

CHAIRPERSON
MARK GREBNER

VICE-CHAIRPERSON
DEB NOLAN

VICE-CHAIRPERSON PRO-TEM
DON VICKERS

JUDICIARY COMMITTEE
REBECCA BAHAR-COOK, CHAIR
CAROL KOENIG
DIANNE HOLMAN
TODD TENNIS
PENELOPE TSERNOGLOU
RANDY SCHAFFER

INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

P.O. Box 319, Mason, Michigan 48854 Telephone (517) 676-7200 Fax (517) 676-7264

THE JUDICIARY COMMITTEE WILL MEET ON THURSDAY, APRIL 28, 2011 AT 7:00 P.M., IN THE PERSONNEL CONFERENCE ROOM (D & E), HUMAN SERVICES BUILDING, 5303 S. CEDAR, LANSING.

Agenda

Call to Order

Approval of the [April 14, 2011](#) Minutes

Additions to the Agenda

Limited Public Comment

1. Circuit Court - Resolution Authorizing an Agreement with [CourtView](#) Justice Solutions for Modifications Needed to the Circuit Court Sentencing Screen
2. Circuit Court/Family Division
 - a. Discussion on Status of Ingham County Family Center Potential Renovation Plans
(*No Materials*)
 - b. Quarterly Report, [First Quarter 2011](#)
3. Controller/Administrator's Office - Resolution Updating [Various Fees](#) for County Services

Announcements

Public Comment

Adjournment

PLEASE TURN OFF CELL PHONES OR OTHER ELECTRONIC DEVICES OR SET TO MUTE OR VIBRATE TO AVOID DISRUPTION DURING THE MEETING

The County of Ingham will provide necessary reasonable auxiliary aids and services, such as interpreters for the hearing impaired and audio tapes of printed materials being considered at the meeting for the visually impaired, for individuals with disabilities at the meeting upon five (5) working days notice to the County of Ingham. Individuals with disabilities requiring auxiliary aids or services should contact the County of Ingham in writing or by calling the following: Ingham County Board of Commissioners, P.O. Box 319, Mason, MI 48854 Phone: (517) 676-7200. A quorum of the Board of Commissioners may be in attendance at this meeting. Meeting information is also available on line at www.ingham.org.

JUDICIARY COMMITTEE
April 14, 2011
Minutes

Members Present: Rebecca Bahar-Cook, Carol Koenig, Dianne Holman, Todd Tennis,
Penelope Tsernoglou, Randy Schafer and Board Chairperson Grebner

Members Absent: None

Others Present: John Neilsen and Michelle Rutkowski.

The meeting was called to order by Chairperson Bahar-Cook at 6:02 p.m. in Conference Room A of the Human Services Building, 5303 S. Cedar Street, Lansing.

Approval of the March 31, 2011 Minutes

The March 31, 2011 Minutes were approved as submitted.

Additions to the Agenda

None.

Limited Public Comment

None.

1. Controller/Administrator's Office
 - a. First Quarter 2011 Budget Adjustments and Contingency Fund Update -
Resolution Authorizing Adjustments to the 2011 Ingham County Budget

MOVED BY COMM. SCHAFER, SUPPORTED BY COMM. TENNIS, TO APPROVE THE RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING ADJUSTMENTS TO THE 2011 INGHAM COUNTY BUDGET.

Comm. Holman asked if \$24,000 of the contingency fund has been spent. Mr. Neilsen stated that has been spent year to date.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY. Absent: Comm. Koenig

- b. Resolution Establishing Priorities to Guide the Development of the 2012 Budget and Activities of County Staff

MOVED BY COMM. TENNIS, SUPPORTED BY COMM. TSERNOGLOU, TO APPROVE THE RESOLUTION ESTABLISHING PRIORITIES TO GUIDE THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE 2012 BUDGET AND ACTIVITIES OF COUNTY STAFF.

Mr. Neilsen explained that the first resolution in the packet was a working draft identifying the proposed changes and the second is a “clean” copy. He noted that it has been scaled down because of the 2012 budget shortfall.

Comm. Holman stated that it was her preference to see all areas including areas where there would be no action. She asked if an area was not included would there be no action taken. Mr. Neilsen stated that in recognition of the budget shortfall it has been scaled back, but it is the Commissioners’ resolution and this is the time to make changes.

There was a brief discussion generalizing what areas are a first priority issues. Mr. Neilsen stated that he anticipates that each Committee may add to the priority list. He noted that Adult and Juvenile Justice would be a concern for the Judiciary Committee.

Comm. Bahar-Cook stated that she would like to see something pertaining to the Ingham County Family Center and asked if there is movement going forward with the millage. Mr. Neilsen reminded the Committee that there is a Juvenile Justice millage in 2012, and the renewal could be added.

Comm. Bahar-Cook asked if this would be the only opportunity for review of the priorities for each Committee. Mr. Neilsen stated yes.

Comm. Tennis asked if a coalition was established to provide long term retirement strategies because now it states that the County is doing this. Mr. Neilsen stated that a coalition was not created.

Board Chairperson Grebner noted there could be areas of consolidation. He referenced that over crowding in the Jail has stopped. Comm. Tsernoglou asked if anyone has attended meetings regarding the Jail. Comm. Bahar-Cook stated that Mr. Webster could be asked to attend a meeting to discuss the numbers.

MOVED BY COMM. TENNIS, SUPPORT COMM. TSERNOGLOU, TO ADD AFTER THE LAST BULLET POINT IN #4: TO PLACE THE RENEWAL OF THE JUVENILE JUSTICE MILLAGE QUESTION ON THE BALLOT.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY. Absent: Comm. Koenig

MOVED BY COMM. SCHAFER, SUPPORTED BY COMM. TENNIS, TO ADD TO THE RESOLUTION BY ADDING AFTER THE LAST BULLET POINT IN #4: EXPLORING THE POSSIBILITY OF CONSOLIDATION OF THE JUDICIAL DISTRICTS IN INGHAM COUNTY.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY. Absent: Comm. Koenig

(Comm. Koenig arrived at 6:23 p.m.)

Comm. Schafer asked if the vote was only on the Judiciary portion of this resolution. Comm. Bahar-Cook replied yes explaining each Committee will have an opportunity to work on their portion. Board Chairperson Grebner stated that it is the responsibility of the Finance Committee to correct any conflicts.

Comm. Bahar-Cook stated that the resolution is being sent to Finance with the understanding that this Committee only considered the parts relevant to the Judiciary Committee.

MOTION TO APPROVE THE RESOLUTION, AS AMENDED, CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Announcements

None.

Public Comment

None.

The meeting adjourned at approximately 6:26 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Julie Buckmaster

**APRIL 28, 2011 JUDICIARY AGENDA
STAFF REVIEW SUMMARY**

RESOLUTION ACTION ITEMS:

The Deputy Controller is recommending approval of the following resolution:

1. Circuit Court – *Resolution Authorizing an Agreement with CourtView Justice Solutions for Modifications Needed to the Circuit Court Sentencing Screen*

This resolution would authorize the Circuit Court to accept a CourtView Justice Solutions quote in the amount of \$9,900 to change the screen and convert existing data.

The Court is required to send to the Michigan Secretary of State data for Drunk Driving, Drug and Felonious Driving charges and is currently doing so manually. The Court also is required to send information to the Michigan State Police regarding case disposition and sentencing and cannot send all the required data electronically due to screen limitations on the required fields.

This change will alleviate these manual processes and greatly reduce staff time.

The funding in the amount of \$9,900 will come from the Law and Order Fund for Technology (LOFT).

Discussion items:

2. Circuit Court/Family Division
 - a. Discussion on Status of Ingham County Family Center Potential Renovation Plans (*No Materials*)
 - b. Quarterly Report, First Quarter 2011
3. Controller/Administrator's Office - *Resolution Updating Various Fees for County Services*

The Controller/Administrator's Office annually prepares for the Board of Commissioners review details about adjustment of the fees for the upcoming budget process. This review has been completed and some adjustments are being presented to the Board of Commissioners for their consideration. This information is being presented at the current round of committee meetings as a discussion item for input from the Board of Commissioners. A resolution recommending any fee increases will be presented at the next round of meetings for adoption. A draft version for discussion is included in this packet. (See the attached memo for details.)

MEMORANDUM

TO: Ingham County Finance and Judiciary Committees

FROM: David Easterday
Circuit Court Administrator

DATE: April 14, 2011

RE: Resolution Authorizing Modifications to CourtView's Sentencing Screen

The Circuit Court would like to have CourtView Justice Solutions make changes to the Sentencing Screen currently used in CourtView.

We are required to send information to the Michigan Secretary Of State for Drunk Driving, Drug and Felonious Driving charges. We are also required to send information to the Michigan State Police regarding case disposition and sentencing.

The Secretary Of State information is prepared manually and mailed to them. The MSP information is sent electronically but the screen we use does not have specific fields for the information needed. Staff has to enter the required information in a free flow manner in an area not designated for the purpose intended.

Kent County implemented CourtView as their Case Management system several years after Ingham County. When they did they took Ingham County's Sentencing Screen and had CourtView revise it in order that SOS and MSP needed information is entered in specific fields that may be sent electronically to both agencies.

We would like to stop using the current screen, have modifications made similar to what Kent County did and convert old data to the new format. In order to do so we are asking that \$9,900 be authorized from the Law and Order Fund for Technology (LOFT).

Introduced by the Judiciary and Finance Committees of the:

INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING AN AGREEMENT WITH COURTVIEW JUSTICE SOLUTIONS FOR MODIFICATIONS NEEDED TO THE CIRCUIT COURT SENTENCING SCREEN

WHEREAS, the Ingham County Circuit Court has been using the same CourtView Sentencing Screen since 1999; and

WHEREAS, information contained in the Sentencing Screen is required to be transmitted to the Secretary of State and the Michigan State Police; and

WHEREAS, the submission process for the Secretary of State is currently a manual procedure requiring the filling out of forms and a submission by mail; and

WHEREAS, the submission process for the Michigan State Police currently utilizes an inappropriate field for transmission and provides inadequate information; and

WHEREAS, the Kent County Circuit Court implemented CourtView as their Case Management system several years after Ingham County; and

WHEREAS, CourtView Justice Solutions made changes to the Kent County Sentencing Screen that allows for electronic submission of information to both the Secretary of State and the Michigan State Police; and

WHEREAS, the Ingham County Circuit Court would like to implement the same Sentencing Screen in order to electronically submit complete and accurate information to the Secretary of State and the Michigan State Police; and

WHEREAS, the implementation of the new sentencing screen will facilitate integration of new releases by CourtView and will no longer require special treatment for Ingham County.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Board of Commissioners authorizes the Circuit Court to accept a CourtView Justice Solutions quote in the amount of \$9,900 to change the screen and convert existing data.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the funding will come from the Law and Order Fund for Technology (LOFT) account #245 25820 932050.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Controller/Administrator is authorized to make any necessary budget adjustments consistent with this resolution.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Chairperson of the Ingham County Board of Commissioners and the County Clerk are authorized to sign any contract/purchase documents consistent with this resolution and approved as to form by the County Attorney

Ingham County Circuit Court
Family Division

Quarterly Data Report

Printout Date: 4/25/2011

October 1, 2006 - December 31, 2006	July 1, 2010 - September 30, 2010	October 1, 2010 - December 31, 2010	January 1, 2011 - March 31, 2011
INTAKE DIVISION	INTAKE DIVISION	INTAKE DIVISION	INTAKE DIVISION
PETITIONS RECEIVED DURING THIS PERIOD: 357	PETITIONS RECEIVED DURING THIS PERIOD: 308	PETITIONS RECEIVED DURING THIS PERIOD: 307	PETITIONS RECEIVED DURING THIS PERIOD: 242
78 INFORMAL DELINQUENCY 124 FORMAL DELINQUENCY 155 NEGLECT 142 Authorized 13 Not Authorized / Transferred	76 INFORMAL DELINQUENCY 83 FORMAL DELINQUENCY 149 NEGLECT 121 Authorized 28 Not Authorized / Transferred	98 INFORMAL DELINQUENCY 69 FORMAL DELINQUENCY 140 NEGLECT 123 Authorized 17 Not Authorized / Transferred	72 INFORMAL DELINQUENCY 59 FORMAL DELINQUENCY 111 NEGLECT 90 Authorized 21 Not Authorized / Transferred
PETITIONS DISPOSED DURING THIS PERIOD: 239	PETITIONS DISPOSED DURING THIS PERIOD: 320	PETITIONS DISPOSED DURING THIS PERIOD: 276	PETITIONS DISPOSED DURING THIS PERIOD: 234
1). INFORMAL 0 Consent Calendar 2 Inactive 18 Transferred 22 Diverted 43 Total	1). INFORMAL 2 Consent Calendar 0 Inactive 8 Transferred 76 Diverted 31 Dismissed 88 Total	1). INFORMAL 3 Consent Calendar 1 Inactive 8 Transferred 75 Diverted 87 Total	1). INFORMAL 0 Consent Calendar 2 Inactive 9 Transferred 35 Diverted 46 Total
2). FORMAL A). Delinquency 81 Admission / No Contest 1 Bench Verdict 0 Designation Granted 18 Dismissed 0 Jury Verdict 0 Prosecutor Waiver 0 Nolle Prosequi 0 Traditional Waiver 80 Total B). Neglect 0 Admission / No Contest 10 Bench Verdict 30 Dismissed / Withdrawn 7 Substance Abuse 11 Not Authorized 32 Other 116 Total	2). FORMAL A). Delinquency / No Contest 81 Admission / No Contest 1 Bench Verdict 1 Designation Granted 31 Dismissed 0 Jury Verdict 0 Prosecutor Waiver 0 Nolle Prosequi 1 Traditional Waiver 94 Total B). Neglect 49 Admission / No Contest 57 Bench Verdict 27 Dismissed / Withdrawn 25 Not Authorized 1 Transferred 141 Total	2). FORMAL A). Delinquency / No Contest 81 Admission / No Contest 1 Bench Verdict 1 Designation Granted 28 Dismissed 1 Jury Verdict 0 Prosecutor Waiver 0 Nolle Prosequi 0 Traditional Waiver 81 Total B). Neglect 7 Letters of Apology 47 MSU Adolescent Program 5 Restitution 1 School Progress 5 Substance Abuse 12 Other 108 Total	2). FORMAL A). Delinquency / No Contest 49 Admission / No Contest 1 Bench Verdict 0 Designation Granted 27 Dismissed 0 Jury Verdict 0 Prosecutor Waiver 0 Nolle Prosequi 0 Traditional Waiver 77 Total B). Neglect 49 Admission / No Contest 35 Bench Verdict 15 Dismissed / Withdrawn 9 Not Authorized 3 Transferred 111 Total
Services Provided 21 Community Service 1 Cost 10 Counseling / Anger Management 3 Letters of Apology 4 MSU Diversion 3 Restitution 1 School Progress 1 Substance Abuse 32 Other 76 Total	Services Provided 30 Community Service 6 Cost 4 Counseling / Anger Management 60 MSU Adolescent Program 1 Restitution 27 School Progress 7 Substance Abuse 25 Other 141 Total	Services Provided 13 Community Service 0 Cost 2 Counseling / Anger Management 7 Letters of Apology 47 MSU Adolescent Program 5 Restitution 1 School Progress 5 Substance Abuse 12 Other 98 Total	Services Provided 21 Community Service 2 Cost 6 Counseling / Anger Management 4 Letters of Apology 45 MSU Adolescent Program 1 Restitution 4 School Progress 6 Substance Abuse 14 Other 103 Total
NEGLECT DIVISION	NEGLECT DIVISION	NEGLECT DIVISION	NEGLECT DIVISION
PROGRAM ACTIVITY DURING THIS PERIOD: 194	PROGRAM ACTIVITY DURING THIS PERIOD: 180	PROGRAM ACTIVITY DURING THIS PERIOD: 173	PROGRAM ACTIVITY DURING THIS PERIOD: 198
1). PROGRAMS (JUVENILE STATS)	1). PROGRAMS	1). PROGRAMS	1). PROGRAMS
A). Intensive Neglect Services 20 Emergency Removal 113 Remain Active 11 Successful Dismissal 2 Other 146 Total	A). Intensive Neglect Services 15 Emergency Removal 70 Remain Active 24 Successful Dismissal 8 Other 109 Total	A). Intensive Neglect Services 3 Emergency Removal 27 Remain Active 22 Successful Dismissal 8 Other 120 Total	A). Intensive Neglect Services 10 Emergency Removal 35 Remain Active 23 Successful Dismissal 2 Other 130 Total
B). Family Support Services 35 Remain Active 7 Successful Dismissal 6 Other 48 Total	B). Family Support Services 16 Remain Active 6 Other 21 Total	B). Family Support Services 45 Remain Active 5 Successful Dismissal 3 Other 53 Total	B). Family Support Services 51 Remain Active 2 Successful Dismissal 5 Other 58 Total
DEMOGRAPHICS	DEMOGRAPHICS	DEMOGRAPHICS	DEMOGRAPHICS
A). Gender 85 Females 108 Males B). Race 0 Asian / Oriental 27 Bi-Racial 79 Black 5 Hispanic 0 Native American 83 White C). Age 12 1-11 Months 8 1 Years 16 2 Years 12 3 Years 7 4 Years 12 5 Years 11 6 Years 5 7 Years 11 8 Years 4 9 Years 4 10 Years 7 11 Years 8 12 Years 12 13 Years 19 14 Years 22 15 Years 16 16 Years 9 17 Years	A). Gender 83 Females 87 Males B). Race 0 Asian / Oriental 14 Bi-Racial 95 Black 17 Hispanic 0 Native American 54 White 0 Other C). Age 14 1-11 Months 6 1 Years 8 2 Years 10 3 Years 8 4 Years 4 5 Years 7 6 Years 10 7 Years 8 8 Years 3 9 Years 4 10 Years 4 11 Years 8 12 Years 10 13 Years 12 14 Years 15 15 Years 20 16 Years 11 17 Years	A). Gender 82 Females 91 Males B). Race 0 Asian / Oriental 17 Bi-Racial 104 Black 12 Hispanic 0 Native American 40 White 0 Other C). Age 11 1-11 Months 8 1 Years 9 2 Years 7 11 Years 10 3 Years 12 4 Years 8 13 Years 12 14 Years 23 15 Years 14 16 Years 7 8 Years 8 17 Years	A). Gender 85 Females 113 Males B). Race 0 Asian / Oriental 23 Bi-Racial 115 Black 17 Hispanic 0 Native American 42 White 1 Other C). Age 11 1-11 Months 12 1 Years 11 2 Years 8 3 Years 15 4 Years 7 5 Years 5 6 Years 10 7 Years 9 8 Years 12 9 Years 4 10 Years 5 11 Years 3 12 Years 14 13 Years 15 14 Years 20 15 Years 13 16 Years 9 17 Years
	2). PROGRAMS (ADULT STATS & INCLUDES DHS CASES)	2). PROGRAMS (ADULT STATS & INCLUDES DHS CASES)	2). PROGRAMS (ADULT STATS & INCLUDES DHS CASES)
	DEMOGRAPHICS	DEMOGRAPHICS	DEMOGRAPHICS
	A). Drug Court Services 10 Remain Active 1 Successful Dismissal 0 Unsuccessful Termination 11 Total	A). Drug Court Services 10 Remain Active 2 Successful Dismissal 0 Unsuccessful Termination 12 Total	A). Drug Court Services 7 Remain Active 5 Successful Dismissal 0 Unsuccessful Termination 12 Total
	A). Gender 10 Females 1 Males B). Race 0 Asian / Oriental 0 Bi-Racial 4 Black 4 Hispanic 0 Native American 3 White 0 Other	A). Gender 11 Females 1 Males B). Race 0 Asian / Oriental 0 Bi-Racial 6 Black 4 Hispanic 0 Native American 2 White 0 Other	A). Gender 11 Females 1 Males B). Race 0 Asian / Oriental 0 Bi-Racial 6 Black 4 Hispanic 0 Native American 2 White 0 Other

October 1, 2006 - December 31, 2006		July 1, 2010 - September 30, 2010		October 1, 2010 - December 31, 2010		January 1, 2011 - March 31, 2011	
DELINQUENCY DIVISION		DELINQUENCY DIVISION		DELINQUENCY DIVISION		DELINQUENCY DIVISION	
PROGRAM ACTIVITY DURING THIS PERIOD 1). COURT ORDERED PROGRAMS 36 Aftercare 42 Crossroads 88 In-Home Detention 92 Intensive Probation Services 23 Sex Offender Program 209 Standard Probation 182 Truancy Court 680 Total		PROGRAM ACTIVITY DURING THIS PERIOD 1). COURT ORDERED PROGRAMS 24 Aftercare 10 Community Placement Program 43 Crossroads 72 Ingham Academy Day Treatment 83 IMPACT System of Care 140 In-Home Detention 67 Intensive Probation Services 26 Sex Offender Program 185 Standard Probation 70 Truancy Court 720 Total		PROGRAM ACTIVITY DURING THIS PERIOD 1). COURT ORDERED PROGRAMS 20 Aftercare 8 Community Placement Program 32 Crossroads 83 IMPACT System of Care 201 In-Home Detention 42 Intensive Probation Services 24 Sex Offender Program 170 Standard Probation 81 Truancy Court 671 Total		PROGRAM ACTIVITY DURING THIS PERIOD 1). COURT ORDERED PROGRAMS 26 Aftercare 10 Community Placement Program 39 Crossroads 94 IMPACT System of Care 200 In-Home Detention 42 Intensive Probation Services 26 Sex Offender Program 164 Standard Probation 132 Truancy Court 733 Total	
2). RESIDENTIAL PLACEMENT A). In-State 8 Clarinda Transitional Living Center 2 Florence Crittenton Services 1 Havenwyck Hospital RTC 6 Highfields 4 Maurice Spears 7 Peckham Footprints Group Home 15 Shiawassee Detention Center 3 St. Vincent's Home 1 Turning Point Youth Center 5 Wedgwood Christian Services 62 Total B). Out-of-State 5 Abraxas Academy 2 Girls and Boys Town 10 Glen Mills Schools 6 Mingus Mountain Academy 13 Nuncle Reception & Diagnostic Center 6 Normative Services, Inc. 7 Woodward Academy 49 Total		2). RESIDENTIAL PLACEMENT A). In-State 0 Angel House 1 Bethany Christian Services 7 Clarinda Transitional Living Center 2 D. A. Blodgett 0 Eagle Village, Inc. 0 Havenwyck Hospital RTC 1 Highfields Phoenix Program 0 Highfields Stabilization Program 8 Lakeside Academy 1 Maurice Spears 1 New Hope Youth & Family Services 7 Peckham Footprints Group Home 0 Pine Rest Christian Mental Health 1 St. Vincent's Home 0 Turning Point Youth Center 0 Wedgwood Christian Services 25 Total B). Out-of-State 1 Abraxas Academy 10 Boys Town 0 Clarinda Academy 4 Glen Mills Schools 0 Midwest Center for Youth & Families 1 Mingus Mountain Academy 3 Normative Services, Inc. 1 Woodward Academy 20 Total		2). RESIDENTIAL PLACEMENT A). In-State 0 Angel House 1 Bethany Christian Services 8 Clarinda Transitional Living Center 2 D. A. Blodgett 0 Eagle Village, Inc. 0 Havenwyck Hospital RTC 1 Highfields Phoenix Program 3 Highfields Stabilization Program 6 Lakeside Academy 1 Maurice Spears 1 New Hope Youth & Family Services 8 Peckham Footprints Group Home 0 Pine Rest Christian Mental Health 1 St. Vincent's Home 0 Turning Point Youth Center 0 Wedgwood Christian Services 32 Total B). Out-of-State 1 Abraxas Academy 11 Boys Town 0 Clarinda Academy 4 Glen Mills Schools 0 Midwest Center for Youth & Families 1 Mingus Mountain Academy 1 Mountain Home 2 Normative Services, Inc. 1 Woodward Academy 21 Total		2). RESIDENTIAL PLACEMENT A). In-State 0 Angel House 1 Bethany Christian Services 8 Clarinda Transitional Living Center 3 D. A. Blodgett 0 Eagle Village, Inc. 0 Havenwyck Hospital RTC 4 Highfields Phoenix Program 5 Highfields Stabilization Program 6 Lakeside Academy 1 Maurice Spears 1 New Hope Youth & Family Services 10 Peckham Footprints Group Home 0 Pine Rest Christian Mental Health 1 St. Vincent's Home 2 Turning Point Youth Center 0 Wedgwood Christian Services 41 Total B). Out-of-State 1 Abraxas Academy 15 Boys Town 0 Clarinda Academy 4 Glen Mills Schools 0 Midwest Center for Youth & Families 1 Mingus Mountain Academy 1 Mountain Home 1 Normative Services, Inc. 1 Rite of Passage 1 Woodward Academy 25 Total	
DEMOGRAPHICS 1). COURT ORDERED PROGRAMS A). Gender 217 Females 433 Males C). Age 0 7 Years 0 8 Years 0 9 Years B). Race 2 Asian / Oriental 2 Bi-Racial 316 Black 65 Hispanic 0 Native American 265 White		DEMOGRAPHICS 1). COURT ORDERED PROGRAMS A). Gender 119 Females 268 Males C). Age 0 7 Years 1 8 Years 1 9 Years B). Race 3 Asian / Oriental 19 Bi-Racial 185 Black 31 Hispanic 1 Native American 148 White 0 Other		DEMOGRAPHICS 1). COURT ORDERED PROGRAMS A). Gender 110 Females 296 Males C). Age 0 7 Years 0 8 Years 2 9 Years B). Race 4 Asian / Oriental 16 Bi-Racial 188 Black 30 Hispanic 3 Native American 135 White 0 Other		DEMOGRAPHICS 1). COURT ORDERED PROGRAMS A). Gender 138 Females 276 Males C). Age 0 7 Years 0 8 Years 3 9 Years B). Race 2 Asian / Oriental 17 Bi-Racial 195 Black 41 Hispanic 3 Native American 156 White 0 Other	
2). RESIDENTIAL PLACEMENT A). Gender 35 Females 62 Males C). Age 0 7 Years 0 8 Years 0 9 Years B). Race 0 Asian / Oriental 0 Bi-Racial 65 Black 14 Hispanic 0 Native American 32 White		2). RESIDENTIAL PLACEMENT A). Gender 17 Females 30 Males C). Age 0 7 Years 0 8 Years 0 9 Years B). Race 0 Asian / Oriental 0 Bi-Racial 27 Black 7 Hispanic 0 Native American 13 White 0 Other		2). RESIDENTIAL PLACEMENT A). Gender 18 Females 52 Males C). Age 0 7 Years 0 8 Years 0 9 Years B). Race 0 Asian / Oriental 1 Bi-Racial 25 Black 8 Hispanic 0 Native American 17 White 0 Other		2). RESIDENTIAL PLACEMENT A). Gender 21 Females 41 Males C). Age 0 7 Years 0 8 Years 1 9 Years B). Race 0 Asian / Oriental 2 Bi-Racial 31 Black 9 Hispanic 0 Native American 20 White 0 Other	

Ingham County Circuit Court
Family Division

Quarterly Data Report

Printout Date: 4/25/2011

October 1, 2006 - December 31, 2006	July 1, 2010 - September 30, 2010	October 1, 2010 - December 31, 2010	January 1, 2011 - March 31, 2011
NEGLECT AND DELINQUENCY DIVISIONS	NEGLECT AND DELINQUENCY DIVISIONS	NEGLECT AND DELINQUENCY DIVISIONS	NEGLECT AND DELINQUENCY DIVISIONS
LANSING ZIP CODES (Residency of Youth Served) LANSING 121 48906 - North 28 48923 - East Lansing 1 49264 - Onondaga 437 48910 - Central to Eastside 6 48840 - Haslett 15 49265 - Stockbridge 123 48911 - South 31 48842 - Holt 69 48912 - Central 7 48864 - Okemos 68 48915 - Central 1 48892 - Webberville 28 48917 - West 12 48895 - Williamston 6 48933 - Central 8 49251 - Leslie	LANSING ZIP CODES (Residency of Youth Served) LANSING 86 48906 - North 10 48923 - East Lansing 1 49264 - Onondaga 436 48910 - Central to Eastside 3 48840 - Haslett 8 49265 - Stockbridge 148 48911 - South 37 48842 - Holt 73 48912 - Central 15 48864 - Okemos 51 48915 - Central 2 48892 - Webberville 14 48917 - West 5 48895 - Williamston 3 48933 - Central 8 49251 - Leslie	LANSING ZIP CODES (Residency of Youth Served) LANSING 84 48906 - North 16 48923 - East Lansing 1 49264 - Onondaga 404 48910 - Central to Eastside 3 48840 - Haslett 6 49265 - Stockbridge 122 48911 - South 28 48842 - Holt 51 48912 - Central 7 48864 - Okemos 38 48915 - Central 1 48892 - Webberville 10 48917 - West 3 48895 - Williamston 4 48933 - Central 9 49251 - Leslie	LANSING ZIP CODES (Residency of Youth Served) LANSING 79 48906 - North 0 48819 - Dansville 2 48895 - Williamston 417 48910 - Central to Eastside 25 48854 - Mason 12 49251 - Leslie 144 48911 - South 16 48823 - East Lansing 0 49264 - Onondaga 52 48912 - Central 6 48840 - Haslett 6 49265 - Stockbridge 55 48915 - Central 31 48842 - Holt 10 48917 - West 10 48864 - Okemos 5 48933 - Central 1 48892 - Webberville
INGHAM COUNTY YOUTH CENTER	INGHAM COUNTY YOUTH CENTER	INGHAM COUNTY YOUTH CENTER	INGHAM COUNTY YOUTH CENTER
1) ADMISSIONS Total: 184 2) RELEASES Total: 162 11 Average Length of Stay (days) 38 # of youths exceeding 22 days	1) ADMISSIONS Total: 170 2) RELEASES Total: 150 11 Average Length of Stay (days) 29 # of youths exceeding 22 days	1) ADMISSIONS Total: 169 2) RELEASES Total: 155 11 Average Length of Stay (days) 25 # of youths exceeding 22 days	1) ADMISSIONS Total: 189 2) RELEASES Total: 169 10 Average Length of Stay (days) 29 # of youths exceeding 20 days
OTHER DETENTION	OTHER DETENTION	OTHER DETENTION	OTHER DETENTION
PROGRAM ACTIVITY DURING THIS PERIOD 0 0 Muncie Reception & Diagnostic Center	PROGRAM ACTIVITY DURING THIS PERIOD 0 0 Muncie Reception & Diagnostic Center	PROGRAM ACTIVITY DURING THIS PERIOD 2 2 Muncie Reception & Diagnostic Center	PROGRAM ACTIVITY DURING THIS PERIOD 0 0 Muncie Reception & Diagnostic Center
DEMOGRAPHICS A). Gender B). Race C). Age 0 Females 0 Asian / Oriental 0 7 Years 0 14 Years 0 Males 0 Bi-Racial 0 8 Years 0 15 Years 0 Black 0 9 Years 0 16 Years 0 Hispanic 0 10 Years 0 17 Years 0 Native American 0 11 Years 0 18 Years 0 White 0 12 Years 0 19 Years 0 Other 0 13 Years	DEMOGRAPHICS A). Gender B). Race C). Age 2 Females 0 Asian / Oriental 0 7 Years 0 14 Years 2 Males 0 Bi-Racial 0 8 Years 0 15 Years 1 Black 0 9 Years 0 16 Years 1 Hispanic 0 10 Years 0 17 Years 0 Native American 0 11 Years 0 18 Years 0 White 0 12 Years 0 19 Years 0 Other 0 13 Years	DEMOGRAPHICS A). Gender B). Race C). Age 0 Females 0 Asian / Oriental 0 7 Years 0 14 Years 2 Males 0 Bi-Racial 0 8 Years 0 15 Years 1 Black 0 9 Years 0 16 Years 1 Hispanic 0 10 Years 0 17 Years 0 Native American 0 11 Years 0 18 Years 0 White 0 12 Years 0 19 Years 0 Other 0 13 Years	DEMOGRAPHICS A). Gender B). Race C). Age 0 Females 0 Asian / Oriental 0 7 Years 0 14 Years 0 Males 0 Bi-Racial 0 8 Years 0 15 Years 0 Black 0 9 Years 0 16 Years 0 Hispanic 0 10 Years 0 17 Years 0 Native American 0 11 Years 0 18 Years 0 White 0 12 Years 0 19 Years 0 Other 0 13 Years
ACT 150 / 220	ACT 150 / 220	ACT 150 / 220	ACT 150 / 220
PROGRAM ACTIVITY DURING THIS PERIOD: 84 1) COURT ACT 160 3 2) DHS ACT 220 81	PROGRAM ACTIVITY DURING THIS PERIOD: 73 1) COURT ACT 160 3 2) DHS ACT 220 70	PROGRAM ACTIVITY DURING THIS PERIOD: 69 1) COURT ACT 160 4 2) DHS ACT 220 65	PROGRAM ACTIVITY DURING THIS PERIOD: 69 1) COURT ACT 160 4 2) DHS ACT 220 65
DEMOGRAPHICS A). Gender C). Age 0 Females 0 7 Years 0 14 Years 3 Males 0 8 Years 0 15 Years 0 9 Years 0 10 Years 0 11 Years 0 12 Years 0 13 Years 0 14 Years 0 15 Years 3 White 1 16 Years 0 Other	DEMOGRAPHICS A). Gender C). Age 0 Females 0 7 Years 0 14 Years 3 Males 0 8 Years 0 15 Years 0 9 Years 1 16 Years 0 10 Years 0 17 Years 0 Asian / Oriental 0 11 Years 2 18 Years 0 Bi-Racial 0 12 Years 0 19 Years 0 Black 0 13 Years 0 Hispanic 0 14 Years 0 Native American 0 15 Years 3 White 1 16 Years 0 Other	DEMOGRAPHICS A). Gender C). Age 0 Females 0 7 Years 0 14 Years 4 Males 0 8 Years 0 15 Years 0 9 Years 2 16 Years 0 10 Years 1 17 Years 0 Asian / Oriental 0 11 Years 1 18 Years 0 Bi-Racial 0 12 Years 0 19 Years 1 Black 0 13 Years 1 Hispanic 0 Native American 0 White 2 Other	DEMOGRAPHICS A). Gender C). Age 0 Females 0 7 Years 0 14 Years 4 Males 0 8 Years 0 15 Years 0 9 Years 2 16 Years 0 10 Years 1 17 Years 0 Asian / Oriental 0 11 Years 1 18 Years 0 Bi-Racial 0 12 Years 0 19 Years 1 Black 0 13 Years 1 Hispanic 0 Native American 0 White 2 Other
INGHAM COUNTY FAMILY CENTER	INGHAM COUNTY FAMILY CENTER	INGHAM COUNTY FAMILY CENTER	INGHAM COUNTY FAMILY CENTER
PROGRAM ACTIVITY DURING THIS PERIOD 118 66 Ingham Academy Day Treatment 52 Pride Program 17 Attending Ingham Academy & Pride Program	PROGRAM ACTIVITY DURING THIS PERIOD 116 61 Ingham Academy Day Treatment 55 Pride Program 13 Attending Ingham Academy & Pride Program	PROGRAM ACTIVITY DURING THIS PERIOD 118 66 Ingham Academy Day Treatment 52 Pride Program 17 Attending Ingham Academy & Pride Program	PROGRAM ACTIVITY DURING THIS PERIOD 116 61 Ingham Academy Day Treatment 55 Pride Program 13 Attending Ingham Academy & Pride Program
DEMOGRAPHICS A). Gender B). Race C). Age D). Grade** 38 Females 0 Asian / Oriental 5 13 Years 10 8 th 63 Males 7 Bi-Racial 14 14 Years 21 9 th 60 Black 33 15 Years 5 10 th 10 Hispanic 28 16 Years 20 11 th 0 Native American 15 17 Years 10 12 th 24 White 4 18 Years *Ingham Academy Students ONLY 0 Other 2 19 Years	DEMOGRAPHICS A). Gender B). Race C). Age D). Grade** 38 Females 0 Asian / Oriental 5 13 Years 10 8 th 65 Males 6 Bi-Racial 13 14 Years 18 9 th 60 Black 26 15 Years 7 10 th 10 Hispanic 30 16 Years 19 11 th 0 Native American 22 17 Years 8 12 th 27 White 5 18 Years *Ingham Academy Students ONLY 0 Other 2 19 Years	DEMOGRAPHICS A). Gender B). Race C). Age D). Grade** 38 Females 0 Asian / Oriental 5 13 Years 10 8 th 63 Males 7 Bi-Racial 14 14 Years 21 9 th 60 Black 33 15 Years 5 10 th 10 Hispanic 28 16 Years 20 11 th 0 Native American 15 17 Years 10 12 th 24 White 4 18 Years *Ingham Academy Students ONLY 0 Other 2 19 Years	DEMOGRAPHICS A). Gender B). Race C). Age D). Grade** 38 Females 0 Asian / Oriental 5 13 Years 10 8 th 65 Males 6 Bi-Racial 13 14 Years 18 9 th 60 Black 26 15 Years 7 10 th 10 Hispanic 30 16 Years 19 11 th 0 Native American 22 17 Years 8 12 th 27 White 5 18 Years *Ingham Academy Students ONLY 0 Other 2 19 Years

MEMORANDUM

TO: Finance and Liaison Committees
FROM: Mary Lannoye, Controller/Administrator
DATE: April 28, 2011
SUBJECT: 2012 Update of County Fees

When the Board of Commissioners adopted Resolution #02-155, setting various fees for county services, the Controller/Administrator's Office was directed to annually review the fees and to recommend adjustments. This review has been completed and some adjustments are being presented to the Board of Commissioners for their consideration. This information is being presented at the current round of committee meetings as a discussion item. A resolution recommending any fee increases will be presented at the next round of meetings. A draft version for discussion is included in this packet.

Attached are spreadsheets detailing the recommended adjustments to fees to be effective for the Health Department and the Friend of the Court on October 1, 2011 and for all other departments on January 1, 2012.

The first set of spreadsheets is an analysis of the 2012 update of county fees. The following information is included for each fee:

1. Location of Service.
2. Fee Description.
3. The 2011 cost as calculated in last year's fee update process.
4. The cost increase factor. This is based on the 3-year average increase for each department's adopted general fund budget from 2008 to 2009, 2009 to 2010 and 2010 to 2011.
5. The 2012 cost, which is calculated by multiplying the 2011 cost by the cost increase factor.
6. As identified by the Board of Commissioners, the target percent was determined by the percentage of cost to be recovered by the fee for service. The target percent for each fee was initially passed by Resolution #02-155. For other fees added after the passage of Resolution #02-155, in most cases, it is assumed that the fee as passed is charged at the appropriate cost with a target recovery of 100%.
7. The 2011 fees were passed by Resolution #10-176. In August 2010, the Health Department adopted the 2011 Discount and Nominal Fee schedule through Resolution #10-271. In October 2010, the Health Department amended the annual license renewal for a tattooing business through Resolution #10-349. In February 2011, Resolution #11-049 rescinded the authority previously delegated in Resolution #05-295 to the Parks and Recreation Commission to set and modify fees. Therefore, most of the Parks fees have been added to the County Fee schedule as well as some new Parks fees. In March 2011, Resolution #11-074 approved the Ingham County Sanitary Code which added a Pollution Prevention regulation with reporting and inspection fees. (Since passage of these resolutions, some fees have been amended or added, as noted on the spreadsheets.)

8. The 2012 calculated fee is based on the 2012 cost multiplied by the target percent.
9. Although many fees were proposed to remain unchanged in 2012, the initial proposed fees were determined by rounding down the calculated fee to the full dollar amount, and, in some of the larger fees, rounded to the lower \$5 or \$10 increment. In some cases, the cost multiplied by the target percent is much more than the current fee, so only an incremental increase is proposed, with the full cost times target percent planned to be reached after several years. Fees that are proposed to increase are presented in bold.
10. Units. This information is used to calculate revenue to be generated by the proposed fees. This information was initially provided in the Maximus study, and in some cases, has been updated by the departments.
11. Department Recommendation. In most cases, the department agreed with the initial proposed fees. In cases where there is disagreement, information such as a memo of explanation from the department has been included.
12. Controller/Administrator Recommendation. In all cases, the Controller/Administrator agreed with the department recommendations.
13. Additional revenue is projected from the Controller/Administrator's recommended increase in fees multiplied by the units.

The final spreadsheet presents a summary of fees proposed to be increased in 2012. They simply list the 2011 fee, the department recommendations, the Controller/Administrator recommendation, and projected additional revenue, for each of the fees where an increase is proposed.

As shown in the attached correspondence, some of the departments disagreed with the initially proposed fees. The Controller/Administrator took this feedback under consideration and made final recommendations based on the Maximus study and the department input.

- (a) The Drain Commissioner's Office agreed with all the proposed fees except for the Escrow Account Fees which were proposed to remain at the 2011 level. The 2011 Escrow fees have been adequate to cover inspections at commercial sites. Furthermore, the office believes that building and development in Ingham County will continue to recover slowly and that overall permits, reviews, and inspections will remain low for 2012.
- (b) The Parks Department is strongly opposed to the proposed increase in annual Parking Pass Fees. The fees changed in 2010 from weekend only (Memorial Day through Labor Day) at Hawk Island and Lake Lansing Park-South to Tuesday through Sunday and again in 2011 raising the fees. The Department believes that changing the fees negatively affected the visitation and that instituting another increase a third year in a row would cause a tremendous decrease in visitation and thus a loss in potential revenue.
- (c) The Treasurer's Office stated that they have always charged \$4.00 per parcel to manually provide Delinquent Tax information. They also provide information electronically which is charged at \$.25 per parcel and the customer gets the entire Delinquent data base.
- (d) The District Court does not intend upon raising Pre-sentence Report fees or Probation Oversight Fees in 2012.

- (e) The Circuit Court and Family Division agreed with the initial proposed fees except for the Traffic Fee. This fee was set by a flat rate in previous years, but Family Court now uses a fee schedule. The Friend of the Court objects to the proposed increase to the Bench Warrant Fee. An increase to \$275 is almost a 53% increase since 2007, with the most recent occurring last year. The Bench Warrant Fee is typically assessed on cases where the non-custodial party has not been paying child support. Given the current high unemployment rate and bleak economic outlook, they are concerned that an increase in the current fee would be counterproductive in that it may hinder our ability to collect child support obligations.
- (f) The Animal Control Department is in agreement with all the proposed fees except for the License Fees, Tranquilized at Large Fee, and the rabies vaccination on redeemed animals. Instead of raising license fees, the department raised the number of units as they did not reflect an accurate number of licenses sold. These are modest numbers based on 2011. They anticipate further increasing those numbers. It would be a great disservice to pet owners in Ingham County to raise the fees for altered and unaltered animals. They would be pricing pet owners right out of an opportunity to own companion animals. The department will be submitting a resolution to raise the Spay/Neuter Deposit Fees currently collected. Although those funds are restricted by state law, the forfeited deposits will free up other general fund dollars being used by the department. The department estimates those funds to be in the range of \$25,000-\$35,000.
- (g) The Prosecuting Attorney's Office recommends further increasing the OWI-Trial Fee to \$200, due to the number of hours it takes to prepare, which is more than reasonable.
- (h) The Cooperative Extension Office disagrees with increasing the Soil Testing Fee. Their concern is that people will not test and then overuse fertilizer. This has a very negative effect on the environment, including surface and ground water. The fee is currently above the fee collected at other Extension offices.
- (i) Because some fees are limited by the Medicaid reimbursement rates, the Health Department recommended keeping the MIHP Transportation, Comprehensive Environmental Investigation, Assessment of Home at the 2011 rate. The Immigration Physical Exam has a very small number of units and therefore was also kept at the 2011 rate. The OYC advertised trainings and Administrator training are set lower than the 2011 rates in order to keep the training fees affordable to child care providers. Ingham County is one of the many counties in Michigan that are losing quality, licensed child care providers due to the loss of children to care for. Parents are losing jobs, therefore not taking their children to child care. In turn, child care providers may seek lower cost training options which are of lower quality and less comprehensive to meet licensing requirements. The success of the training programs have allowed for higher projections of training units, and therefore, higher revenue generation from fees overall.
- (j) The Environmental Health Department recommended increasing approximately two dozen of their fees higher than the proposed rate, but just under the calculated rate, in order to maximize the revenue from the shared cost of the service. The three Sewage Fees in the Demand Program were increased much higher than the 2011 rate as the cost of providing this service has increased. Due to the condition of soil/clay, the new engineered alternative sewage systems take more time to inspect. In the fixed food service category, the Initial License Fee exempt-government hourly rate and the Special Food Service Establishment 2nd and 3rd surcharges were increased to the full cost. Last year, the Initial License Fee exempt-government hourly rate was set at the hourly rate of \$105 and has now increased to \$110 per hour, but there was confusion as to whether or not this was per hour for this two hour service. Therefore, the Health Department wanted to put the full two hour cost of \$220 on the schedule. The target percentages for the Special Food Service surcharges were increased to 100% to recover the full cost because there is no shared cost for fines. The Nonprofit Fixed Food Service Fees are set at half of the FSE Initial license and the FSE Renewal less than \$250,000 as these fees have only a 25% target rate. The reason for the large increase to the Nonprofit Fixed Food

Service Fee is that in the past the fee on the schedule did not include the plan review. However, the cost for delivering the service should be the same as for Profit Initial License except that non-profit only pay 25% of the delivery cost. Due to state regulated fees, the initial STFU fees are below the proposed rate and some are below the 2011 rate. The Public Pool Inspection rate is set just below the proposed rate due to the usage of the government hourly rate at two hours. In Senate Bill No. 1486, the State enacted 2011 Body Art license fees in which the revenue is shared with the County. Thus, the department has reduced our County fee by the same amount as the reimbursement from the State. The department has also added a temporary Body Art license for \$100 as well as a fee for a license received after July 1st, which is half of the initial license fee.

If all fee increases were enacted as recommended by the Controller/Administrator's Office, it is projected that an additional \$201,763 would be generated in 2012. The current total revenue generated by the listed fees is approximately \$5.0 million, so the fee adjustments would increase the base by about 4.2%.

Please contact me if you have any questions regarding this information.

Attachments

Introduced by the Finance Committee of the:

INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

RESOLUTION UPDATING VARIOUS FEES FOR COUNTY SERVICES

WHEREAS, the Board of Commissioners set various fees for county services in Resolution #02-155 based on information and recommendations of the *Maximus Cost of Services Analysis* completed in 2002; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Commissioners also established the percent of the cost of providing the services which should be recovered by such fees, referred to in this process as a “target percent”; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Commissioners has directed the Controller/Administrator’s Office to establish a process for the annual review of these fees and target percents; and

WHEREAS, this process begins with the calculation of a cost increase factor, which is equal to the previous three year average increase in general fund adopted budget for the appropriate departments; and

WHEREAS, this cost increase factor is applied to the previous year’s calculated cost and multiplied by the target percent and in most cases rounded to the lower full dollar amount in order to arrive at a preliminary recommended fee for the upcoming year; and

WHEREAS, in cases where the calculated cost multiplied by target percent is much higher than the current fee, the fee will be recommended to increase gradually each year until the full cost multiplied by target percent is reached, in order to avoid any drastic increases in fees; and

WHEREAS, in cases where the calculated cost multiplied by target percent is lower than the current fee, no fee increase will be recommended for that year; and

WHEREAS, after initial recommendations are made by the Controller/Administrator, these recommendations are distributed to the affected offices and departments, in order to receive their input; and

WHEREAS, after reviewing the input from the affected offices and departments, the Controller/Administrator makes final recommendations to the Board of Commissioners; and

WHEREAS, the Controller/Administrator’s Office has finished its annual review of these fees and recommended increases where appropriate based on increased costs of providing services supported by these fees and the percent of the cost of providing the services which should be covered by such fees as established by the Board of Commissioners; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Commissioners has reviewed the Controller/Administrator’s recommendations including the target percentages, along with recommendations of the various county offices, departments, and staff.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Board of Commissioners authorizes or encourages the following fee increases in Attachments A and B at the rates established effective January 1, 2012 with the exception of the Health Department and Friend of the Court, where new rates will be effective October 1, 2011.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the fees within major Health Department services are not included on the attachments and were not set by the policy above, but rather through policy established in Resolutions #05-166 and #05-242.

ATTACHMENT A**SUMMARY OF FEES WHERE CHANGES ARE RECOMMENDED****County Services Committee**

Loc of Svc	Fee Description	2011 Fee	Dept/Controll. Recomm.	Add'l Rev
Drain Comm.	Plat Drain Administration Fee	\$2,000.00	\$2,100.00	\$100
Drain Comm.	Soil Erosion Permit-Residential-9 mo.	\$220.00	\$230.00	\$60
Parks	Administrative-Returned Check Fee	\$15.00	\$30.00	\$0
Parks	Memorials Service - Bench	\$400.00	\$500.00	\$1,000
Parks	Shelters - 40 Person Capacity			
Parks	Baldwin Riverview	\$45.00	\$50.00	\$80
Parks	Shelters - 60 Person Capacity			
Parks	Lake Lansing South Lakeview	\$50.00	\$75.00	\$1,525
Parks	Lake Lansing North Oak Knoll	\$50.00	\$75.00	\$1,025
Parks	Lake Lansing North Sandhill	\$50.00	\$75.00	\$1,025
Parks	Hawk Island Kestrel	\$50.00	\$75.00	\$1,750
Parks	Hawk Island 1/2 of Peregrine	\$50.00	\$75.00	\$1,825
Parks	Burchfield Deer Run	\$50.00	\$60.00	\$180
Parks	Burchfield Pine Knoll	\$50.00	\$60.00	\$180
Parks	Burchfield Southridge	\$50.00	\$75.00	\$475
Parks	Potter Park Penguin Cove	\$50.00	\$75.00	\$875
Parks	Shelters - 80 Person Capacity			
Parks	Potter Park Eagle Landing	\$75.00	\$100.00	\$925
Parks	Shelters - 120 Person Capacity			
Parks	Lake Lansing - North - 1/2 of Main	\$80.00	\$100.00	\$260
Parks	Hawk Island Peregrine	\$100.00	\$125.00	\$1,175
Parks	Burchfield 1/2 of North Bluff	\$80.00	\$100.00	\$440
Parks	Burchfield 1/2 of Woodsong	\$80.00	\$100.00	\$420
Parks	Shelters - 150 Person Capacity			
Parks	Lake Lansing - South - 1/2 of Main	\$80.00	\$100.00	\$1,200
Parks	Potter Park 1/2 of Tiger Den	\$80.00	\$100.00	\$540

Loc of Svc	Fee Description	2011 Fee	Dept/Controll. Recomm.	Add'l Rev
Parks	Shelters - 240 Person Capacity			
Parks	Lake Lansing - North - Main	\$150.00	\$175.00	\$675
Parks	Burchfield - North Bluff	\$150.00	\$175.00	\$375
Parks	Burchfield - Woodsong	\$150.00	\$175.00	\$375
Parks	Shelters - 300 Person Capacity			
Parks	Lake Lansing - South - Main	\$150.00	\$175.00	\$425
Parks	Burchfield - Overlook	\$150.00	\$175.00	\$425
Parks	Potter Park - Tiger Den	\$150.00	\$175.00	\$250
Parks	Shelters - 375 Person Capacity			
Parks	Hawk Island - Red Tail	\$200.00	\$250.00	\$2,550
Parks	Boating Fees			
Parks	In-Park Canoe/Kayak - 1st hr	\$4.00	\$5.00	\$2,476
Parks	In-Park Canoe/Kayak - 2nd hr	\$4.00	\$5.00	\$0
Parks	Canoe/Kayak Trips - McNamara	\$10.00	\$12.00	\$0
Parks	Canoe/Kayak Trips - Bunker Rd	\$12.00	\$18.00	\$0
Parks	Canoe/Kayak Trips - Eaton Rapids	\$15.00	\$25.00	\$0
Parks	Pedal Boat - Weekdays - per 1/2 hr	\$4.50	\$5.00	\$0
Parks	Pedal Boat - Wkds/Holidays - per 1/2 hr	\$5.50	\$6.00	\$0
Parks	Row Boat - 1st hour- fee per hour	\$4.00	\$5.00	\$0
Parks	Row Boat - 2nd hour - fee per hour	\$4.00	\$5.00	\$0
Parks	Ski Rental (Burchfield only)			
Parks	Moonlight Ski - Adult	\$6.00	\$10.00	\$0
Treasurer	Tax service fee	\$3.00	\$4.00	\$200

Judiciary Committee

Loc of Svc	Fee Description	2011 Fee	Dept/Controll. Recomm.	Add'l Rev
All Courts	Work Release	\$24.00	\$25.00	\$5,250
Circuit Court	Felony Case Costs	\$575.00	\$600.00	\$15,000
Circuit Court	Show Cause - Probation	\$75.00	\$100.00	\$0
Family Division	Delinquency Costs	\$175.00	\$200.00	\$43,125

Law Enforcement Committee

Loc of Svc	Fee Description	2011 Fee	Dept/Controll. Recomm.	Add'l Rev
Animal Control	Boarding Fee for Dangerous Animals	\$25.00	\$30.00	\$1,000
Animal Control	Boarding Fee per day-others	\$15.00	\$20.00	\$18,333
Animal Control	Euthanasia Fee	\$75.00	\$100.00	\$2,500
Animal Control	Bordatella Vaccination on redeemed dogs	\$5.00	\$6.00	\$490
Pros Atty	Diversion - Service Fee-Felony Offender	\$750.00	\$760.00	\$1,120
Pros Atty	Costs for eligible convictions - Trial	\$150.00	\$200.00	\$275

Human Services Committee

Loc of Svc	Fee Description	2011 Fee	Dept/Controll. Recomm.	Add'l Rev
Comm. Health	Conting Ed. Fee Diseased Control/Imm.	\$13.00	\$14.00	\$50
Comm. Health	INS Vaccination Verif Form I-693	\$33.00	\$35.00	\$600
Imm. Clinic	Internat'l Travel Consult	\$55.00	\$57.00	\$700
Imm. Clinic	Influenza - Mass Vacc. Clinic	market price	market price	\$0
Med Examiner	Cremation Permits	\$23.00	\$26.00	\$2,850
Med Examiner	Autopsy Report Copies (family)	\$15.00	\$17.00	\$100
Med Examiner	Autopsy Report Copies (others)	\$39.00	\$44.00	\$125

Loc of Svc	Fee Description	2011 Fee	Dept/Controll. Recomm.	Add'l Rev
OYC	Consultation Request (per hr.)	\$61.00	\$67.00	\$54
OYC	Agency Train. Request- Base, 1.5 hr.	\$180.00	\$200.00	\$160
OYC	Agency Train. Request- Base, 2.5 hr.	\$300.00	\$330.00	\$300
OYC	Agency Train. Request- Base, 3.0 hr.	\$370.00	\$400.00	\$300
OYC	Agency Train. Request- Base, 5.0 hr.	\$620.00	\$650.00	\$240
OYC	Agency Train. Request- Base, 1.5 hr, each add.	\$13.00	\$14.00	\$0

OYC	Agency Train. Request- Base, 2.5 hr, each add.	\$18.00	\$20.00	\$0
OYC	OYC-Advertised Train.- 1.0-2.0 hr./per person (min. 15 attending)	\$24.00	\$22.00	(\$200)
OYC	OYC-Advertised Train.- 2.5 - 4.5 hrs./per person (min. 15 attending)	\$30.00	\$28.00	(\$1,200)
OYC	OYC-Advertised Train.- 5.0 - 7.0 hrs./per person (min. 15 attending).	\$61.00	\$60.00	(\$120)
OYC	OYC - Advanced Train. - 10 hrs./per person	\$93.00	\$100.00	\$385
OYC	OYC - Admin Train. - 16 hrs./per person	\$170.00	\$130.00	(\$1,800)
Env. Health	FIXED FOOD SERVICE ESTAB			
Env. Health	FSE Initial License incl.2 hrs Plan Rev	\$1,200.00	\$1,300.00	\$3,000
Env. Health	FSE Initial Restricted License	\$620.00	\$650.00	\$30
Env. Health	FSE Initial License (Mobile)	\$430.00	\$460.00	\$0
Env. Health	FSE Renewal Lic -At least \$750,000	\$1,000.00	\$1,050.00	\$10,300
Env. Health	FSE Renewal Lic-\$500,000 to less than \$750,000	\$840.00	\$880.00	\$2,680
Env. Health	FSE Renewal Lic-\$250,000 to less than \$500,000	\$650.00	\$685.00	\$4,025
Env. Health	FSE Renewal Lic-Less than \$250,000	\$450.00	\$480.00	\$6,030
Env. Health	FSE Non-profit License Renewal	\$230.00	\$240.00	\$650.00
Env. Health	Fixed Food Svc Estab Nonprofit - INITIAL License incl. 2 hr plan rev	\$460.00	\$650.00	\$13,300
Env. Health	Reinstatement of Susp FSE	\$560.00	\$580.00	\$40
Env. Health	Surcharge-Fail submit plans/chg own	\$540.00	\$575.00	\$35
Env. Health	Critical Follow-up Inspection fee	\$130.00	\$135.00	\$125
Env. Health	Special food svc estab surchrg 2nd step of formal hearing	\$231.00	\$500.00	\$269
Env. Health	Special food svc estab surchrg 3rd step of formal hearing	\$473.00	\$1,000.00	\$0
Env. Health	FSE Seasonal Renewal -Gross sales exc. \$750,000	\$600.00	\$650.00	\$0
Env. Health	FSE Seasonal renewal - at least \$500,000,less \$750,000	\$500.00	\$530.00	\$0
Env. Health	FSE Seasonal Renewal -at least \$250,000,less \$500,000	\$390.00	\$410.00	\$100
Env. Health	FSE Seasonal renewal -less than \$250,000	\$275.00	\$290.00	\$30
Env. Health	FSE - DOE Schools Program - Production Kitchen	\$490.00	\$520.00	\$2,670

Loc of Svc	Fee Description	2011 Fee	Dept/Controll. Recomm.	Add'l Rev
Env. Health	FSE - DOE Schools Program - Satellite Kitchen	\$310.00	\$330.00	\$1,000
Env. Health	Change of Ownership of FSE	\$360.00	\$385.00	\$800
Env. Health	Initl Lic Fee Exmpt(plan revw only) Govt hrly rate for 2 hrs.	\$105.00	\$220.00	\$115
Env. Health	FSE - late renewal - additional	\$125.00	\$130.00	\$320
Env. Health	STFU			
Env. Health	Initial STFU license Incl. Plan Rev	\$330.00	\$321.00	(\$72)
Env. Health	STFU late inspection request (150% of cost is the penalty)	\$210.00	\$150.00	(\$360)
Env. Health	STFU in season inspection	\$90.00	\$101.00	\$1,067
Env. Health	STFU Renewal	\$110.00	\$101.00	(\$585)
Env. Health	TEMPORARY LICENSE			
Env. Health	Temp FSE - Non-Profit	\$105.00	\$110.00	\$220
Env. Health	Temp Nonprf FSE-Ops Beg Bef Licg (double)	\$200.00	\$220.00	\$0
Env. Health	Temp FSE- Preparation Type -For Profit	\$215.00	\$225.00	\$500
Env. Health	Temp FSE-Ops Began Before Licg (double)	\$420.00	\$450.00	\$0
Env. Health	Temp FSE-each add'l lic.after 2 at 1 loc	\$69.00	\$73.00	\$48
Env. Health	Temp Event Inspection Request-Late Fee-profit	\$400.00	\$430.00	\$0
Env. Health	VENDING			
Env. Health	Vending:1-3 Licensable Mach. in Same Loc.	\$78.00	\$80.00	\$128
Env. Health	Vending: 4-6 Licensable Mach. in Same Loc.	\$100.00	\$105.00	\$45
Env. Health	Vending: 7-10 Licensable Mach. in Same Loc.	\$135.00	\$140.00	\$0
Env. Health	Larger Loc (Add'l Machine > 10)	\$6.00	\$7.00	\$0
Env. Health	Surcharge-Failure to apply-vending lic	\$180.00	\$190.00	\$0

Loc of Svc	Fee Description	2011 Fee	Dept/Controll. Recomm.	Add'l Rev
Env. Health	POOL			
Env. Health	Public Pool Inspection	\$210.00	\$220.00	\$1,300
Env. Health	Each add'l pool at same location	\$105.00	\$110.00	\$255

Loc of Svc	Fee Description	2011 Fee	Dept/Controll. Recomm.	Add'l Rev
Env. Health	Pool Reinspection (after violation)	\$105.00	\$110.00	\$35
Env. Health	DHS LICENSING			
Env. Health	DHS Licensing Inspection - municipal	\$200.00	\$205.00	\$0
Env. Health	DHS Licensing Inspection - well & septic	\$330.00	\$345.00	\$0
Env. Health	DHS Licensing - well & septic only	\$130.00	\$135.00	\$0
Env. Health	DHS Licensing re-inspection hrly	\$105.00	\$110.00	\$0
Env. Health	DHS Initial Licensing Plan Review	\$380.00	\$395.00	\$0
Env. Health	BODY ART (TATTOO)			
Env. Health	Body Art Business Initial License	\$780.00	\$550.00	\$0
Env. Health	Body Art License Renewal	\$400.00	\$175.00	(\$1,125)
Env. Health	Body Art w/o initial license/reinstatement of revoked	\$525.00	\$550.00	\$0
Env. Health	Body Art non-compl w/ inspection-hrly	\$105.00	\$110.00	\$0
Env. Health	Reinstmt of Susp Body Art License (fine)	\$195.00	\$210.00	\$0
Env. Health	Body Art Initial License after July 1	N/A	\$275.00	\$0
Env. Health	Body Art Temp License (1-14 days)	N/A	\$100.00	\$0
Env. Health	DEMAND PROGRAM			
Env. Health	Sewage Only	\$540.00	\$770.00	\$5,750
Env. Health	Well Only	\$540.00	\$575.00	\$875
Env. Health	Vacant Land Evaluation	\$520.00	\$555.00	\$875
Env. Health	On-Site Sewage repair/replace	\$520.00	\$770.00	\$18,750
Env. Health	Repair - Well	\$190.00	\$200.00	\$1,000
Env. Health	Altern On-site Sewage Syst Plan Revw	\$400.00	\$420.00	\$1,000
Env. Health	Subdivision Evaluation of Preliminary Plat	\$210.00	\$340.00	\$0
Env. Health	Munic Requ Eval. of Well/Septic	\$105.00	\$110.00	\$50
Env. Health	Septic or Well ownershp trsfr,not installed at time of transfer	\$175.00	\$185.00	\$50
Env. Health	Septic tank repair/replacemt inspection	\$265.00	\$285.00	\$200

Loc of Svc	Fee Description	2011 Fee	Dept/Controll. Recomm.	Add'l Rev
Env. Health	BATHING BEACHES			
Env. Health	Bathing Area Operational Permit	\$210.00	\$225.00	\$0
Env. Health	Reinstmt of bathing area permit	\$105.00	\$110.00	\$0
Env. Health	Sanitary Surv for Prop. Bathg Beach	\$420.00	\$450.00	\$0
Env. Health	CAMPGROUNDS			
Env. Health	Campground Inspection 0-99 Sites	\$140.00	\$150.00	\$30
Env. Health	Campground Inspection 100-199 Sites	\$215.00	\$225.00	\$30
Env. Health	Campground Inspection 200+ Sites	\$280.00	\$300.00	\$60
Env. Health	Campground 0-99 sites -after July 1 fine for late inspection -150%	\$210.00	\$225.00	\$0
Env. Health	Campground 100-199 Sites after July 1 fine for late inspection 150%	\$322.00	\$340.00	\$0
Env. Health	Campground 200+ Sites after July 1 fine for late inspection 150%	\$420.00	\$450.00	\$0
Env. Health	Campground 0-99 Sites after Sept 1 fine for late inspection 200%	\$280.00	\$300.00	\$0
Env. Health	Campground 100-199 Sites after Sept 1 fine for late inspection 200%	\$430.00	\$450.00	\$0
Env. Health	Campground 200+ Sites fine for late inspection after Sept 1 -200%	\$560.00	\$600.00	\$0
Env. Health	MISC EH PROGRAMS			
Env. Health	Collection of water samples for Type II Non-Community Water Sampling per hr	\$105.00	\$110.00	\$0
Env. Health	Type II Non Community - Sanitary Survey	\$420.00	\$440.00	\$400
Env. Health	Board of Health appeal fee	\$119.00	\$125.00	\$0
Env. Health	POINT OF SALE PROGRAM			
Env. Health	Point of Sale- appl processing fee	\$180.00	\$195.00	\$6,000
Env. Health	Point of Sale- on site evaluation well & waste treatment system by ICHD	\$360.00	\$395.00	\$525
Env. Health	Point of Sale - Waste Treatment Inspection by ICHD (excludes pumping fees) (Municipal water system)	\$210.00	\$230.00	\$0
Env. Health	Point of Sale - Well Inspection by ICHD (incl water samples for bacteria and partial chemicals)	\$150.00	\$165.00	\$0

Loc of Svc	Fee Description	2011 Fee	Dept/Controll. Recomm.	Add'l Rev
Env. Health	Point of Sale- Extension Evaluations	\$105.00	\$110.00	\$125
Env. Health	Point of Sale- Annl Inspector renwl fee	\$120.00	\$130.00	\$140
Env. Health	TOBACCO			
Env. Health	License- Tobacco Sales- 1yr.- Retailer-East Lansing	\$251.00	\$260.00	\$0
Env. Health	License- Tobacco Sales- 1yr.- Retailer- Non-East Lansing	\$290.00	\$310.00	\$4,980
Env. Health	License- Tobacco Sales-1yr-Vend. Mach	\$290.00	\$310.00	\$180
Env. Health	Tobacco -Temporary Sampling Permit	\$115.00	\$125.00	\$20
Env. Health	Tobacco -Temporary Sampling Permit Fee - Late Notice Fee (Less than 30 days before event)	N/A	\$185.00	\$0
Env. Health	License- Tobacco Sales- 1yr.- Retailer-East Lansing -Late Fee	N/A	\$390.00	\$0
Env. Health	License- Tobacco Sales- 1yr.- Retailer- Non-East Lansing -Late Fee	N/A	\$470.00	\$0
Env. Health	Tobacco Change of Ownership Fee - Non East Lansing	N/A	\$145.00	\$0
Env. Health	Tobacco Change of Ownership Fee-E.Lansing	N/A	\$125.00	\$0
Env. Health	Tobacco Failure to change ownership	N/A	\$180.00	\$0
Env. Health	POLLUTION PREVENTION PROGRAM - NEW			
Env. Health	Hourly Rate Over Standard Service	\$105.00	\$110.00	\$0
Env. Health	P2 On-Site Consultation (per hour)	\$105.00	\$110.00	\$0
Env. Health	P2 Plan Review (2 hour minimum)- per hr	\$105.00	\$110.00	\$0
Vet. Affairs	County User Fee	\$22.06	\$24.34	\$1,300

	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M
1	2012 County Fees Analysis											
2	Judiciary Committee											
3	FEES PROPOSED TO CHANGE ARE IN BOLD											
4												
5												
6	Loc of Svc	Fee Description	2011 Cost	Cost Inc %	2012 Cost	Target %	2011 Fee	2012 Calc Fee	Prop. Fee	Units	Dept/Controll. Recomm.	Add'l Rev
7	All Courts	Work Release (1)	\$50.00	-4.23%	\$47.88	100.0%	\$24.00	\$47.88	\$25.00	5,250	\$25.00	\$5,250
8												
9	District Court	Civil (3)	\$104.20	-1.88%	\$102.24	50.0%	Varies	\$51.12	Varies	5,210	*	\$0
10	District Court	Felonies (4)	\$168.02	-1.88%	\$164.86	0.0%	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00	684	**	\$0
11	District Court	Pre-Sentence Reports	\$202.04	-1.88%	\$198.24	100.0%	\$100.00	\$198.24	125.00	75	100.00	\$0
12	District Court	Preliminary Breath Test (PBT) (5)	\$5.96	-1.88%	\$5.85	100.0%	\$0.00	\$5.85	0.00	1,750	***	\$0
13	District Court	Probation Oversight (per Month)	\$106.39	-1.88%	\$104.40	100.0%	\$35.00	\$104.40	45.00	5,220	35.00	\$0
14	District Court	Traffic (6)	\$236.62	-1.88%	\$232.17	50.0%	Varies	\$116.09	Varies	1,775	****	\$0
15	Circuit Court	Copies	\$4.38	-1.23%	\$4.32	25.0%	\$1.00	\$1.08	\$1.00	25,000	\$1.00	\$0
16	Circuit Court	Criminal Histories	\$9.95	-1.23%	\$9.82	100.0%	\$9.00	\$9.82	\$9.00	1,000	\$9.00	\$0
17	Circuit Court	Felony Case Costs	\$666.75	-1.23%	\$658.54	100.0%	\$575.00	\$658.54	\$600.00	600	\$600.00	\$15,000
18	Circuit Court	Show Cause - Probation	\$420.41	-1.23%	\$415.24	100.0%	\$75.00	\$415.24	\$100.00	0	\$100.00	\$0
19	Family Division	Delinquency Costs	\$374.11	-6.39%	\$350.18	100.0%	\$175.00	\$350.18	\$200.00	1,725	\$200.00	\$43,125
20	Family Division	In-Home Detention	\$36.57	-6.39%	\$34.24	0.0%	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	7,000	\$0.00	\$0
21	Family Division	Intensive Probation	\$864.98	-6.39%	\$809.67	0.0%	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	970	\$0.00	\$0
22	Family Division	Regular Probation	\$143.30	-6.39%	\$134.14	0.0%	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	5,292	\$0.00	\$0
23	Family Division	Tether	\$125.87	-6.39%	\$117.82	25.0%	\$20.00	\$29.45	\$20.00	2,625	\$20.00	\$0
24	Family Division	Traffic (2)	\$204.96	-6.39%	\$191.85	50.0%	ticket fee schedule	\$95.93	\$95.00	1,775	ticket fee schedule	\$0
25	Family Division	Traffic - Fail to Appear	\$96.39	-6.39%	\$90.23	25.0%	\$24.00	\$22.56	\$24.00	355	\$24.00	\$0
26	FOC	FOC Bench Warrants	\$565.48	-3.08%	\$548.05	100.0%	\$250.00	\$548.05	\$275.00	1,000	\$250.00	\$0
27	TOTALS											\$63,375
29	(1) Courts ordering work release are encouraged to recognize that the cost of administering work release at the jail has been calculated at \$50.00 per day, but is charged at 50% of that amount, \$25/day, if paid in certain time. Sometimes, the Judge will order a different amount.											
30	(2) Although this was set at a flat rate in previous years, Family Court has always used this fee schedule.											
31												
32	(3) Civil Fees are set by statute											
33	(4) The Court does not have jurisdiction over felony cases											
34	(5) The Court does not charge a fee for administering a PBT											
35	(6) Traffic fees are set by statute; court costs are subject to judicial discretion											

INGHAM COUNTY FRIEND OF THE COURT
FAMILY DIVISION
THIRTIETH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
303 Kalamazoo Street, P.O. Box 40097
Lansing, MI 48901

SHAUNA DUNNINGS
Deputy Court Administrator
Family Division/FOC

HARRY MOXLEY
Assistant FOC/Operations

ROBERT HOTCHKISS
Assistant FOC/Legal



ATTORNEYS/REFEREES
Helen T. Hartford
Vicki Anderson Pinckney
Irene Sivavajchaipong
Dean Allen Winnie

WEBSITE: www.ingham.org/fc/foc.htm
E-MAIL: focinfo@ingham.org
TELEPHONE: 517-483-6103
FAX: 517-483-6107

Memorandum

Date: 3/21/11

To: Beth Hamilton

cc: David Easterday

From: Shauna Dunnings

RE: FOC bench warrant fee recommendation 2012

The Friend of the Court objects to the Controller's recommendation to increase the bench warrant fee in 2012.

An increase to \$275, is almost a 53% increase since 2007, with the most recent increase occurring last year. We only charged \$35 per bench warrant as recently as 2002. I submitted a question on the Friend of the Court list serve to see what other counties are charging, and two counties responded. One county does not charge and the other county only charges \$50.

Additionally, we recommend reducing the units from 1200 to 1000 which is consistent with our recommendation last year. This recommendation is based upon the loss of a Court Service Officer (Warrant Officer) position.

The bench warrant fee is typically assessed on cases where the non-custodial party has not been paying child support. Given the current high unemployment rate and bleak economic outlook, we are concerned that an increase in the current fee would be counterproductive in that it may hinder our ability to collect child support obligations.

As the general fund retains only 2/3 of bench warrant fees collected (50% of fee collections are transferred to the Sheriff, and only 1/3 of the remaining 50% are retained by the FOC 215 fund), it is our belief that the increase in revenue would not be worth the negative impact upon families from whom the fee would be "netted".

Submitted by District Court

2012 County Fees Analysis
Judiciary Committee

FEES PROPOSED TO INCREASE ARE IN BOLD

Loc of Svc	Fee Description	2011 Cost	Cost Inc %	2012 Cost	Target %	2011 Fee	2012 Calc Fee	Prop. Fee	Units	Dept Rec	Add'l Rev
District Court	Civil	\$104.20	-1.88%	\$102.24	50.0%	Varies	\$51.12	Varies	5,210		*
District Court	Felonies	\$168.02	-1.88%	\$164.86	0.0%	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	684		**
District Court	Pre-Sentence Reports	\$202.04	-1.88%	\$198.24	100.0%	\$100.00	\$198.24	\$125.00	75	\$100.00	\$1,875
District Court	Preliminary Breath Test (PBT)****	\$5.96	-1.88%	\$5.85	100.0%	\$0.00	\$5.85	\$0.00	1,750		***
District Court	Probation Oversight	\$106.39	-1.88%	\$104.40	100.0%	\$45.00	\$104.40	\$55.00	5,220	\$35/mo	\$52,200
District Court	Traffic	\$236.62	-1.88%	\$232.17	50.0%	Varies	\$116.09	Varies	1,775		****
TOTALS											\$54,075

* Civil Fees are set by statute
 ** The Court does not have jurisdiction over felony cases
 *** The Court does not charge a fee for administering a PBT
 **** Traffic fees are set by statute; court costs are subject to judicial discretion

The court does not intend upon raising presentence report fees or probation oversight fees in 2012

STANDARD TICKET FEES
REVISED 12/2010

Curfew (1st Offense)	<u>\$50.00</u>
2 nd Offense	<u>\$75.00</u>
3d +	<u>\$100.00</u>
Disorderly (1st Offense)	<u>\$75.00</u>
2 nd Offense	<u>\$100.00</u>
Disturbing the Peace	<u>\$75.00</u>
2 nd Offense	<u>\$100.00</u>
Fail to Obey	<u>\$75.00</u>
2 nd Offense	<u>\$100.00</u>
False Information	<u>\$75.00</u>
2 nd Offense	<u>\$100.00</u>
Fighting	<u>\$75.00</u>
2 nd Offense	<u>\$100.00</u>
Littering	<u>\$50.00</u>
2 nd Offense	<u>\$75.00</u>
3d +	<u>\$100.00</u>
Loud & Boisterous	<u>\$50.00</u>
2 nd Offense	<u>\$75.00</u>
MIP (ALCOHOL)	<u>\$75.00</u>
2 nd Offense	<u>\$100.00</u>
3d +	<u>\$150.00</u>
Offensive Language	<u>\$50.00</u>
2 nd Offense	<u>\$75.00</u>
3d +	<u>\$100.00</u>
OUIL	<u>\$150.00</u>
Poss/Drug Para	<u>\$75.00</u>
Poss/Marjuana	<u>\$75.00</u>
Park after Dark	<u>\$50.00</u>
2 nd Offense	<u>\$75.00</u>
3d +	<u>\$100.00</u>
Trespassing (1st Offense)	<u>\$50.00</u>
2 nd Offense	<u>\$75.00</u>
3d +	<u>\$100.00</u>
Truancy (1st Offense)	<u>\$50.00</u>
2 nd Offense	<u>\$75.00</u>
3d +	<u>\$100.00</u>
YTA (1st Offense)	<u>\$50.00</u>
2 nd Offense	<u>\$75.00</u>
3d +	<u>\$100.00</u>