

WHEREAS, after 22 years of dedicated service to the citizens of Ingham County, Deputy Ramirez is retiring on March 14, 2011.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners hereby honors Deputy Larry Ramirez for his 22 years of dedicated service to the citizens of Ingham County and wishes him continued success in all of his future endeavors.

LAW ENFORCEMENT:  Yeas: Celentino, Holman, De Leon, Copedge, Dragonetti
Nays: None  Absent: Schafer  Approved 5/12/11
Introducing the Law Enforcement Committee of the:

INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

RESOLUTION TO HONOR LIEUTENANT ROY HOLLIDAY OF THE
INGHAM COUNTY SHERIFF’S OFFICE

RESOLUTION #11-

WHEREAS, Lieutenant Roy Holliday started his career with the Ingham County Sheriff’s Office on June 14, 1986; and

WHEREAS, Lieutenant Holliday’s first assignment at the Sheriff’s Office as a Deputy was in Corrections where he worked in Housing and Receiving Units; and

WHEREAS, Lieutenant Holliday then attended Lansing Community College’s EMT School in 1991 and Paramedic School in 1992 graduating with honors; and

WHEREAS, in 1992 Lieutenant Holliday attended the 51st Mid Michigan Police Academy where he MCOLES certified; and

WHEREAS, Lieutenant Holliday was transferred from Corrections in May of 1993 to the Road Patrol Paramedic Unit, where he served with distinction for 5 years, saving numerous lives and tending to hundreds of citizens in need of medical assistance; and

WHEREAS, Lieutenant Holliday was transferred to the Tri-County Metro Narcotics Team, where he was quickly assigned to the Conspiracy Team in January 1998. There, he worked dozens of high profile cases resulting in the seizure of thousands of dollars of narcotics and the arrest of numerous drug kingpins in Mid-Michigan; and

WHEREAS, after Lieutenant Holliday’s successful assignment to Tri-County Metro Narcotics Unit, the Sheriff’s Office promoted him to the rank of Sergeant in February 2000, where he was assigned as the Supervisor of the Paramedic Unit; and

WHEREAS, in 2001, Lieutenant Holliday was transferred to the Detective Bureau where he worked several high profile investigative cases and in July of 2006 he was promoted to the rank of Lieutenant, and assigned to run the Detective Bureau; and

WHEREAS, in November 2007, Lieutenant Holliday was transferred back to the Corrections Division as the Receiving Lieutenant; and

WHEREAS, throughout Lieutenant Holliday’s career he distinguished himself as a true professional police officer, working long hours and always being tenacious in his quest to solve crimes and bring suspects to justice, including leading the Ricky Holland homicide investigation to a successful conclusion; and
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WHEREAS, Lieutenant Holliday received countless letters of appreciation, honors, recognition and awards from not only the Ingham County Sheriff’s Office but from area Law Enforcement agencies, Prosecutors, both state and federal and other Criminal Justice authorities in Michigan; and

WHEREAS, as a result of 25 outstanding years of service to the Ingham County Sheriff’s Office, Lieutenant Holliday was selected unanimously as the 2010 Ingham County Sheriff’s Office Employee of the Year; and

WHEREAS, after 25 years of dedicated service to the citizens of Ingham County, Lieutenant Roy Holliday is retiring on May 19, 2011 to spend more time with his wonderful wife Debra and their children Douglas and Rochelle.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners hereby honors Lieutenant Roy Holliday for his 25 years of dedicated service to the citizens of Ingham County and wishes him continued success in all of his future endeavors.

LAW ENFORCEMENT:  Yeas: Celentino, Holman, De Leon, Copedge, Dragonetti
    Nays: None    Absent: Schafer    Approved 5/12/11
Respectfully submitted by the Law Enforcement Committee of the:

INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

RESOLUTION HONORING JERRY SWARTZ ON THE EVENT OF HIS RETIREMENT

RESOLUTION #11-

WHEREAS, Jerry Swartz began his teaching career as a Special Education Teacher in 1983 and has taught in three states throughout his career - Kansas, Massachusetts and Michigan; and

WHEREAS, in August of 1987 he began working for the Lansing School District as a Special Education Teacher at Eastern High School; and

WHEREAS, as a member of the Michigan Education Association Board, Jerry has over 23 years of leadership experience; and

WHEREAS, since August of 2002 he has served as the President of the Lansing School Education Association (LSEA), representing the teachers of the Lansing School District, he previously served as Vice-President under the Presidency of Betty Brown, and as the Contract Maintenance Department Chairman under the leadership of LSEA President Harry Moore; and

WHEREAS, Jerry has also chaired the LSEA Negotiations Committee and served on the Grievance Committee, other service includes Region 8 Executive Committee member, Region 8 Council delegate, Co-chair of the 8-A Coordinating Council, the MEA Crisis Assistance Program, the MEA Distinguished Service Committee, Capital Area PAC Committee and the MEA PR Academy; and

WHEREAS, Jerry is also a member of the Capital Area United Way Board and began serving as a Commissioner on the Michigan Community Service Commission in 2010; and

WHEREAS, Jerry is retiring from his position with the Lansing School District in June, 2011.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners hereby congratulates Jerry Swartz on the event of his retirement and extends its sincere appreciation to Jerry for his dedication and commitment to improving the quality of life for the citizens of Ingham County, particularly the students, parents and staff of the Lansing School District.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Board wishes him continued success in all of his future endeavors.

LAW ENFORCEMENT: Yeas: Celentino, Holman, De Leon, Copedge, Dragonetti

Nays: None

Absent: Schafer

Approved 5/12/11